arXiv:1611.07436v1 [math.SG] 22 Nov 2016

SYMPLECTIC -2 SPHERES AND THE SYMPLECTOMORPHISM GROUP OF SMALL RATIONAL 4-MANIFOLDS

JUN LI, TIAN-JUN LI, WEIWEI WU

Abstract. Let (X,ω) be a symplectic rational 4 manifold. We study the space of tamed almost complex structures $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ using a fine decomposition via smooth rational curves and a relative version of the infinite dimensional Alexander duality. This decomposition provides new understandings of both the variation and stability of the symplectomorphism group $Symp(X,\omega)$ when deforming ω. In particular, we compute the rank of $\pi_1(Symp(X,\omega))$ with Euler number $\chi(X)\leq 7$ in terms of the number N of -2 symplectic sphere classes. In addition, for $(\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2},\omega)$, we completely determine the Torelli symplectic mapping class group (TSMC): TSMC is trivial if $N>8$; it is $\pi_0(\mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2,5))$ if $N=0$ (by [Sei08],[Eva11]), $\pi_0(\mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2,4))$ in the remaining case. Further, we find a lower bound of the rank of $\pi_1(Symp(\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2})$, which together with the upper bound in [McD08] gives the precise rank in most occasions. Our results can be uniformly presented in terms of Dynkin diagrams of type $\mathbb{A}$ and type $\mathbb{D}$ Lie algebras.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. A fine decomposition of the space of almost complex structures 2
1.2. Application to symplectomorphism group 3
2. Structure of Normalized reduced symplectic cone and -2 sphere classes 7
2.1. Normalized reduced symplectic cone: Combinatorics and Lie theory 7
2.2. Examples: $\mathbb{C}P^2\#k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k=1,2,3$ and remarks for $k>9$ 12
3. Level 2 stratification of $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ for $S^2\times S^2\#n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, n\leq 4$ 16
3.1. Decomposition of $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ via smooth rational curves 16
3.2. Constraints on simple $J-$holomorphic curves for a reduced form 20
3.3. Negative square classes and their decompositions 23
3.4. Codimension 2 prime submanifolds 26
4. A uniform approach to $\pi_1(Symp)$ when Euler number $\chi(X)\leq 7$ 30
4.1. Strategy 30
4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.5 32
4.3. Discussion in each case 36
5. Symplectic -2 spheres and $Symp(\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2},\omega)$ 40
5.1. Basic set-up and pure braid groups on a sphere 40
5.2. A semi-toric Ball-swapping model and the connecting homomorphism 48
5.3. Forget one strand map when $\Gamma_L=\mathbb{D}_4$ 52
5.4. Torelli Symplectic mapping class group for a general form 57
5.5. Fundamental group and topological persistence of $Symp(X,\omega)$ 60
References 64

Date: November 23, 2016.

1. Introduction

Let (X,ω) be a closed simply connected symplectic manifold. the symplectomorphism group with the standard C^∞-topology, denoted as $Symp(X,\omega)$, is an infinite dimensional Fréchet Lie group. Understanding the homotopy type of $Symp(X,\omega)$ is a classical problem in symplectic topology initiated by [Gro85]. Specifically, when $dim(X)=4$, a careful study of $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ has been applied to this problem, see [Abr98; AM00; Anj02; LP04] and [AP13], etc. Here $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ is the space of $\omega-$tame almost complex structures, which is a contractible Fréchet manifold, when endowed with the C^∞-topology.

1.1. A fine decomposition of the space of almost complex structures.

When (X,ω) is a rational symplectic 4-manifold, we consider the following natural decomposition of J_ω via smooth $\omega-$ symplectic spheres of negative self-intersection.

Let $\mathcal{S}_\omega^{<0}$ denote the set of homology classes of embedded ω-symplectic sphere with negative self-intersection.

Definition 1.1. *A subset $\mathcal{C}\subset\mathcal{S}_\omega^{<0}$ is called admissible if*

$$\mathcal{C}=\{A_1,\cdots,A_i,\cdots,A_n|A_i\cdot A_j\geq 0,\forall i\neq j\},$$

Given an admissible subset $\mathcal{C}$, we define the real codimension of the label set $\mathcal{C}$ as $cod_{\mathbb{R}}=2\sum_{A_i\in\mathcal{C}}(-A_i\cdot A_i-1)$. And we define the **prime subset**

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}=\{J\in\mathcal{J}_\omega|A\in\mathcal{S}\text{ has an embedded }J-hol\text{ representative if and only if }A\in\mathcal{C}\}.$$

In particular, for $\mathcal{C}=\emptyset$, it has codimension zero, and the corresponding $\mathcal{J}_\emptyset$ is often called $\mathcal{J}_{open}$.

Notice that these prime subsets are disjoint. Clearly, we have the decomposition: $\mathcal{J}_\omega=\amalg_{\mathcal{C}}J_{\mathcal{C}}$. Hence we can define a filtration according to the codimension of these prime subsets:

$$\emptyset=X_{2n+1}\subset X_{2n}(=X_{2n-1})\subset X_{2n-2}\ldots\subset X_2(=X_1)\subset X_0=\mathcal{J}_\omega,$$

where $X_{2i}:=\amalg_{Cod_{\mathbb{R}}\geq 2i}\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the union of all prime subsets having codimension no less than $2i$. In [LL] we prove the filtration is actually a stratification for a symplectic rational 4 manifold with Euler number $\chi(X)\leq 8$, see Remark 3.27. And in Section 2 of this paper we will give the proof of the following specific theorem focusing on X_0,X_2, and X_4, which suffices for applications in this paper:

Theorem 1.2. *For a rational 4 manifold having Euler number $\chi(X)\leq 8$ and any symplectic form, $X_4=\cup_{cod(\mathcal{C})\geq 4}\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $X_2=\cup_{cod(\mathcal{C})\geq 2}\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}$ are closed subsets in $X_0=\mathcal{J}_\omega$. Consequently,*

- *1. X_0-X_4 is a manifold.*
- *2. X_2-X_4 is a manifold.*
- *3. X_2-X_4 is a submanifold of X_0-X_4*
- *4. X_2-X_4 is closed in X_0-X_4.*

By applying a relative version of the Alexander duality in [Eel61], we get the following computation of $H^1(\mathcal{J}_{open})$ regarding $\mathcal{S}_\omega^{-2}$, which is the set of symplectic -2 sphere classes.

Corollary 1.3. *For a symplectic rational 4 manifold with Euler number $\chi(X)\leq 8$, $H^1(\mathcal{J}_{open})=\oplus_{A_i\in\mathcal{S}_\omega^{-2}}H^0(\mathcal{J}_{A_i})$.*

1.2. **Application to symplectomorphism group.** In Sections 4 and 5 we study the topology of $Symp(X,\omega)$, where X is a rational 4 manifold with Euler number $\chi(X) \leq 8$. Note that $Symp(X,\omega) = Symp_h(X,\omega) \rtimes \Gamma(X,\omega)$, where $Symp_h(X,\omega)$ is the homological trivial part of $Symp(X,\omega)$, also called the Torelli part. And $\Gamma(X,\omega)$ is called the non-Torelli part of $Symp(X,\omega)$, which is the image of the induced map from $Symp(X,\omega)$ to $Aut[H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})]$.

The following diagram of homotopy fibrations, formulated in [Eva11] (in the monotone case) and adopted in [LLW15] for a general ω, relates $\mathcal{J}_{open}$ and $Symp_h(X,\omega)$:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & Symp_c(U) & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
(1) & Stab^1(C) & \longrightarrow & Stab^0(C) & \longrightarrow & Stab(C) & \longrightarrow & Symp_h(X,\omega) \\
 & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & \mathcal{G}(C) & & Symp(C) & & \mathcal{C}_0 \simeq \mathcal{J}_{open}
\end{array}
$$

Each term above is a topological group except $\mathcal{C}_0 \simeq \mathcal{J}_{open}$. We will carefully explain each term in section 4 and here we only introduce the right end of diagram 1:

$$Stab(C) \to Symp_h(X,\omega) \to \mathcal{C}_0 \simeq \mathcal{J}_{open}. \tag{2}$$

For $X = \mathbb{C}P^2 \# k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k \leq 5$, C is a carefully chosen configuration of symplectic spheres as in [Eva11; LLW15]. $\mathcal{C}_0$, the space of such configurations whose components intersect symplectic orthogonally, is indeed homotopic to $\mathcal{J}_{open}$, and admit a transitive action of $Symp_h(X,\omega)$. Therefore we have the above homotopy fibration 2, where $Stab(C)$ is the stablizer of the transitive action. Moreover, the homotopy type of $Stab(C)$ can often be explicitly computed using the terms of the other parts of diagram 1. Hence if we can further reveal the homotopy type of $\mathcal{J}_{open}$, which is very sensitive to the symplectic structure ω, we may probe, at least partially, the homotopy type of $Symp_h(X,\omega)$ via the homotopy fibration 2.

Following this route, the full homotopy type of $Symp_h(X,\omega)$ in the monotone case is determined in [Eva11] for $k = 3,4,5$ (the smaller k cases follow from [Gro85] and [Abr98; LP04]), and π_0 for a general ω is shown to be trivial in [LLW15] for $k = 4$ (The smaller k cases follow from [Abr98; AM00; LP04; AP13]). In addition, the non-compact cases [HPWxi] are very similar in idea. In this paper we continue to follow this route and systematically analyze the persistence and change of the topology of $Symp(X,\omega)$ under deformation of symplectic forms (such phenomena were also discussed in [Rua93; Sei99] and [McD08]).

Note that any symplectic form on a rational 4 manifold $X = \mathbb{C}P^2 \# k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ is diffeomorphic to a reduced form. And diffeomorphic symplectic forms have homeomorphic symplctomorphism groups. Hence it suffices to understand the symplectomorphism group $Symp(X,\omega)$ for an arbitrary reduced form ω. We can further normalize the reduced form ω such that the line class $H \in H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ has area one, still denoted as ω. And we can identify ω as a vector $(1|, c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$, Specifically, we'll describe the combinatorial structure and Lie theory aspect of the cone of normalized reduced forms on X in section 2. For $k \leq 8$, such a cone is a k-dimensional polyhedron P^k with the point M_k of monotone symplectic form as a vertex. The set $\mathcal{R}$ of edges of P^k through the monotone point M_k one to one

corresponds to the set of simple roots of the Lagrangian root system of (X_k, ω_{mon}), where ω_{mon} is the monotone symplectic form on X_k. Hence we call an element in $\mathcal{R}$ a **simple root edge** or **root edge**.

1.2.1. *Symplectic Mapping Class Group(SMC).* Recall that $\pi_0(Symp(X,\omega))$ is called the Symplectic mapping class group(SMC), and it admits the short exact sequence

$$1 \to \pi_0(Symp_h(X,\omega)) \to \pi_0(Symp(X,\omega)) \to \Gamma(X,\omega) \to 1, \tag{3}$$

where $\pi_0(Symp_h(X,\omega))$ is the Torelli Symplectic mapping class group(TSMC).

Theorem 1.4 (Main Theorem 1)**.** *Let (X,ω) be a symplectic rational 4-manifold with Euler number no larger than 8. Then its Lagrangian -2 spheres form a root system Γ_L which is a sublattice of $\mathbb{D}_5$. There are 32 sub-systems, out of which 30 are of type $\mathbb{A}$ (which is type $\mathbb{A}_1$, $\mathbb{A}_2$, $\mathbb{A}_3$, $\mathbb{A}_4$, or their direct product), and the other two of type $\mathbb{D}_4$ or $\mathbb{D}_5$. We completely describe π_0 of $Symp(X,\omega)$ in terms of Γ_L as follows:*

- *When Γ_L is of type $\mathbb{A}$, the above sequence 3 is*
$$1 \to 1 \to \pi_0(Symp(X,\omega)) \to W(\Gamma_L) \to 1,$$
where $W(\Gamma_L)$ is the Weyl group of the root system Γ_L. In other word, $\pi_0(Symp(X,\omega))$ is isomorphic to $W(\Gamma_L)$;
- *And when Γ_L is of type $\mathbb{D}_n$, sequence 3 is*
$$1 \to \pi_0(Diff^+(S^2,n)) \to \pi_0(Symp(X,\omega)) \to W(\Gamma_L) \to 1,$$
where $\pi_0(Diff^+(S^2,n))$ is the mapping class group of n-punctured sphere.

The case of $\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ is particularly interesting. It is the first time that the **forget strands phenomena** is discovered for $SMC(M^{2n}), n > 1$. By the previous discussion, it suffices to consider only reduced forms. And we list them in Table 6, together with the number of symplectic -2 sphere classes N and the root system Γ_L, where there are 30 cases Γ_L is of type $\mathbb{A}$ and the other two cases of type $\mathbb{D}_4$ or $\mathbb{D}_5$.

For $\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, we choose the configuration C of 6 symplectic spheres in classes $\{E_1, E_2, \cdots E_5, Q = 2H - \sum_{i=1}^5 E_i\}$. Clearly the first five spheres are disjoint and they each intersect the last one at a single point. The term $Symp(C)$ in diagram 1, which is the product of symplectomorphism group of each marked sphere component, is homotopic to $\mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2,5) \times (S^1)^5$. In the monotone case, [Sei08] amounts to show there is a subgroup $\pi_0(\mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2,5)) \subset \pi_0(Stab(C))$, which injects to $\pi_0(Symp_h(X,\omega_{mon}))$; and [Eva11] showed $Stab(C)$ is homotopic to $\mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2,5)$ and the above injection is indeed an isomorphism. Hence TSMC is $\pi_0(\mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2,5))$. Note this is the case where $\Gamma_L = \mathbb{D}_5$.

When a symplectic form $\omega = (1|c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_5)$ has $c_i < \frac{1}{2}$, we have the fibration 2 still being

$$\mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2,5) \cong Stab(C) \to Symp_h(X,\omega) \to \mathcal{C}_0.$$

To deal with the TSMC, we consider the following portion of the long exact sequence of fibration 2:

$$\pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0) \xrightarrow{\phi} \pi_0(Stab(C)) \xrightarrow{\psi} \pi_0(Symp_h(X,\omega)) \to 1. \tag{4}$$

And $\pi_0(Stab(C)) = \pi_0(Symp(X, \omega_{mon})) = \pi_0(\text{Diff}^+(S^2, 5))$ can be identified with $P_5(S^2)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ where $P_5(S^2)$ is the 5-strand pure braid group on sphere. It admit a standard generating set where each element A_{ij} is the twist of the $j-$th point around the $i-$th point, see Lemma 5.9. And when a normalized reduced form has $c_i < \frac{1}{2}$, we can use an explicitly constructed **Semi-toric ball swapping model** 3 to analyze $Im(\phi)$, and show that when $c_i \neq c_j$, the ball swapping symplectomorphism corresponding to braid generator A_{ij} is in $Im(\phi)$.

We show in Section 5 that the Torelli symplectic mapping class group(TSMC) behaves in the way of "forgetting strands" as for the braid group on spheres when deforming the symplectic form: One can think the curves in classes $\{E_1, E_2, \cdots E_5\}$ are 5 strands on Q and $\pi_0(Stab(C))$ acting on them by the braid group. Recall (cf.[Bir69]) there is the forget one strand map

$$1 \to \pi_1(S^2 - 4 \text{ points}) \to \text{Diff}^+(S^2, 5) \xrightarrow{f_1} \text{Diff}^+(S^2, 4) \to 1$$

and the forget two strands map $\pi_0(\text{Diff}^+(S^2, 5)) \xrightarrow{f_2} \pi_0(\text{Diff}^+(S^2, 3))$, which is actually the homomorphism to the trivial group since $\pi_0(\text{Diff}^+(S^2, 3)) = \{1\}$. And we find that map ψ in sequence 4 is the analogue of the forget strands map of $\pi_0(Symp_h(X, \omega))$:

- The form for which symplectic -2 sphere classes is minimal(8 classes) other than the monotone point is a one dimensional family (a root edge) in Polyhedron P^5, and they are all diffeomorphic to a normalized form having $c_i < \frac{1}{2}$. On the one hand, using Semi-toric ball swapping model we show that $Im(\phi)$ of 4 contains $\pi_1(S^2 - 4 \text{ points})$; on the other hand, using coarse moduli of equal blow up of Hirzebruch surface and overcoming difficulty of holomorphic bubbling, we extend the argument in [Sei08] to show $\pi_0(Symp_h(X, \omega))$ surjects onto $\pi_0(\text{Diff}^+(S^2, 4))$ in Proposition 5.18. And because $\pi_0(\text{Diff}^+(S^2, k))$ is Hopfian, the map ψ is exactly the forget one strand map f_1. Note in this case, $\Gamma_L = \mathbb{D}_4$.
- Further when the form admit more symplectic -2 sphere classes, we have proposition 5.17 to deal with forms that are diffeomorphic to normalized forms with $c_1 < \frac{1}{2}$. Note that as far as the form has more than 8 symplectic -2 sphere classes, there are enough ball swapping symplectimorphism isotopic to identity, such that $Im(\phi)$ contains a generating set of $\pi_0(\text{Diff}^+(S^2, 5))$. We further have Lemma 5.11 which use Cremona transform, to show that the above results hold for any balanced reduced symplectic form, where the non-balanced forms are in a subset of the open chamber and lower (1 or 2) codimension walls. To deal with the remaining cases, we have Lemma 5.26 where we project a non-balanced form to a codimension 2 wall and then use deformation type argument in [LP04]. Packing these together we have Proposition 5.27, saying TSMC is trivial if and only if there are more than 8 symplectic -2 sphere classes. And this time the map ψ in 4 is homomorphism to the trivial group, which is the same as map f_2. Note this covers the 30 cases when Γ_L is of type $\mathbb{A}$.

1.2.2. *$\pi_1(Symp_h(X, \omega))$ and Topological Persistence.* In the mean while, we are able to relate the fundamental group of $Symp(X, \omega)$ with symplectic -2 sphere classes: On the one hand, for $X = \mathbb{C}P^2 \# k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k \leq 5$, we compute $H^1(\mathcal{J}_{open})$ by counting -2 symplectic sphere classes as in Corollary 3.24. On the other hand, for

$X = \mathbb{C}P^2\#k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k \leq 4$ with arbitrary ω, Lemma 4.7 guarantees that $H^1(\mathcal{J}_{open})$ is isomorphic to $\pi_1(\mathcal{J}_{open}) = \pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0)$. Hence symplectic -2 sphere classes determine $\pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0)$. And we further look at the following portion of long exact sequence of fibration 2:

$$\pi_1(Stab(C)) \to \pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega)) \to \pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0) \to 1. \tag{5}$$

Note that in the cases we deal with, $\pi_1(Symp(X,\omega_{mon})) = \pi_1(Stab(C))$ and it always injects into $\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega))$. Hence $\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega))$ is determined as follows:

Theorem 1.5 (Main Theorem 2)**.** *If (X,ω) is a symplectic rational 4 manifold with Euler number $\chi(X) \leq 7$, and N is the number of -2 $\omega-$symplectic sphere classes, then*

$$\pi_1(Symp(X,\omega)) = \mathbb{Z}^N \oplus \pi_1(Symp(X,\omega_{mon})).$$

This means that $\pi_1(Symp(X,\omega))$ is persistent on the each open chamber or the interior of each wall. And we observe the following amusing consequence.

Corollary 1.6. *For any rational 4-manifold (X,ω) with Euler number $\chi(X) \leq 7$, the integer*

$$PR[\Gamma(X,\omega)] + Rank[\pi_1(Symp(X,\omega))]$$

is a constant only depending on the topology of X, where $PR[\Gamma(X,\omega)]$ is the number of positive root of the reflection group $\Gamma(X,\omega)$.

In addition, for $X = \mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, we use abelianization of sequence 5 to derive a lower bound of the rank of $\pi_1(Symp(X,\omega))$ in Lemma 5.32 and Remark 5.33. Together with Corollary 6.9 in [McD08], we can obtain the precise rank of $\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega)$ for most cases:

Proposition 1.7. *Let X be $\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ with a reduced symplectic form ω. If $c_i < 1/2$, and TSMC is connected, then rank of $\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega)) = N - 5$, where N is the number of symplectic -2 sphere classes.*

Remark 1.8. *Note that $\pi_1(Symp(X,\omega))$ is an Abelian group. In terms of Γ_L, its free rank can be often be precisely computed if Γ_L is of type $\mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{D}_5$. And when Γ_L is of type $\mathbb{D}_4$, we have a fine estimate of the free rank. And hence we conjecture the persistence type result analogous to Corollary 1.6 will also apply here:*

$$PR[\Gamma(X,\omega)] + Rank[\pi_1(Symp(X,\omega))] - Rank[\pi_0(Symp_h(X,\omega))]$$

is a constant for $X = (\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2},\omega)$, where ω is any symplectic form. Here rank of $\pi_0(Symp_h(X,\omega))$ means the rank of its abelianization.

Finally we combine the analysis of π_1 and π_0 of $Symp(\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2},\omega)$ to obtain the following conclusion on -2 symplectic spheres:

Corollary 1.9. *Homologous -2 symplectic spheres in $\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ are symplectically isotopic for any symplectic form.*

Acknowledgements: The authors are supported by NSF Grants. The first author would like to thank Professor Daniel Juan Pineda for pointing out the reference [GG13].

2. Structure of Normalized reduced symplectic cone and -2 sphere classes

Any symplectic form on the rational 4 manifold is diffeomorphic to a reduced one. For a small rational 4 manifold (Euler number $\chi(X) < 12$), we'll describe the combinatorial structure and Lie theory aspects of the cone of normalized reduced forms. We show that it is convexly generated by the set of root edges $\mathcal{R}$, which is also the set of simple roots of the Lagrangian root system.

2.1. **Normalized reduced symplectic cone: Combinatorics and Lie theory.**

2.1.1. *Reduced symplectic forms.* It is convenient to introduce the notion of reduced symplectic forms. For $X = \mathbb{C}P^2\#n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, let $\{H, E_1, \cdots, E_n\}$ be a standard basis of $H_2(\mathbb{C}P^2\#n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2};\mathbb{Z})$ where$H$ is the line class and E_is are the exceptional classes. We often identify the degree 2 homology with degree 2 cohomology using Poincaré duality.

Definition 2.1. *Let X be $\mathbb{C}P^2\#n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ with a standard basis $H, E_1, E_2, \cdots, E_n$ of $H_2(X;\mathbb{Z})$. Given a symplectic form ω such that each class $H, E_1, \cdots, E_n$ has $\omega-$area $\nu, c_1, \cdots, c_n$, then ω is called* **reduced** *(with respect to the basis)if*

$$\nu > c_1 \geq c_2 \geq \cdots \geq c_n > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu \geq c_i + c_j + c_k. \tag{6}$$

Remark 2.2. *For $\mathbb{C}P^2\#\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, the reduced condition is $\nu > c_1 > 0$; and for $\mathbb{C}P^2\#2\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, the reduced condition is $c_1 \geq c_2, c_1 + c_2 < \nu$.*

The cohomology class of ω is $\nu H - c_1E_1 - c_2E_2 - \cdots - c_nE_n$. And with any $J \in \mathcal{J}_\omega$ on $\mathbb{C}P^2\#n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, the first Chern class $c_1 := c_1(J) \in H^2(\mathbb{C}P^2\#n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2};\mathbb{Z})$ is $K := 3H - \sum_i E_i$. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the symplectic cone of $\mathbb{C}P^2\#n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{K} = \{A \in H^2(\mathbb{C}P^2\#n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2};\mathbb{Z}) | A = [\omega] \text{ for some symplectic form } \omega\}.$$

Because of the uniqueness of symplectic blowup Theorem in [McD90], the diffeomorphism class of the form only depends on its cohomology class and we only need to consider the fundamental domain for the action of $\mathrm{Diff}^+(X) \times R^*$ on $\mathcal{K}$. Further, [LL01] shows that the canonical class K is unique up to $\mathrm{Diff}^+(X)$ action, we only need to describe the action of the subgroup $\mathrm{Diff}_K \subset \mathrm{Diff}^+(X)$ of diffeomorphisms fixing K on $\mathcal{K}_K = \{A \in H^2(\mathbb{C}P^2\#n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2} | A = [\omega] \text{ for some } \omega \in \Omega_K\}$, where Ω_K is the subset of symplectic cone with K as the symplectic canonical class. Now we recall [LL01] that

Theorem 2.3. *The fundamental domain of* $\mathrm{Diff_K}$ *acting on $\mathcal{K}_K$ is the set of reduced classes $\nu H - c_1E_1 - c_2E_2 - \cdots - c_nE_n$.*

We give the following change of basis in $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ in preparation for section 3. Note that $X = S^2 \times S^2\#k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k \geq 1$ can be naturally identified with $\mathbb{C}P^2\#(k+1)\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$. Denote the basis of H_2 by $B, F, E_1', \cdots, E_k'$ and $H, E_1, \cdots, E_k, E_{k+1}$ respectively. Then the transition on the basis is explicitly given by

$$\begin{aligned} B &= H - E_2, \\ F &= H - E_1, \\ E_1' &= H - E_1 - E_2, \\ E_i' &= E_{i+1}, \forall i \geq 2, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

with the inverse transition given by:

$$\begin{aligned} H &= B + F - E_1', \\ E_1 &= B - E_1', \\ E_2 &= F - E_1', \\ E_j &= E_{j-1}', \forall j > 2. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

$\nu H - c_1E_1 - c_2E_2 - \cdots - c_kE_k = \mu B + F - a_1E_1' - a_2E_2' - \cdots - a_{k-1}E_{k-1}'$ if and only if $\mu = (\nu - c_2)/(\nu - c_1), a_1 = (\nu - c_1 - c_2)/(\nu - c_1), a_2 = c_3/(\nu - c_1), \cdots a_{k-1} = c_k/(\nu - c_1)$. Hence

Lemma 2.4. *For $X = S^2 \times S^2 \# n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, any symplectic form ω is diffeomorphic to a reduced form and it can be further normalized to have area:*

$$\omega(B) = \mu, \omega(F) = 1, \omega(E_1') = a_1, \omega(E_2') = a_2, \cdots, \omega(E_n') = a_n$$

such that

$$\mu \geq 1 \geq a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_n \quad \text{and} \quad a_i + a_j \leq 1. \tag{9}$$

We also have the adjunction formula for embedded symplectic spheres:

Let A be the homology class of an embedded symplectic sphere and K the canonical class, then we have

$$K \cdot A + A \cdot A + 2 = 0 \tag{10}$$

Note that the canonical class K for a reduced form can be written down as $K = -2B - 2F + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} E_i'$ or $K = -3H + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} E_i$.

And we also observe the useful fact, which will be applied in section 5:

Lemma 2.5. *Let X be $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ with a reduced symplectic form ω, and ω is represented using a vector $(1|c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_n)$. Then E_n has the smallest area among exceptional sphere classes in X.*

Proof. One can explicitly write down the exceptional spheres using basis $H, E_1, \cdots, E_n$, Then because the form is reduced, the canonical class can be written as $K = -3H + E_1 + \cdots + E_n$. Then by Adjunction formula 10, for any exceptional sphere class $A = dH - \sum_i a_iE_i$, $K \cdot A = -1$. By the reduced assumption, $\omega(E_1) \geq \cdots \geq \omega(E_i) \geq \cdots \geq \omega(E_n)$. Because the form is reduced, then $\omega(H - E_i - E_j - E_k) \geq 0$ for any i, j, k if there is no repeated E_1 or E_2. We now verify that the curve $A = dH - \sum_i a_iE_i$ can always be rearranged such that it is the sum of d curves: $d - 1$ of them in homology class $H - E_i - E_j - E_k$ with no repeated E_1 or E_2 and one curve in $H - E_i - E_j$ which is neither $H - 2E_1$ nor $H - 2E_2$.

We first distribute dH into the $d - 1$ curves of type $H - E_i - E_j - E_k$ and one $H - E_i - E_j$. Note that the genus of A is larger than 0, which means any coefficient a_i cannot exceed $d - 1$, in particular, $a_1, a_2 \geq d - 1$.

Hence it is always possible to distribute the a_1 E_1's into a_1 different curves of type $H - E_i - E_j - E_k$. And the same can be done to distribute the a_2 E_2's into a_2 different curves having the form $H - E_i - E_j - E_k$. After the two distributions, we can rearrange the rest E_i's arbitrarily. Then it is easy to see that we have the desired rearrangement of $A = dH - \sum_i a_iE_i$. And clearly, $\omega(A) = \omega(dH - \sum_i a_iE_i) \geq \omega(E_k), \forall k$. This argument means any exceptional sphere class A has an area no less than c_n.

□

2.1.2. *Combinatorics: Normalized Reduced symplectic cone as polyhedron.* For a rational 4 manifolds X, the space of normalized reduced symplectic form is called **Normalized Reduced symplectic cone**(see section 2.2.2 for its relation with the symplectic cone). When $\chi(X) < 12$, it is a strongly convex polyhedron generated by its root edges, as defined below:

Definition 2.6. *A* **closed convex polyhedron** *is defined as* $P_c := \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n | A(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0) \leq 0\}$, *where* $\vec{x}$ *is a n-dimensional column vector and* $A = [\vec{a}_1, \cdots, \vec{a}_n]^t$ *is a non-singular matrix. And a facet* F_m *is a codimension 1 subset of* P_c, *where* $F_m := \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n | \vec{a}_n^t \cdot \vec{x} = 0\}$.

Remark 2.7. *Similarly, we can define a* **open convex polyhedron** $P_o := \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n | A(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0) < 0\}$, *which can be realized as removing all facets from the corresponding closed convex polyhedron. For simplicity, we call a subset of a closed convex polyhedron* P_c *a* **polyhedron** P, *if* P *is the complement of a union(possibly null) of facets. A* **k-face**, $0 \leq k \leq n$ *is a subset of* P *given by* $n - k$ *equations:* $\{\vec{x} | \vec{a}_{r_i}^t = 0, r_i = 1, \cdots, n-k\}$, *where* $a_{r_i}^t$ *is a row vector of matrix* A. *In particular, we use the following names interchangeably: a* **vertex** *is a 0-face and obviously* $\vec{x}_0$ *is a vertex point; a* **edge** *is a 1-face; and a* **facet** *is a* $(n-1)-$*face. an* **open chamber** *is an n-face; and a* **wall** *is a k-face where* $k < n$. *And we can compare this with remark 2.18*

Proposition 2.8. *For* $X = \mathbb{C}P^2 \# k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, 3 \leq k \leq 8$, *the normalized reduced symplectic cone is defined as the space of reduced symplectic forms having area 1 on* H, *the line class. It is a polyhedron with a vertex being the monotone form.*

Proof. For $X = \mathbb{C}P^2 \# k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, 3 \leq k \leq 8$, we normalize the form into the vector $(1|c_1, \cdots, c_k)$. There is a form $\omega_{mon} = (1|\frac{1}{3}, \cdots, \frac{1}{3})$, called the monotone form. We consider the form $(c_1, \cdots, c_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$. There is a linear translation moving $M_k = (\frac{1}{3}, \cdots, \frac{1}{3})$ to 0. And under this linear translation, it is easy to see from 6 that the image of all reduced symplectic form is a polyhedron P, i.e., if $(1|c_1, \cdots, c_k)$ is a reduced form, then for $x = (c_1 - \frac{1}{3}, \cdots, c_k - \frac{1}{3})$, the reduced condition 6 can be written as subset of $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^k, Ax \leq 0\}$, for some matrix $A \in GL_k(\mathbb{Z})$, with one or two facet removed. And further, $P \cap (-P) = \{0\}$, because $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^k, Ax \leq 0\} \cap \{-x \in \mathbb{R}^k, Ax \leq 0\} = 0$. This means the space of reduced form is a strictly convex polyhedron, with a vertex being the monotone form. □

And for the above manifolds X and their reduced cone P, any $k-$face is convexly generated by their root edge $\mathcal{R}$, denoted by $P_{\mathcal{S}}$. The interior of $P_{\mathcal{S}}$ is called a wall of the reduced cone.

Remark 2.9. *For smaller rational manifolds, the cone is easier to describe, as illustrated in section 2.2.2.*

2.1.3. *Lie theory: Wall and chambers labeled by Dynkin diagram.* Firstly, Lagrangian -2 sphere classes in rational manifolds generate a Root system. And we start with a reformulation of facts in [Man86], giving the concept of **monotone Lagrangian root system** in 2.11.

Note that for a rational 4-manifold with Euler number $\chi(X) < 12$ equipped with a reduced symplectic form, the number of Lagrangian sphere classes is finite and can be described as root system correspond to a simple laced Dynkin diagram

in the following way: Now let X be a Del Pezzo surface of degree d which is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Define $r := 9 - d$, d is the degree of X. There exists a basis of $PicV : H, E_1 \cdots E_r$. For an integer r we define $(N_r, K_r, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ and subsets $R_r, I_r \subset N_r$.

- $N_r := \bigoplus_{0 \le i \le r} \mathbb{Z} \cdot A_i$ where A_i is the above basis.
- $K_r := (-3, 1, 1, \ldots, 1) \in N_r$.
- $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a bilinear form $N_r \times N_r \to \mathbb{Z}$ given on the basis by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H, H \rangle &= 1 \\ \langle E_i, E_i \rangle &= -1 \quad \text{for } i \ge 1, \\ \langle E_i, E_j \rangle &= 0 \quad \text{if } i \ne j. \end{aligned}$$

- $R_r := \{A \in N_r \mid \langle A, K_r \rangle = 0,\ \langle A, A \rangle = -2\}$,
- $I_r := \{A \in N_r \mid \langle A, K_r \rangle = \langle A, A \rangle = -1\}$.

Then it is well known(see [Man86]) that one can describe these root systems R_r in terms of the standard irreducible root systems:

Lemma 2.10. *The -2 classes in R_r of a Del Pezzo surface X_r of degree $9-r, 2 \le r \le 8$ form a root system $\mathbb{E}_r$:*

r	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
R_r	$\mathbb{A}_1$	$\mathbb{A}_1 \times \mathbb{A}_2$	$\mathbb{A}_4$	$\mathbb{D}_5$	$\mathbb{E}_6$	$\mathbb{E}_7$	$\mathbb{E}_8$
$\lvert R_r \rvert$	2	8	20	40	72	126	240

Remark 2.11. *In the above Lemma 2.10, the root system only depends on the smooth topology of the ambient manifold, and we denote it by $\Gamma(X_r)$, and name it as the monotone Lagrangian root system of X_r.*

Recall from Lie algebra that the set of roots $R_r = R(\Gamma(X_r)) = R^+(X_r) \cup -R^+(X_r)$ where $R^+(X_r)$ is the set of positive roots, which is defined here as $R^+(X_r) := \{A \in R(\Gamma(X_r)) | A \cdot [\omega] > 0, [\omega]$ is the class of a reduced form $\omega\}$. And $R^+(X_r)$ is positive integrally spanned by a set of simple roots, which 1-1 corresponds to the vertices in the Dynkin diagram of Γ_L.

Denote $\mathbb{E}_0 = \mathbb{E}_1 = \emptyset, \mathbb{E}_2 = \mathbb{A}_1$, $\mathbb{E}_3 = \mathbb{A}_1 \times \mathbb{A}_2, \mathbb{E}_4 = \mathbb{A}_4, \mathbb{E}_5 = \mathbb{D}_5$, then $X_k := \mathbb{C}P^2 \# k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, 0 \le k \le 8$ has root system $\mathbb{E}_k$.

The last section tells us for $X = \mathbb{C}P^2 \# k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, 3 \le k \le 8$, the normalized reduced cone is convexly generated by its root edges. And the root edges are labeled using M the monotone point and another vertex, e.g. $O, A, B, \cdots$. On the other hand, Lie theory together with Lemma 2.10 tells us that the -2 sphere classes form a set of positive roots of the manifolds above, where the reduced condition gives us a canonical set of **simple roots** by fixing a chamber.

Also, any k-face contains M as a vertex, and any vertex other than M is 1-1 corresponding to a root edge. The fundamental observation is that an root edge appearing in the closure of the k-face $P_{\mathcal{S}}$ corresponds to a simple root of the lagrangian system: For $X_k = \mathbb{C}P^2 \# k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, 3 \le k \le 8$, there is a generic choice of simple roots given in this way: $MO = H - E_1 - E_2 - E_3$, $MA = E_1 - E_2$, $MB = E_2 - E_3, \cdots, ML_{k-1} = E_{k-1} - E_k, \cdots, MG = E_7 - E_8$.

Note that they being symplectic or Lagrangian corresponds to the sign being " $>$ " or " $=$ " respectively in the reduced condition 6: $\lambda := c_1 + c_2 + c_1 \le 1$, $c_1 \ge c_2, \ldots\ c_{k-1} \ge c_k$.

And the above identification indeed gives a polyhedron P_L^k constructed from the Dynkin diagram. And clearly

Proposition 2.12.

$$P_L^k \cong P^k,$$

which is a 1-1 correspondence of subsets in $\mathbb{R}^k$.

Further, in the monotone point of the normalized reduced cone, all simple roots are Lagrangian, and the root edges form the root lattice of the manifold Γ_X. On each wall, the set of edges not in the closure of the wall form a set of simple roots of the Lagrangian sublattice Γ_L, whose Weyl group will be shown to be the homological action of $Symp(X,\omega), \omega \in P_{\mathcal{S}}$. And indeed,

Proposition 2.13. *Denote the wall of sublattice in* P_L^k *by* W_L *and the wall of corresponding vertices in* P^k *by* W_V.

$$W_L \cong W_V,$$

which is a 1-1 correspondence of subsets in $\mathbb{R}^k$.

As discussed above, each wall(or chamber) is labeled by Lagrangian root system(Dynkin diagram). And the set of Symplectic -2 sphere classes, which is also labeled on the wall. Here we give the Lie algebraic way to observe this fact:

$$SS(\Gamma_L) = R^+(X) \setminus R^+(\Gamma_L), \tag{11}$$

where $SS(\Gamma_L)$ is the set of Symplectic -2 sphere classes of the wall labeled by Γ_L, while $R^+(X)$(and $R^+(\Gamma_L)$) means the set of positive roots of the manifold X (and Γ_L respectively).

2.1.4. *A uniform description for reduced cone of* M *when* $\chi(M) < 12$. Combinatorially, for $X_k = \mathbb{C}P^2 \# k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, 3 \le k \le 8$, the normalized reduced cone P^k can be described uniformly in both ways: Combinatorially, it is obtained by the closed polyhedron using the reduced condition 6, with the facet $c_k = 0$ removed. The blowdown process is similar. For any rational 4 manifold with $\chi(X) < 12$ the reduced cone of them are unified in this way: Take the closure of P^8 and obtain P_c^8. $P_c^8 - P^8$ is the closure of P^7, denoted by P_c^7. while projecting P_c^8 to the plane $c_8 = 0$, one get closure of P_c^7. This operation is to blow down along E_8. And the monotone point of P_c^7 is obtained by projecting P_c^8 to plane $c_8 = 0$. And for $k \ge 4$, one can do the same by induction: projecting P_c^k to the plane $c_k = 0$ and obtain P_c^{k-1}, where the monotone point is preserved under this blowdown operation. And start from P_c^3, which will be illustrated and remarked in 2.16, 2.15,2.14, projecting to plane $c_3 = 0$, one get the P_c^2, but the monotone point is not preserved this time. Further, from P_c^2 one obtain the normalized reduced cone of $S^2 \times S^2$ and one point blow up.

Correspondingly, Lie theoretic approach goes this way:

Start from P_c^8 labeled by $\mathbb{E}_8$ with all simple roots $MO, MA, \cdots, MG$:



Blowing down one get P_c^7 labeled by $\mathbb{E}_7$ with simple roots $MO, MA, \cdots, MF$:



Blowing down one more time one get P_c^6 labeled by $\mathbb{E}_6$ simple roots MO, MA, $\cdots, ME$:



Blowing down one more time one get P_c^5 labeled by $\mathbb{D}_5$ simple roots $MO, MA, \cdots, MD$. Note this is $X_5 = \mathbb{C}P^2 \# 5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$.



Blowing down one more time one get P_c^5 labeled by $\mathbb{A}_4$ simple roots $MO, MA, \cdots, MC$. Note this is $X_4 = \mathbb{C}P^2 \# 4\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$.

2.2. **Examples:** $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k = 1, 2, 3$ **and remarks for** $k > 9$**.** To make the above general discussion clear, we give an example using $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k = 1, 2, 3,$:

2.2.1. *Examples:* $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k = 1, 2, 3$. Explicit cone structure and illustration will be given for $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k = 1, 2, 3$:
The case of $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{P}^2 \# \mathbf{3}\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbf{P}^2}$

k-Face	N_L	N	$\omega-$area and position in the cone
Point M,	4	0	$(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$: monotone
Edge MO:	3	1	$\lambda < 1; c_1 = c_2 = c_3$
Edge MA:	2	2	$\lambda = 1; c_1 > c_2 = c_3$
Edge MB:	2	2	$\lambda = 1; c_1 = c_2 > c_3$
ΔMOA:	1	3	$\lambda < 1; c_1 > c_2 = c_3$
ΔMOB:	1	3	$\lambda < 1; c_1 = c_2 > c_3$
ΔMAB:	1	3	$\lambda = 1; c_1 > c_2 > c_3$
T_{MOAB}:	0	4	$\lambda < 1; c_1 > c_2 > c_3$

TABLE 1. Reduced cone of $X_3 = \mathbb{C}P^2 \# 3\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$



FIGURE 1. Normalized Reduced cone of $\mathbb{C}P^2\#3\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$

In picture 1, the tetrahedron $MOAB$ is the normalized reduced symplectic cone, which further described using table 1. And the open chamber is the space of reduced forms $\lambda := c_1 + c_2 + c_3 < 1; c_1 > c_2 > c_3$. A wall of codimension k is a the connected subsets of the closure of this open chamber where k number of " $>$ " made into " $=$ ". Also, N and N_L are the number of Symplectic (or Lagrangian respectively) -2 sphere classes associated with each wall, where in this case $N = N_L = 4$ because there are 4 smooth -2 sphere classes. And we can describe the reduced cone as follows:

- The monotone case (point M) where there is no symplectic -2 sphere classes. And 3 Lagrangian simple roots $MO = H - E_1 - E_2 - E_3$, $MA = E_1 - E_2$, $MB = E_2 - E_3$ form Γ_{X_3} with the Dynkin diagram:

- one walls MO, corresponding to $\Gamma_L = \mathbb{A}_2$, which is obtained from Γ_{X_3} removing MO:



- MA, corresponding to $\Gamma_L = \mathbb{A}_1 \times \mathbb{A}_1$, which is obtained from Γ_{X_3} removing MA:



- MB, corresponding to $\Gamma_L = \mathbb{A}_1 \times \mathbb{A}_1$ which is obtained from Γ_{X_3} removing MB:



- 3 walls of codimension 1: 3 facets of the tetrahedron, triangle OAM, OBM, MAB, each corresponding to $\Gamma_L = \mathbb{A}_1$ lattice of node MB, MA and MO respectively(by removing all other vertices). Note that the horizontal

dashed triangle OAB is not a root hyperplane. Instead, it is the blowdown of X, see Remark 2.14

- The open chamber of reduced forms, which is the interior of the tetrahedron $MOAB$, denoted by T_{MOAB} where 4 spherical -2 class are all symplectic and the Lagrangian lattice Γ_L is $\emptyset$.

Remark 2.14. *Note that the projection onto plane $c_3 = 0$ is the closure of the normalized reduced cone of $\mathbb{C}P^2\#2\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, which is performing a blow down along E_3.*

The case of $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{P^2}\#\mathbf{2}\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbf{P^2}}$

The picture below is the reduced cone of $\mathbb{C}P^2\#2\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, which is a closed polyhedron with two facets removed:



To obtain the whole symplectic cone, one just apply the reflection of $\mathbb{A}_1$ to the reduced cone. And we can describe the reduced cone as follows:

- One wall OB where the symplectic form is on the line $c_1 = c_2$, and the edge BO is a Lagrangian -2 sphere forming Γ_L with the Dynkin diagram



- One open chamber, the interior of ΔBOA, there's no Lagrangian -2 sphere which means Γ_L is null. And symplectic -2 spheres is the whole $R^+ = \{E_1 - E_2\}$.

Remark 2.15. *Note that the edge OA and AB are not in the reduced cone of $\mathbb{C}P^2\#\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$.*

OA is the normalized reduced cone of $\mathbb{C}P^2\#\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ as the previous case. Hence projecting to the $c_2 = 0$ axis is to blow down along E_2.

AB is the normalized reduced cone of $S^2 \times S^2$. The reason is follows. Think $\mathbb{C}P^2\#2\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ as $S^2\times S^2\#\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ with basis B, F, E. Take the base change as in equation 7, we have on AB, $\omega(B) = 1-c_2, \omega(F) = 1-c_1$ and $\omega(E) = 1-c_1-c_2 = 0$. Hence on AB we actually have $S^2 \times S^2$ and the ratio of the area of the two spheres can be any real number no less than 1. Hence projecting to the $c_1 + c_2 = 1$ direction is the same as blowing down $H - E_1 - E_2$.

The case of $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{P^2}\#\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbf{P^2}}$

The picture below is the reduced cone of $\mathbb{C}P^2\#\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, it is slightly different from the latter cases because monotone form is not a vertex:



Remark 2.16. *Note that the point O is not in the reduced cone. Instead, it stands for $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with the Fubuni-Study form. Hence projection toward O direction means blowing down along E_1.*

2.2.2. *Symplectic cone and Normalized reduced cone, and discussion for general cases.* This section is a discussion, which contains no result needed.

First, we discuss the relation of the normalized reduced cone with the symplectic cone as following for $X = \mathbb{C}P^2\#n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, following notation in [LL02].

We start from the positive cone $\mathcal{P} = \{e \in H^2(X;\mathbb{R})|e \cdot e > 0\}$. This is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, which is called the positive cone. A corollary of [LL06] is that the action of $\mathrm{Diff}(X)$ on $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is transitive on the positive cone $\mathcal{P}$. When $\chi(X) < 12$, reflection along elements I_r (defined in front of Lemma 2.10) is finite, denoting D_{-1}, and the fundamental domain of this action is called the P-Cell. For the basis and canonical class K given in 6, when Euler number is less than 12, the **P-Cell** is $PC = \{e \in \mathcal{P}|K \cdot e > 0\}$. The P-Cell can be thought as the fundamental domain under the reflection along hyper-planes of -1 curves D_{-1}. For the P-Cell of rational manifold with larger Euler number, see Remark 2.17.

And we can apply Cremona transform on the P-Cell, which is the reflection along hyperplane of -2 curves. And the Cremona transform is the Weyl group of the root system given in Lemma 2.10. The fundamental domain of $\mathrm{Diff}_K(X)$ as in Theorem 2.3 is the space of reduced forms $\mathcal{P}_r$. In a Lie theoretic point view, this is to take the intersection of the P-Cell with the chamber of reduced form the Weyl arrangement, we obtain $\mathcal{P}_r$. Precisely, start with Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with an arrangement of hyperplanes called the root hyperplane of the root system of X as given in Lemma 2.10, the connected component of the complement of the union of the root hyperplane is called the Weyl chamber.

And we normalize symplectic forms in $\mathcal{P}_r$ such that any form has area 1 on H class. Then we obtain the corresponding so-called **normalized reduced cone** of M. We'll see immediately this normalized reduced cone is a polyhedron of dimension n and we denote it by P^n for $X_n = \mathbb{C}P^2\#n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$.

More explicitly, there is an open chamber and many walls(open part of root hyperplane): Suppose we blow up $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with Fubini-Study form ω_{FS} k times to obtain X, i.e. the line class H has area 1. And suppose the blow up sizes are $c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_n$(one can denote the form by $(1|, c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_n)$,) satisfying the reduced condition 6. Then the open chamber is given by the forms $\omega = (1|, c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_n)$ such that any $\geq$ in 6 replaced by $>$. And the walls are given by the forms $\omega = (1|, c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_n)$ such that some $\geq$ in 6 replaced by $=$.

Remark 2.17. *When $n \geq 9$, the P-Cell needs to be cut by one more quadratic equation and a K-positive equation. Further, the Cremona transform group is infinite, guided by Kac-Moody algebra, see [ZGQ06] for details.*

Then we compare our Polyhedron with cone as in the next remark:

Remark 2.18. *Let $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a subset of a finite dimensional real vector space. We say that C is a* **cone** *(respectively convex subset) if whenever α and $\beta \in \mathcal{C}$ then $\lambda\alpha + \mu\beta \in C$ for all $\lambda \geq 0$, $\mu \geq 0$, (respectively such that $\lambda + \mu = 1$). We say*

that $\mathcal{C}$ is **strictly convex** *if $\mathcal{C}$ contains no positive dimensional linear subspaces, or equivalently, $\mathcal{C} \cap (-\mathcal{C}) = \{0\}$. We say that $R \in \mathcal{C}$ is a ray of a cone $\mathcal{C}$ if $R = \mathbb{R}^+\alpha$, for some nonzero vector $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$. We say that R is an* **extremal ray** *if whenever $\beta + \gamma \in R$, where β and $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$, then β and $\gamma \in R$.*

One find that the closed convex polyhedron with the origin as a vertex can be obtained by taking the intersection of the cone $\mathcal{C}$ with the half space $H = \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n | a^t\vec{x} \leq 0\}$, which contains the origin.

By [Ful93], section 1.2 (13), strictly convex cone is generated by its rays. And indeed, we can choose the extremal ray as generators of the cone of reduced forms. Because of the above Remark, we often call it the **normalized reduced symplectic cone** *or* **reduced cone**. *And a* **root edge** *of the cone refers to the edges of the polyhedron while a* **wall** *of the cone refers to the interior of the k-face of polyhedron P, $2 \leq k < n = dimP$.*

Finally, we give another equivalent (combinatorial) way to interpret symplectic spheres and Lagrangian spheres as in 11:

Remark 2.19. *A generic symplectic form ω corresponds to an open chamber of the Weyl arrangement of $\mathbb{E}_n$. And fix such generic form is the same as choosing a polarization of the root system, and hence determining a set of positive roots R_r^+, each of them can be represented by a smooth embedded symplectic -2 sphere. And on each root hyperplane(wall), as the form deformed, some of them become Lagrangian -2 sphere classes while the rest classes in R_r^+ remain symplectic. And any -2 symplectic sphere in $\mathbb{C}P^2\#k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k \leq 5$ are in classes $E_i - E_j$ or $H - E_i - E_j - E_k$. We will perform a base change and we can list the set of positive roots R_{k+1}^+ of $S^2 \times S^2\#k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k \leq 4$ w.r.t this form explicitly in Remark 3.21. Hence we have a another description of $SS_{\mathcal{S}}$ of $\omega-$symplectic sphere classes and the set $LS_{\mathcal{S}}$ of $\omega-$Lagrangian sphere classes of each wall: $LS_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the set of positive roots, and $SS_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the complement of $LS_{\mathcal{S}}$ in $R^+(X)$. And the wall is naturally labeled with the set $SS_{\mathcal{S}}$ of $\omega-$symplectic sphere classes and the set $LS_{\mathcal{S}}$ of $\omega-$Lagrangian sphere classes for $\omega \in int(P_{\mathcal{S}})$. Specifically, each root edge R_i is labeled with SS_{R_i} and LS_{R_i}. And there are simple relations about the sets on the wall $SS_{\mathcal{S}}$, $LS_{\mathcal{S}}$ and the sets on the root edges SS_{R_i} and LS_{R_i}:*

$$SS_{\mathcal{S}} = \cup_{R_i \in \mathcal{S}} SS_{R_i}; \quad LS_{\mathcal{S}} = \cap_{R_i \in \mathcal{S}} LS_{R_i}.$$

And we denote the cardinality of $SS_{\mathcal{S}}$, $LS_{\mathcal{S}}$ by N and N_L respectively.

3. Level 2 stratification of $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ for $S^2 \times S^2\#n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, n \leq 4$

In this section, we study the space of tamed almost complex structures $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ of a symplectic 4 manifold X with given symplectic form ω, and define a decomposition of $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ via smooth rational curves into prime submanifolds. For $\mathbb{C}P^2\#k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k \leq 5$ with arbitrary symplectic form ω, we directly prove that such decomposition is indeed a stratification in the sense that taking closure agrees with the codimension at certain levels, see Proposition 3.18.

Throughout this section we identify $\mathbb{C}P^2\#k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ with $S^2 \times S^2\#(k-1)\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ and apply base change 7 to use the basis $B, F, E_1, \cdots, E_{k-1}$ as basis of $H_2(X)$. The purpose is to make the notation compatible with [AP13], where Lemma 3.14 can be think as generalization of Lemma 2.10 in [AP13].

3.1. Decomposition of $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ via smooth rational curves.

3.1.1. *General facts of J-holomorphic curves and symplectic spheres.* We review general facts of J-holomorphic curves for symplectic 4-manifold. Firstly the local properties due to Gromov [Gro85], McDuff [McD90]:

Theorem 3.1 (Positivity). *In a given closed symplectic 4-manifold (X, ω), let C, C' be two closed J-holomorphic curves. Then the contribution k_p of each point of intersection of C and C' to the intersection number $C \cdot C'$ is a strictly positive integer. It is equal to 1 if and only if C and C' are both regular at p and meet transversally at that point.*

Theorem 3.2 (Adjunction Inequality). *Let(X^4, J) be an almost complex manifold and $u : \Sigma \to M$ is a J-holomorphic curve which is not a multiple covering. Then the virtual genus of the image $C = Im(u)$ is defined as $g_v(C) = (C \cdot C - c_1(C))/2 + 1$, which is always no less than the genus of Σ, where equality holds if and only if the map is an embedding.*

Then we need to recall Gromov Compactness Theorem (cf [Gro85],[MS04]) and Fredholm framework:

Theorem 3.3 (Gromov Compactness Theorem). *Let (X, ω) be a compact closed, connected symplectic manifold, and let $(J_n) \in \mathcal{J}_\omega$ be a sequence which converges to J_0 in C^∞-topology. Let Σ be a compact, connected Riemann surface without boundary, and let (j_n) be a sequence of complex structures on Σ. Suppose $u_n : \Sigma \to M$ is a sequence of $(J_n, j_n)-$holomorphic curves such that*

$$u_n^*[\Sigma] = [A] \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}), [A] \neq 0.$$

Then up to a re-parametrization of each u_n, there are

- *finitely many simple closed loops γ_i in Σ,*
- *a finite union of Riemann surfaces $\Sigma' = \cup_\alpha \Sigma_\alpha$, which is obtained by collapsing each of the simple closed curves γ_i on Σ to a point,*
- *a continuous map $u : \Sigma_0 \to M$ such that $u|\Sigma_\alpha$ is a (J, j_0)-holomorphic curve, where j_0 is the complex structure on each component of Σ_0,*

such that

- *a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$, converges to u and in the complement of any fixed open neighborhood of $\cup_i \gamma_i$, j_n converges to j_0 in C^∞-topology;*
- *$\sum_\alpha u_*([\Sigma_\alpha]) = [A] \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$.*

Following Gromov, we call the limiting curve $u : \Sigma_0 \to M$ a cusp curve. We are particularly interested in the case when the J-holomorphic curve has a sphere as its domain.

Let $\mathcal{S}_\omega$ denote the set of homology classes of embedded ω-symplectic sphere with negative self-intersection. Let

$$\mathcal{S}_\omega^{<0}, \quad \mathcal{S}_\omega^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{S}_\omega^{\leq -2}$$

be the subsets of classes with self-intersection negative, non-negative, -1 and less than -1 respectively.

If $A \cdot A = -k, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we call $cod_A = 2k_i - 2$ the codimension of the curve class. And if $A \cdot A \geq -1$, the codimension of the curve class is defined as 0.

Proposition 3.4 (Exceptional sphere and Non-negative sphere). *Let(X^4, ω) be a closed symplectic 4-manifold and A a class in $\mathcal{S}_\omega^{-1}$ or $\mathcal{S}_\omega^{\geq 0}$. Then for a generic $J \in \mathcal{J}_\omega$, there is an embedded $J-$holomorphic curve in the class A.*

Using the Fredholm framework the following is proved in [AP13] Appendix B.1:

Proposition 3.5. *If (X,ω) is a 4-dimensional symplectic manifold, $U_{[A]} \subset \mathcal{J}_\omega$ is characterized by the existence of a configuration of J-holomorphic embedded spheres $C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_N$ representing a given set of distinct homology classes $A_1, \ldots, A_N$ of negative self-intersection. Then $U_{[A]}$ is a cooriented Fréchet submanifold of $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ of (real) codimension $codim_{\mathbb{R}}(U_{[A]}) = 2N - 2c_1(A_1 + \cdots + A_N)$.*

3.1.2. *Prime submanifolds.* Now we give conditions to well define the decomposition of $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ of a general symplectic 4-manifold (X,ω) via smooth rational curves into prime subsets. And we further give a condition when prime subsets are sub manifolds.

Definition 3.6. *Given a finite subset $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}_\omega^{<0}$,*

$$\mathcal{C} = \{A_1, \cdots, A_i, \cdots, A_n | A_i \cdot A_j \geq 0 \text{ if } i \neq j\},$$

define **prime subsets**

$$\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{C} = \{J \in \mathcal{J}_\omega | A \in \mathcal{S} \text{ has an embedded } J-hol \text{ representative if and only if } A \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

And we define the codimension of the label set $\mathcal{C}$ as the sum of the codimension of each curve class, i.e. $cod_\mathcal{C} = \sum_{A_i \in \mathcal{C}} cod_{A_i}$.

Clearly, we have the decomposition: $\mathcal{J}_\omega = \amalg_\mathcal{C} J_\mathcal{C}$. We will show in Proposition 3.10 that, under certain conditions, $\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{C}$ is a submanifold of $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ of real codimension $cod_\mathcal{C} = \sum_{A_i \in \mathcal{C}} cod_{A_i}$.

Remark 3.7. *Note that in [AP13] Lemma 2.10, there is a decomposition of $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ for $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 3\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ where each stratum is characterized by the existence of a certain negative curve. Their decomposition is shown to be a stratification with finite codimension submanifolds as strata. We point out that our decomposition is finer in the sense that each stratum in [AP13] is a union of prime submanifolds in our decomposition. In particular, our decomposition being a stratification as in definition 3.28 implies their decomposition is a stratification. And to compute higher cohomology of $\mathcal{J}_{open}$ and generalize Proposition 3.24, one needs our decomposition of $\mathcal{J}_\omega$.*

We assume the following for the symplectic manifold (X,ω), which holds true for $S^2 \times S^2 \# k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, 0 \leq k < 8$, see [Zha15].:

Condition 1. *Any homology class in $H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ with negative self-intersection, which is represented by a simple $J-$holomorphic map $u : \mathbb{C}P^1 \to M$ for some tamed J, then u is an embedding.*

Note that under this assumption, $\mathcal{S}_\omega^{<0}$ is the same as the set of homology classes with negative self-intersection and having a simple rational pseudo-holomorphic representative.

Lemma 3.8. *Assume condition 1. Then $\overline{\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{C}} \cap \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}'} \neq \emptyset$ only if we can find subset $\mathcal{C}_{deg} = \{A^1, A^2, \cdots, A^n\} \subset \mathcal{C}$, such that $\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}_{deg} \subset \mathcal{C}'$; and a corresponding subset*

$$\mathcal{C}'_{bubble} = \{A_1^1 \cdots A_{j_1}^1, A_1^2 \cdots A_{j_2}^2, \cdots, A_1^n \cdots A_{j_n}^n\} \subset \mathcal{C}',$$

such that there are simultaneous decompositions of homology classes:

$$A^i = \sum_{j_i} \alpha_i A^i_{j_i} + \sum_{k_i} \beta_i A^i_{k_i}, \alpha_i, \beta_i \geq 0, \tag{12}$$

where $A^i_{k_i} \in \mathcal{S}^{<0}_\omega$ *and* $A^i_{j_i}$*s are square non-negative classes which has a simple J-holomorphic representative.*

Proof. In definition 3.6, for a given $A_i \in \mathcal{C}$, we use C_i to denote the embedded $J-$holomorphic sphere for $J \in \mathcal{J}_\mathcal{C}$. And we give a description of taking closure of $\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{C}$ in terms of $\mathcal{C}$: Suppose $\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}'}$ are two prime subsets, $\overline{\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{C}} \cap \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}'} \neq \emptyset$.

Then there is a convergent sequence of $\{J_n\} \subset \mathcal{J}_\mathcal{C}$ such that $\{J_n\} \to J_0 \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}'}$. For J_0, take all the elements in $\mathcal{C}$ that are not irreducibly J_0-holomorphic, and denote the subset by $\mathcal{C}_{deg} = \{A^1, A^2, \cdots, A^n\}$. It follows that $\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}_{deg} \subset \mathcal{C}'$. For the collection of cusp curves for $\mathcal{C}_{deg}$, we take their irreducible component with negative self-intersection.

$$\mathcal{C}'_{bubble} = \{A^1_1 \cdots A^1_{j_1}, A^2_1 \cdots A^2_{j_2}, \cdots, A^n_1 \cdots A^n_{j_n}\} \subset \mathcal{C}',$$

Because $J_0 \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}'}$, by Condition 1, their homology classes must belong to $\mathcal{C}'$. And Gromov compactness theorem gives us the desired homology decompositions:

$$A^i = \sum_{j_i} \alpha_i A^i_{j_i} + \sum_{k_i} \beta_i A^i_{k_i}, \alpha_i, \beta_i \geq 0$$

□

Lemma 3.9. *Assuming Condition 1. If* $\mathcal{C} \subsetneq \mathcal{C}'$*, then* $\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathcal{C}'} \cap \mathcal{J}_\mathcal{C} = \emptyset$.

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Suppose there exists some $J \in \overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathcal{C}'} \cap \mathcal{J}_\mathcal{C}$. It follows from equation 12 that for some $A \in \mathcal{C}' \setminus \mathcal{C}$ there is a decomposition of homology class

$$A = \sum_\alpha r_\alpha [C_\alpha] + \sum_\beta r_\beta [C_\beta],$$

where each C_β is a simple $J-$holomorphic curve with non-negative self-intersection, and each C_β is a simple $J-$holomorphic curve with negative self-intersection.

By Condition 1, we have $[C_\alpha] \in \mathcal{C}$. Therefore $A, [C_\alpha] \in \mathcal{C}'$, which implies $A \cdot [C_\alpha] \geq 0$.

We claim that $A \cdot [C_\beta] \geq 0$ for each β as well. First of all, since C_α's and C_β's are simple $J-$holomorphic curves, by positivity of intersection, they pair each other non-negatively. Moreover, for each β, $[C_\beta] \cdot [C_\beta] \geq 0$. Now the claim $A \cdot [C_\beta] \geq 0$ follows from pairing $[C_\beta]$ with the equation above.

Finally, pairing the equation with A. The left-hand side is negative, while the right-hand side is non-negative. This is a contradiction and hence $\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathcal{C}'} \cap \mathcal{J}_\mathcal{C} = \emptyset$. □

Hence we verify the prime subsets in Definition 3.6 are actually submanifolds:

Proposition 3.10. *If* (X, ω) *is a 4-dimensional symplectic manifold, assuming Condition 1, we verify that prime submanifold is well defined. Further, we verify that the codimension of* $\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{C}$ *in* $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ *equals the codimension of* $\mathcal{C}$ *as in definition 3.6. Namely,* $cod(\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{C}) = cod_\mathcal{C} = \sum_{C_i \in \mathcal{C}} cod_{C_i}$.

Proof. First note that $\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{C}$ is a subset of $U_\mathcal{C} := \cup_i U_{[C_i]} \subset \mathcal{J}_\omega$, which is a submanifold of $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ whose codimension is $d = \sum_{i \in I} cod_{C_i}$ by Proposition 3.5. Then we look at $U_\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{J}_\mathcal{C}$. $U_\mathcal{C}$ is a disjoint union of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{S}_i}$ where each $\mathcal{S}_i$ is a curve set which contains $\mathcal{C}$

as a proper subset. And the union of these $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{S}_i}$s is relatively closed in $U_{\mathcal{C}}$ by lemma 3.9 And hence $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is itself a submanifold of codimension $d = \sum_{i\in I} cod_{C_i}$. □

Remark 3.11. *Notice that to label the prime submanifolds using subsets of $\mathcal{S}_\omega^{<0}$ spherical classes is equivalent to labeling them using $\mathcal{S}_\omega^{\leq -2}$. By the adjunction formula, any class in $\mathcal{S}_\omega^{\leq -2}$ is* **K-nef**.

This is because of the lemma below:

Lemma 3.12. *If Condition 1 holds, $\mathcal{C}$ is completely determined by its subset of K-nef curves and by positivity of intersection.*

Proof. For any J, the -1 class A must have a $J-$holomorphic representative. This means either A has an embedded $J-$holomorphic sphere representative or A is represented by a cusp curve. In the latter case we look at the homology class of this cusp curve:

$$A = \sum_\alpha r_\alpha [C_\alpha] + \sum_\beta r_\beta [C_\beta] + \sum_\gamma r_\gamma [C_\gamma].$$

By Condition 1, we have the negative self-intersecting classes $[C_\alpha], [C_\beta] \in \mathcal{C}$, where $[C_\alpha]^2 \leq -2, [C_\beta]^2 = -1$. $[C_\gamma]$'s are symplectic sphere classes with non-negative self-intersection. We now show if $A \cdot [C_\alpha]$ is nonnegative for any$[C_\alpha]$, then there cannot be homology decomposition $A = \sum_\alpha r_\alpha [C_\alpha] + \sum_\beta r_\beta [C_\beta]$: If A pair any of $[C_\alpha]$ is nonnegative, we multiply $\sum_\gamma [C_\gamma]$ on both sides of the decomposition, it is clear that $A \cdot \sum_\gamma [C_\gamma]$ is positive. Also, $A \cdot \sum_\beta [C_\beta]$ is nonnegative for all $[C_\beta]$ that are -1 classes having simultaneously holomorphic representatives a generic J. we compute the product with A on both sides of the decomposition equation: the left-hand side is $A^2 < 0$ and the right-hand side by positivity of intersection is nonnegative. This is a contradiction and hence if A pair any of $[C_\alpha]$ is nonnegative, A has an embedded $J-$holomorphic representative. □

And we address the special case of Proposition 3.10:

Lemma 3.13. *Let X be any symplectic 4 manifold with given symplectic form ω, for any $K-nef$ class $A \in \mathcal{S}_\omega^{\leq -2}$ with $A^2 = k$ the set $\mathcal{J}_A$ where A is the only K-nef curve in the label set is a codimension $2k-2$ stratum.*

Proof. Let $U_{\{A\}}$ be the space of $\omega-$tamed almost complex structure making an embedded curve C in class A pseudo-holomorphic. By Theorem 3.5, $U_{\{A\}}$ is a submanifold of $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ having codimension $2k-2$.

Consider the set $\{A\}$ and space $\mathcal{J}_{\{A\}}$ defined in definition 3.6 of $\omega-$tamed almost complex structure making an embedded curve C only in class A pseudo-holomorphic. From Proposition 3.10 we know that $U_{\{A\}} \setminus \mathcal{J}_{\{A\}}$ is a union of submanifolds of codimension larger than $2k-2$. Hence $\mathcal{J}_{\{A\}}$ is non-empty, and it is a submanifold of $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ having codimension $2k-2$.

□

3.2. **Constraints on simple $J-$holomorphic curves for a reduced form.** We make the following simple but crucial observation under the assumption $n \leq 4$: for a reduced form,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (c_k)^2 \leq 1, \quad \text{if } n \leq 4. \tag{13}$$

If we consider the extreme value of the function $\sum_{k=1}^{n}(c_k)^2$ under the constrain given by the reduced condition 9, $a_i \in [0,1], a_i + a_j \leq 1$, then the extreme value can only appear at $(1,0,\cdots,0)$ or $(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\cdots,\frac{1}{2})$ meaning that $\sum_{i=1}^{n}(a_i)^2 \leq max(1,n/4)$ and given $n \leq 4$, $\sum_{k=1}^{n}(a_k)^2 \leq 1$.

3.2.1. *The key lemma.*

Lemma 3.14. *Suppose $X = S^2 \times S^2 \# n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, n \leq 4$, and ω is a reduced symplectic form in the class $\mu B + F - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i E_i$. If $A = pB + qF - \sum r_i E_i \in H_2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ is a class with a simple J-holomorphic representative for some $\omega-$tamed J, then $p \geq 0$.*

And if $p = 0$, then $q = 0$ or 1.

If $p = 1$, then $r_i \in \{0,1\}$.

If $p > 1$, then $q \geq 1$.

Proof. We start by stating three inequalities: area, adjunction, r_i integer.

The area of the curve class A is positive and hence

$$\omega(A) = p\mu + q - \sum a_i r_i > 0. \tag{14}$$

Since ω is reduced, $K_\omega = -2B - 2F + E_1 + \cdots E_n$ is the canonical class, we have the following adjunction inequality for simple $J-$holomorphic curves:

$$0 \leq 2g_\omega(A) := A \cdot A + K \cdot A + 2 = 2(p-1)(q-1) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(r_i - 1). \tag{15}$$

In many cases we will estimate the sum $-\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(r_i-1)$. Since each r_i is an integer, it is easy to see that

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(r_i - 1) \leq 0, \tag{16}$$

and $-\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(r_i-1) = 0$ if and only if $r_i = 0$ or 1 for each i.

In particular, if $p = 1$, then $-\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(r_i-1) = 2g(A) \geq 0$. It follows from 16 that $r_i(r_i-1)$ has to be 0 and hence $r_i \in \{0,1\}$.

And if we assume that $p > 1$ and $q \leq 0$, then $-\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(r_i-1) = 2g(A) - 2(p-1)(q-1) \geq 0 - 2(p-1)(q-1) > 0$. This is impossible. Therefore $q \geq 1$ if $p > 1$.

Now let us assume $p \leq 0$ and we divide into three cases:

(i) $p < 0, q \geq 1$, (ii) $p < 0, q \leq 0$, (iii) $p = 0$.

Case (i). $p < 0$ and $q \geq 1$.

We show this case is impossible. Because $p \leq -1$, the adjunction inequality 15 implies that

$$0 \geq -2g_\omega(A) \geq 4(q-1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(r_i-1) \geq (q-1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(r_i-1).$$

Applying the area equation 14, we have

$$(q-1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(r_i-1) > (\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i r_i - \mu p - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(r_i-1).$$

Since $-\mu p - 1 \geq 0$,

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i r_i - \mu p - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(r_i-1) \geq (\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i r_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(r_i-1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(r_i - 1 + a_i).$$

For any integer r_i we have $r_i(r_i - 1 + a_i) \geq 0$, which is because $r_i(r_i - 1 + a_i) \geq 0$ except on interval $r_i \in [0, 1 - a_i]$ and $1 - a_i \in [0, 1]$ since the form is reduced. And therefore we would have $-2g_\omega(A) > 0$, which is a contradiction.

Case (ii). $p < 0, q \leq 0$

We show this case is also impossible. This will follow from the following crucial estimate, under a slightly general assumption:

$$0 \leq 2g_\omega(A) \leq 1 + |p| + |q| - p^2 - q^2, \qquad \text{if } p \leq 0, q \leq 0. \tag{17}$$

Before proving this inequality, we note that a direct consequence of this inequality is it is impossible to have $p \leq -2, q \leq 0$, or $p \leq 0, q \leq -2$: If $|p| > 1$, $|p| + |q| + 1 - (p^2 + q^2)$ is clearly negative since $q^2 \geq |q|, p^2 > |p| + 1$; it is the same if $|q| > 1$.

So the inequality 17 leaves only two cases to analyze: $p = q = -1$, or $p = -1, q = 0$.

• $p = -1$ and $q = 0$

In this case, we have $2g = 4 - \sum r_i(r_i - 1)$ so $\sum_{k=1}^{u} r_k^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{u} r_k \leq 4$. Also by the area inequality 14, $\sum_{k=1}^{u} r_k < p + q = -1$, and hence $\sum_{k=1}^{u} r_k \leq -1$. It is easy to see that $\{r_k\} = \{-1\}$ or $\{-1, -1\}$. But these possibilities are excluded by the reduced condition $a_i + a_j \leq 1 \leq \mu$ for any pair i, j and the area inequality.

• $p = q = -1$

In this case, we have $2g = 8 - \sum r_i(r_i - 1)$ so $\sum_{k=1}^{u} r_k^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{u} r_k \leq 8$. Also by the area inequality 14, $\sum_{k=1}^{u} r_k < p + q = -2$, and hence $\sum_{k=1}^{u} r_k \leq -2$. It is easy to see that $\{r_k\} = \{-1, -1, -1\}, \{-1, -1, -1, -1\}$ or $\{-1, -2\}$. Again these possibilities are excluded by the reduced condition $a_i + a_j \leq 1 \leq \mu$ for any pair i, j and the area inequality.

Now we set out to prove the inequality 17. In order to estimate $-\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(r_i - 1)$ we rewrite the sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i = \sum_{k=1}^{u} r_k + \sum_{l=u+1}^{n} r_l, \tag{18}$$

where each r_k is negative and each r_l is non-negative.

Since $p \leq 0, q \leq 0$, the area inequality 14 takes the following form:

$$-\sum a_i r_i > (|p| + |q|) \geq 1 + (|p| + |q|). \tag{19}$$

Note that there exists at least one negative r_i term, ie. $u \geq 1$ in 18. An important consequence is

$$\sum_{k=1}^{u} a_k r_k \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i r_i < 0, \quad (\sum_{k=1}^{u} a_k r_k)^2 \geq (\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i r_i)^2 \tag{20}$$

We first observe that, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and 13, we have

$$(\sum_{k=1}^{u} a_k r_k)^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{u} (r_k)^2 \times \sum_{k=1}^{u} (a_k)^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{u} (r_k)^2. \tag{21}$$

Then we do the estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(r_i-1) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i = \sum_{k=1}^{u} r_k^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{u} r_k + (\sum_{l=u+1}^{n} r_l^2 - \sum_{l=u+1}^{n} r_l)\\ &\geq \sum_{k=1}^{u} r_k^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{u} r_k \quad \text{(since } x^2 - x \geq 0 \text{ for any integer)}\\ &\geq (\sum_{k=1}^{u} a_k r_k)^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{u} a_k r_k \quad \text{(follows from the two inequalities:} \qquad (22)\\ &\quad -\textstyle\sum_{k=1}^{u} r_k > -\sum_{k=1}^{u} a_k r_k \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{u} r_k^2 \geq (\sum_{k=1}^{u} a_k r_k)^2)\\ &\geq (\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i r_i)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i r_i \quad \text{(is crucial and it comes from 20)}\\ &> |p| + |q| + (|p| + |q|)^2.\end{aligned}$$

Because $\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(r_i-1)$ is an integer, we actually have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(r_i-1) \geq 1 + |p| + |q| + (|p| + |q|)^2.$$

Now the inequality 17 follows from the inequality 22 and the adjunction 15:

$$2g(A) = 2pq - 2(p+q) + 2 - [\sum_{i=1}^{n}(r_i)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n}(r_i)] \leq |p| + |q| + 1 - (p^2 + q^2).$$

Case (iii). $p = 0$.

In this case the adjunction is of the form $-2(q-1) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(r_i-1) \geq 0$. Since $-\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(r_i-1) \leq 0$ we must have $q \leq 1$.

If $p = 0, q \leq 0$, then we can apply the inequality 17 and conclude that $q = 0$.

In conclusion, we must have $q = 0$ or 1 if $p = 0$.

□

3.3. **Negative square classes and their decompositions.** For $X = S^2 \times S^2 \# k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k \geq 0$, with basis $B, F, E_1, \cdots, E_k \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and a given symplectic form ω such that $\omega(B) = \mu \geq 1$, $\omega(F) = 1$, $\omega(E_i) = a_i$, there are three possible K-nef classes appear in the label set of the prime submanifolds:

- **E-class** $\mathcal{E}$: integer combination of E_i;
- **F-class** $\mathcal{F}$: integer combination of F and E_i where coefficient of F is nonzero;
- **B-class** $\mathcal{B}$: integer combination where coefficient of B is nonzero.

And in the following proposition list all possible negative sphere in the above three sets:

Proposition 3.15. *Let $X = S^2 \times S^2 \# n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, n \leq 4$ with a reduced symplectic form. Suppose a class $A = pB + qF - \sum r_i E_i \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ has a simple J-holomorphic spherical representative such that J is tamed by ω. Then $p = 0, 1$. And we can further classify spherical classes with negative square as follows:*

$$\mathcal{B} = \{B - kF - \sum r_i E_i, k \geq -1, r_i \in \{0, 1\}\};$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \{F - \sum r_i E_i, r_i \in \{0, 1\}\};$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \{E_j - \sum r_i E_i, j < i, r_i \in \{0, 1\}\}.$$

Proof. • $p \geq 2$

In this case $q \geq 1$ by Lemma 3.14. We need to exclude this case using the fact that $g_\omega(A) = 0$ and $A^2 < 0$. Observe that by the adjunction we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(r_i - 1) = 2(p-1)(q-1). \tag{23}$$

Since $g_\omega(A) = 0$ and $2g_\omega(A) - 2 = K_\omega \cdot A + A^2$, we have $-1 \leq K_\omega \cdot A = \sum r_i - 2p - 2q$, namely,

$$\sum r_i = 2p + 2q + k, k \geq -1. \tag{24}$$

Now if $p > 1, q > 1$, since $n \leq 4$, by Cauchy Schwartz and 24,

$$\sum r_i^2 \geq [\sum r_i]^2/4 \geq (2p + 2q + k)^2/4. \tag{25}$$

It follows from 24 and 25, $p \geq 2, q \geq 1, k \geq -1$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum r_i^2 - \sum r_i &\geq (2p + 2q + k)^2/4 - (2p + 2q + k) \\
&= [(p+q)^2 + (p+q)k + k^2/4 - k] - 2(p+q) \\
&= [2pq + 2 - 2(p+q)] + (p^2 + pk - 2) + q(q+k) + (k^2/4 - k) \\
&> 2(p-1)(q-1).
\end{aligned}$$

Notice the last 3 terms are all non-negative and cannot be zero simultaneously.

Hence a spherical class has $p = 0, 1$.

• $p = 1$.

If $p = 1$, then $r_i = 0$ or 1 as shown in Lemma 3.14. So

$$A = B + qF - \sum r_i E_i, r_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

And the condition $A^2 < 0$ and $n \leq 4$ implies that $q \leq 1$.

• $p = 0$.

In this case, we have shown that $q = 0$ or 1 in Lemma 3.14.

If $p = 0, q = 0$, the adjunction inequality 15 is of the form $2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(r_i - 1) \geq 0$. Let x be an integer. Notice that $x(x-1) \geq 0$, and $x(x-1) = 0$ if $x = 0$ or 1. Notice also that if $x(x-1) > 0$ then $x(x-1) \geq 2$, and $x(x-1) = 2$ if $x = 2$ or $x = -1$. We see there is at most one j such that $r_j \neq 0$ or $\neq 1$, and for this j, $r_j = -1$ or 2. By considering the area of such a class, we must have $r_j = -1$, and $j < i$ for any $r_i = 1$. Therefore, in this case, A can only be of the form

$$E_j - \sum r_i E_i, i > j, \quad r_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

We are left with $q = 1$. In this case, the adjunction inequality 15 is of the form $-\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(r_i - 1) \geq 0$. So we must have $r_i = 0$ or 1. Namely,

$$A = F - \sum r_i E_i, \quad r_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

□

Remark 3.16. *A similar analysis leads to the classification of square zero classes. for $X = S^2 \times S^2 \# n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, n \leq 4$ with a reduced symplectic form. Suppose a class*

A has a simple J-holomorphic spherical representative such that J is tamed by ω. Then A is one of the following classes:

$$2B+F-E_1-E_2-E_3-E_4, B+2F-E_1-E_2-E_3-E_4, B+F-E_i-E_j, B, F.$$

In particular, A is the class of an embedded symplectic sphere. We do not need this fact in the paper.

Here is an important consequence of Lemma 3.14 and Proposition 3.15.

Proposition 3.17. *Let $X = S^2\times S^2\#k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k\le 4$ with a reduced symplectic form. For any decomposition of a K-nef class which has an embedded representative, there is no class with a positive square. Moreover,*

- *any square zero class in the decomposition is of the form F,*
- *any negative square class is from the list in Proposition 3.15, in particular, a class of an embedded symplectic sphere.*

Proof. Let $C = pB+qF-\sum_i r_iE_i$ be a K-nef curve class on the left hand side of 12. Since $X = S^2\times S^2\#k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k\le 4$, and the symplectic form is reduced we can apply Lemma 3.14 and Proposition 3.15.

By Lemma 3.14, $p = 0$ or $p = 1$, and if $p = 1$ then $q\le 1$. Suppose on the right hand side there is a square positive class $C' = p'B+q'F-\sum_i r_i'E_i$. Denote the decomposition by

$$C = C' + C(B, F, E_k).$$

Here $C(B, F, E_k)$ is a sum of curves that have simple J-holomorphic representative.

Let us first inspect the B coefficients. By Lemma 3.14, the B coefficient of any class in the decomposition is non-negative. This implies that $p'\ge 0$ and $p-p'\ge 0$. Since C' is assumed to have positive square, $p'\neq 0$. Since $p = 0$ or 1, we must have both $p' = 1$ and $p = 1$.

And this means the sum of B coefficients in $C(B, F, E_k)$ is 0. Since the B coefficient of each class is non-negative, we conclude that the B coefficient of each class in $C(B, F, E_k)$ is 0 .

Now let us inspect the F coefficients. For the class C', since $p'q'\ge 1$ we have $q'\ge 1$. For any class in $C(B, F, E_k)$, since the B coefficient is zero, by Lemma 3.14, the F coefficient is 0 or 1. Hence $q\ge q'\ge 1$, and by Proposition 3.15, $q\le 1$. Hence we conclude that both $q = 1$ and $q' = 1$.

And this means $C(B, F, E_k)$ is a sum of curves where each of them having 0 as the coefficients on B and F. In addition, because $C^2\le -2$, $C = B+F-E_1-E_2-E_3-E_4$.

Recall that by Lemma 3.14, since $C' = B+F-\sum_i r_i'E_i$ have coefficient '1' on B, we have $r_i'\in\{0, 1\}$.

This means $C(B, F, E_k) = -E_1-E_2-E_3-E_4-E_i+\sum_i r_i'E_i$, having coefficient 0 or -1 on each E_i, and hence it has negative symplectic area. This has a contradiction against the fact $C(B, F, E_k)$ is the sum of J-holomorphic homology classes. Hence there is no positive squared curve in decomposition 12 of a K-nef curve.

Next, we analyze the possible square 0 classes in the decomposition. From the analysis above, we only need to deal with the case that either $p' = 0$ or $q' = 0$. The case $p' = 0$ can be similarly excluded, which only leaves the case $q' = 0$. And only such a square zero class is F. □

We remark that the absence of positive square classes in the decomposition is still valid without the reduced assumption.

3.4. **Codimension 2 prime submanifolds.**

3.4.1. *Level 2 stratification.* And the next theorem holds for any symplectic rational 4 manifold having Euler number $\chi(X) \leq 8$ with a given reduced symplectic form:

Theorem 3.18. *For a symplectic rational 4 manifold having Euler number $\chi(X) \leq 8$ and any symplectic form, $X_4 = \cup_{cod(\mathcal{C})\geq 4}\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $X_2 = \cup_{cod(\mathcal{C})\geq 2}\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}$, are closed subsets in $X_0 = \mathcal{J}_\omega$. Consequently,*

(i). $X_0 - X_4$ is a manifold.
(ii). $X_2 - X_4$ is a manifold.
(iii). $X_2 - X_4$ is a submanifold of $X_0 - X_4$
(iv). $X_2 - X_4$ is closed in $X_0 - X_4$.

Proof. We first show that X_2 is closed in X_0, namely, $\overline{X_2} \cap (X - X_2) = \emptyset$.

We will argue by contradiction. For each $J \in X_2$ there is at least one embedded $J-$holomorphic sphere with square at most -2. And by Condition 1, for each $J' \in X - X_2$, every simple $J'-$holomorphic sphere has square at least -1.

Thus, if $\overline{X_2} \cap (X - X_2) \neq \emptyset$, then by Lemma 3.8 there is a square at most -2 symplectic sphere C whose class $[C]$ admits a decomposition as in equation 12, with no class having square less than -1. Moreover, by Proposition 3.17, the decompositions has the form

$$[C] = aF + \sum_j b_j D_j,$$

where a, b_j are non-negative integers, and $D_j \in \mathcal{S}_\omega^{-1}$. By pairing with K_ω on both sides, $0 \leq [C] \cdot K_\omega = \sum aF \cdot K_\omega + \sum_j b_j D_i \cdot K_\omega$. Since $D_i \cdot K_\omega = -1, F \cdot K_\omega \leq -2$, there is a contradiction.

We next show that X_4 is closed in X, namely, $\overline{X_4} \cap (X_0 - X_4) = \emptyset$. Since $X_4 \subset X_2$ and X_2 is closed in X, it suffices to show that X_4 is closed in X_2.

For each $J \in X_4$ there is either one embedded $J-$holomorphic sphere with square at most -3, or there are at least two embedded $J-$holomorphic sphere with square -2. And by Condition 1, for each $J' \in X_2 - X_4$, every simple $J'-$holomorphic sphere has square at least -2.

Suppose $\overline{X_4} \cap (X_2 - X_4) \neq \emptyset$. Then

1) either there is a square less than -2 curve class that can be written as a positive sum of square no less than -2 curve classes;

2) or there is a -2 curve class that is the sum of another -2 curve class and a *non-empty* set of square larger than -2 curve classes.

For the first case, by Proposition 3.17, the decomposition can only have three type of classes: the zero class F, $D_j \in \mathcal{S}_\omega^{-1}$, $G_k \in \mathcal{S}_\omega^{-2}$. We have $0 < [\tilde{C}] \cdot K_\omega = \sum aF \cdot K_\omega + \sum_j b_j D_i \cdot K_\omega + c_k G_k \cdot K_\omega \leq 0$, a contradiction.

For the second case, by Proposition 3.17, the decomposition can only have three type of classes: the zero class F, $D_j \in \mathcal{S}_\omega^{-1}$, $G_k \in \mathcal{S}_\omega^{-2}$. Since either $a \neq 0$ or some $b_j \neq 0$, we have the contradiction $0 = [\tilde{C}] \cdot K_\omega = \sum aF \cdot K_\omega + \sum_j b_j D_i \cdot K_\omega + c_k G_k \cdot K_\omega < 0$.

Next let us establish the claims (i)-(iv).

(i). $X_0 - X_4$ is a manifold. This statement is true since X_4 is closed in X_0 and X_0 is a manifold. Similarly, $X_0 - X_2$ is a manifold since X_2 is also closed in X_0. And both $X_0 - X_4$ and $X_0 - X_2$ are open submanifolds of X_0.

(iv). $X_2 - X_4$ is closed in $X_0 - X_4$. This follows from the fact that X_2 is closed in X_0.

(ii). $X_2 - X_4$ is a manifold. This statement follows from the fact that $X_2 - X_4$ is a submanifold of X_0. This latter fact follows from the fact that $X_2 - X_4$ is the disjoint union of cod 2 prime sets $\mathcal{J}_A$ over $\mathcal{S}_\omega^{-2}$, and Lemma 3.10.

(iii). $X_2 - X_4$ is a closed submanifold of $X_0 - X_4$. Since $X_0 - X_4$ is an open submanifold of X_0, $X_2 - X_4$ is also a submanifold of $X_0 - X_4$.

Hence this proves that $\emptyset = X_5 \subset X_4(= X_3) \subset X_2(= X_1) \subset X_0 = \mathcal{J}_\omega$, is a level 2 stratification.

□

3.4.2. *Enumerating the components by −2 symplectic sphere classes.* Additionally, we use the following lemma as stated in [LLW15] to further describe each $\mathcal{J}_A$:

Lemma 3.19. *For a rational manifold $X = S^2 \times S^2 \# k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, the group $Symp_h$ acts transitively on the space of homologous -2 symplectic spheres.*

Proof. Here we give a proof follows steps sketched in in [LW12] and [BLW14]: Without loss of generality, we can do base change to make a symplectic sphere S_i in the homology class $[S_i] = B - F$. For each pair (X, S_i), by [MO15], there is a set $\mathcal{C}_i$ of disjoint (-1) symplectic spheres C_i^l for $l = 1, \cdots, k$ such that

$$[C_i^l] = E_l, \text{for} \quad l = 1, \cdots k.$$

Blowing down the set $\{C_i^1, \cdots C_i^k\}$ separately, results in $(X_i, \tilde{S}_i, \mathcal{B}_i)$ where X_i is a symplectic $S^2 \times S^2$ with equal symplectic areas admitting from the original symplectic form of X on factor B and F, $\tilde{S}_i$ a symplectic sphere in X_i, and $\mathcal{B}_i = \{B_i^2, \cdots, B_i^k\}$ is a symplectic ball packing in $X_i \setminus \tilde{S}_i$ corresponding to $\mathcal{C}_i$. For any two pairs, since the symplectic forms are homologous, by [LM96], there is a symplecotomorphism Φ from $(X_1, \tilde{S}_1)$ to $(X_2, \tilde{S}_2)$, such that for fixed l, $Vol(\Phi(B_1^l)) = Vol(B_2^l)$. Then according to [AM00], we can choose this Φ such that the two symplectic spheres are isotopic, i.e. $\Phi(\tilde{S}_1) = \tilde{S}_2$. Then apply Theorem 1.1 in [BLW14], there is a compactly supported Hamiltonian isotopy ι of $(X_2, \tilde{S}_2)$ such that the symplectic ball packing $\Phi(\mathcal{B}_1))$ and $\mathcal{B}_2$ is connected by ι in $(X_2, \tilde{S}_2)$. Then $\iota \circ \Phi$ is a symplecotomorphism between the tuples $(X_i, \tilde{S}_i, \mathcal{B}_i)$ and hence blowing up induces a symplecotomorphism $\psi : (X_1, \tilde{S}_1, \mathcal{B}_1) \to (X_2, \tilde{S}_2, \mathcal{B}_2)$. Further note that ψ preserve homology classes $B, F, E_1, E_2, \cdots, E_k$ and hence $\psi \in Symp_h(X, \omega)$. □

Hence we have the following corollary about codimension 2 stratum in the stratification of $\mathcal{J}_\omega$:

Corollary 3.20. *If the group $Symp_h$ is itself connected, which holds true for $X = S^2 \times S^2 \# k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k = 0, 1, 2, 3$, then homologous symplectic -2 spheres are isotopic, which means a stratum $\mathcal{J}_{\{A\}}$ is connected if A is a -2 symplectic sphere.*

Remark 3.21. *Here we enumerate the set of positive R_{k+1}^+ for $X = S^2 \times S^2 \# k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, 3 \leq n \leq 4$:*

- *$S^2 \times S^2$: $B - F$.*
- *$S^2 \times S^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$: $B - F$.*

- $S^2 \times S^2 \# 2\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$: $B-F, E_1-E_2, B-E_1-E_2, F-E_1-E_2$.
- $S^2 \times S^2 \# 3\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$:$B-F, B-E_i-E_j, F-E_i-E_j, where \quad i>j, i,j \in \{1,2,3\}$.
- $S^2 \times S^2 \# 4\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$: $B-F, B+F-E_1-E_2-E_3-E_4, B-E_i-E_j, F-E_i-E_j, E_i-E_j, where \quad i>j, i,j \in \{1,2,3,4\}$.

And for any given reduced symplectic form on a rational manifold $X = S^2 \times S^2 \# k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, 3 \leq n \leq 4$ then $\mathcal{S}_\omega^{-2}$ is a subset of positive roots set R_{k+1}^+ as described in section 2.

Remark 3.22. *The following observation will be used in Lemma 4.4: Note that for a symplectic rational 4 manifold of Euler number $\chi(X) \leq 7$, any symplectic -2 sphere S is an edge of a toric moment polytope. Consequently, there is a semi-free circle action having S as a fixed locus.*

Further, note that an arbitrary set $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}_\omega^{<0}$,

$$\mathcal{C} = \{A_1, \cdots, A_i, \cdots, A_n | A_i \cdot A_j \geq 0 \text{ if } i \neq j\}$$

dose not necessarily define a nonempty submanifold $\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{C}$.

3.4.3. *Relative Alexander duality for regular Fréchet stratification.* We have the following relative version of Alexander duality proved in [Eel61]:

Theorem 3.23. *Let X be a Hausdorff space, $Z \subset Y$ a closed subset of X such that $X-Z, Y-Z$ are manifolds modeled by topological linear spaces. Suppose $Y-Z$ is a closed submanifold of $X-Z$ of codimension p, then we say (Y,Z) is a closed relative submanifold of (X,Z) of codimension p.*

And we have the isomorphism $H^i(X-Z, X-Y) = H^{i-p}(Y-Z)$ for a given coefficient sheaf.

Further, we have the following sequence

$$\cdots \to H^{i-1}(X-Y) \to H^{i-p}(Y-Z) \to H^i(X-Z) \to H^i(X-Y) \to \cdots$$

An absolute version of duality was applied by Abreu to detect the topology of $\mathcal{J}_{open}$ for $S^2 \times S^2$ with a symplectic form with ratio within $(1,2)$ in [Abr98]. For our purpose we need to generalize Eells' Alexander duality for stratifications in Definition 3.28. This duality 3.23 together with Lemma 3.13 and Theorem 3.18 gives the following:

Corollary 3.24. *When the decomposition $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ is a stratification at the first two level as in theorem 3.18 with top stratum $\mathcal{J}_{open}$, then $H^1(\mathcal{J}_{open}) = \oplus_{A_i} H^0(\mathcal{J}_{A_i})$, where each A_i is a symplectic -2 class.*

In the next Lemma, we give a characterization of $\mathcal{J}_{open}$ using a configuration C of -1 spheres:

Lemma 3.25. *Let X be $S^2 \times S^2 \# k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k \leq 4$ the following manifold with a reduced symplectic form and configuration C of -1 spheres containing there is a subset, of cardinality $\leq k+1$ (see remark 3.26), such that $\mathcal{J}_C = \mathcal{J}_{open}$. And we give a proper choice of subsets can be chosen as follows for later use:*

- $S^2 \times S^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, $C \supset \{B-E\}$,
- $S^2 \times S^2 \# 2\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, $C \supset \{E_1, B-E_1, F-E_1\}$,
- $S^2 \times S^2 \# 3\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, $C \supset \{F-E_1, E_2, B-E_1, B+F-E_1-E_2-E_3\}$,
- $S^2 \times S^2 \# 4\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, $C \supset \{B+F-E_2-E_3-E_4, B-E_1, F-E_1, E_2, E_3\}$,

Proof. By [LZ15], $S^2\times S^2\#n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, \mathcal{J}_{open}$ is characterized by the existence of all exceptional curve and no embedded negative square curve. Since in the configuration $C\in\mathcal{C}$ we have only -1 sphere components, for each $J\in\mathcal{J}_{open}$, there is a unique $J-$holomorphic configuration in $\mathcal{C}$. Then we can define a natural map $\mathcal{J}_{open}\to\mathcal{C}$, sending the almost complex structure to the unique $J-$holomorphic configuration in $\mathcal{C}$. We can check that for each given small rational manifold as listed above, any negative curve as in Lemma 3.15 intersects at least one curve in the configuration negatively:

- $S^2\times S^2\#k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k=1,2$, it is easy to check the curves with square -2 in section 3.4.2. And any curve with square less than -1 can be written as $B-qF-r_iE_i, q\geq 1; i\leq k, r_i\in\{0,1\}$. And $[B-qF-r_iE_i]\cdot[B-E_1]=-q-r_1<0$.
- $S^2\times S^2\#3\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, any curve with square -2 as listed in in section 3.4.2 is easy to check. Any class in $\mathcal{F},\mathcal{E}$ with square less than -2 can be $F-E_1-E_2-E_3$ or $E_1-E_2-E_3$, and each of them pair with $B+F-E_1-E_2-E_3$ is negative. And any class in $\mathcal{B}$ with square less than -2 can be written as either $B-E_1-E_2-E_3$ or $B-kF-r_iE_i, k\geq 1, r_i\in\{0,1\}$. And $[B-E_1-E_2-E_3]\cdot[B+F-E_1-E_2-E_3]<0$; $[B-kF-r_iE_i]\cdot[B-E_1]=-k-r_1<0$.
- And we can check all the curves with square -2 in $S^2\times S^2\#4\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ pairing at least one of $\{B+F-E_2-E_3-E_4, B-E_1, F-E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ negatively. Additionally, any class in $\mathcal{F},\mathcal{E}$ with square less than -2 is one of the following $E_i-E_j-E_k, F-E_i-E_j-E_k, i>j>k\in\{1,2,3,4\}, E_1-E_2-E_3-E_4, F-E_1-E_2-E_3-E_4$; and each of them pair $B+F-E_2-E_3-E_4$ negatively. Any class in $\mathcal{B}$ with square less than -2 can be written as either $B-E_i-E_j-E_k, i>j>k\in\{1,2,3,4\}$, or $B-kF-r_iE_i, k\geq 1, r_i\in\{0,1\}$. And $[B-E_i-E_j-E_k]\cdot[B+F-E_2-E_3-E_4]<0$; $[B-kF-r_iE_i]\cdot[B-E_1]=-k-r_1<0$.

□

3.4.4. *Remarks.*

Remark 3.26. *In the above Lemma 3.25, the subsets has the minimal cardinality, but they are in general not unique. This means there are other choices of subsets S of -1 spheres such that $\mathcal{J}_S=\mathcal{J}_{open}$, having larger cardinality, but S does not necessarily contain the subsets we list.*

And note that in H, E_i basis, the minimal subsets we choose can be written down in the following way: First the -1 curve with maximal area A_m. And if there's no -1 curve $A=nH-\sum a_iE_i$ such that $A_m\cdot A\geq 0$, then take the set to be $\{A_m, E_1,\cdots,E_{k_1}\}$; otherwise we take A_m and another $A_p=n_pH-\sum p_iE_i$ such that $A_m\cdot A_p$ being largest, then we take the set to be $\{A_m, E_1,\cdots,\hat{E_i}\cdots,\hat{E_j}\cdots,E_k\}$, where E_i, E_i are the minimal area class pairing A_m, A_p positive respectively.

And one can do a base change for the sets in Lemma 3.25 to obtain the following, which agrees with the above method:

- $\mathbb{C}P^2\#2\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, $C=\{E_1\}$.
- $\mathbb{C}P^2\#3\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, $C=\{E_1, E_2, H-E_2-E_3\}$.
- $\mathbb{C}P^2\#4\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$,$C=\{H-E_1-E_2, H-E_3-E_4, E_1, E_3,\}$.
- $\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, $C=\{2H-E_1-E_2-E_3-E_4-E_5, E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4\}$.

Remark 3.27. *In a separate paper [LL] we will prove a general result. Let* $X = \mathbb{C}P^2\#k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ *with arbitrary* ω. *If* $k \leq 8$, *then each prime subset* $\mathcal{J}_C$ *is a submanifold. Moreover, if* $k \leq 5$, *then* X_{2i} *is relatively closed in the union* $\cup_{j\geq i}X_{2j}$.

Therefore, for $X = \mathbb{C}P^2\#k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k \leq 5$ *with arbitrary* ω, *this filtration of* $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ *fits into the following notion of* **stratification** *in* ∞*-dimension (For finite dimension, see eg. [GM88]):*

Definition 3.28. *For an* ∞*-dimensional real Fréchet manifold* X, *a finite filtration of* X *is called an* **even stratification** *if it is a sequence of* **closed** *subspaces*

$$\emptyset = X_{2n+2} \subset X_{2n} \subset X_{2n-2} \ldots \subset X_2 \subset X_0 = X,$$

where $X_{2i} \smallsetminus X_{2i+2}$ *is a submanifold of real codimension* $2i$.

Remark 3.29. *In the paper [LL] we will establish an Alexander duality for stratifications as in Definition 3.28, generalizing [Eel61]. The following special case can also be applied to compute the fundamental group of the symplectomorphism group of small rational 4-manifolds.*

Theorem 3.30. *Let* X *be a contractible paracompact* C^∞ *smooth (in the Graves-Hildebrandt sense) manifold modeled by a complex Fréchet space. Suppose* X *is evenly stratified by* $\{X_{2i}\}_{i=0}^n$ *as in Definition 3.28 at the first 2 levels. Then we have the duality on the integral cohomology of* $X \setminus X_2$ *and* $X_2 \setminus X_4$ *at certain level:*

$$H^1(X \setminus X_2) \cong H^0(X_2 \setminus X_4).$$

4. A uniform approach to $\pi_1(Symp)$ when Euler number $\chi(X) \leq 7$

In this section we provide a uniform approach to compute $\pi_1(Symp(X,\omega))$ when (X,ω) is a rational 4 manifold with Euler number $\chi(X) \leq 7$. For these small rational manifolds with an symplectic form, we already know in [LLW15] that the Torelli part is connected. We apply Corollary 3.24, which provides topological information for $\mathcal{J}_{open}$, together with a very useful fact in Lemma 4.7 to study the fundamental group of $Symp(X,\omega)$.

4.1. Strategy.

We summarize the strategy using diagram 1,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & Symp_c(U) & & & & & \\ & \downarrow & & & & & \\ Stab^1(C) & \longrightarrow & Stab^0(C) & \longrightarrow & Stab(C) & \longrightarrow & Symp_h(X,\omega) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathcal{G}(C) & & Symp(C) & & \mathcal{C}_0 \simeq \mathcal{J}_{open}, \end{array} \tag{26}$$

and begin with reviewing each term in the diagram: Given a symplectic 4-manifold (X,ω), we use an ordered finite collection of symplectic spheres $\{C_i, i = 1, ..., n\}$ called a **configuration** to analyze its symplecotomorphism group $Symp(X,\omega)$. Let's recall the definition of configuration from [LLW15]:

Definition 4.1. *A finite collection of symplectic spheres* $S_i \subset X$ *is called a configuration if*

- *for any pair* i, j *with* $i \neq j$, $[C_i] \neq [C_j]$ *and* $[C_i] \cdot [C_j] = 0$ *or* 1.
- *they are simultaneously* $J-$*holomorphic for some* $J \in \mathcal{J}_\omega$.

- $C = \bigcup C_i$ *is connected.*

We will often use C to denote the configuration. The homological type of C refers to the set of homology classes $\{[C_i]\}$. Further, a configuration is called **standard** *if the components intersect ω-orthogonally at every intersection point of the configuration. Denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the space of configurations having the same homology type as C; and by $\mathcal{C}_0$ the space of standard configurations having the same homology type as C.* **stable** *if $[C_i] \cdot [C_i] \geq -1$ for each i.*

We then introduce the groups appearing in (1):

- $Symp_h(X, \omega)$ is the group of symplectomorphisms of (X, ω) which acts trivially on $H_*(X, \mathbb{Z})$.
- $Stab(C) \subset Symp_h(X, \omega)$ is the subgroup of symplectomorphisms fixing C setwise, but not necessarily pointwise.
- $Stab^0(C) \subset Stab(C)$ is the subgroup the group fixing C pointwise.
- $Stab^1(C) \subset Stab^0(C)$ is subgroup fixing C pointwise and acting trivially on the normal bundles of its components.
- $Symp_c(U)$ is the group of compactly supported symplectomorphisms of $(U, \omega|_U)$, where $U = X \setminus C$ and the form $\omega|_U$ is the inherited form on U from X.

And we give the description and provide the computation of $Symp(C)$ and $\mathcal{G}(C)$ for the configuration C as given in [Eva11; LLW15]:

Given a configuration of embedded symplectic spheres $C = C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_n \subset X$ in a 4-manifold, let I denote the set of intersection points among the components. Suppose that there is no triple intersection among components and that all intersections are transverse. Let k_i denote the cardinality of $I \cap C_i$, which is the number of intersection of points on C_i.

The group $Symp(C)$ of symplectomorphisms of C fixing the components of C is the product $\prod_{i=1}^n Symp(C_i, I \cap C_i)$. Here $Symp(C_i, I \cap C_i)$ denotes the group of symplectomorphisms of C_i fixing the intersection points $I \cap C_i$. Since each C_i is a $2-$sphere and $Symp(S^2)$ acts transitivity on $N-$tuples of distinct points in S^2, we can write $Symp(C_i, I \cap C_i)$ as $Symp(S^2, k_i)$. Thus

$$Symp(C) \cong \prod_{i=1}^{n} Symp(S^2, k_i) \tag{27}$$

As shown in [Eva11] we have:

$$Symp(S^2, 1) \simeq S^1; \quad Symp(S^2, 2) \simeq S^1; \quad Symp(S^2, 3) \simeq \star; \tag{28}$$

where $\simeq$ means homotopy equivalence. And when $k = 1, 2$, the S^1 on the right can be taken to be the loop of a Hamiltonian circle action fixing the k points.

The symplectic gauge group $\mathcal{G}(C)$ is the product $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{G}_{k_i}(C_i)$. Here $\mathcal{G}_{k_i}(C_i)$ denotes the group of symplectic gauge transformations of the symplectic normal bundle to $C_i \subset X$ which are equal to the identity at the k_i intersection points. Also shown in [Eva11]:

$$\mathcal{G}_0(S^2) \simeq S^1; \quad \mathcal{G}_1(S^2) \simeq \star; \quad \mathcal{G}_k(S^2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{k-1}, \ k > 1. \tag{29}$$

Since we assume the configuration is connected, each $k_i \geq 1$. Thus by (29), we have

$$\pi_0(\mathcal{G}(C)) = \oplus_{i=1}^n \pi_0(\mathcal{G}_{k_i}(S^2)) = \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}^{k_i - 1}. \tag{30}$$

By definition and the computation listed above, it is easy to see that

Lemma 4.2. *The homotopy types of $\mathcal{G}(C)$ and $Symp(C)$ are defined locally, and their homotopy types are independent of the symplectic form ω.*

Now for an arbitrary symplectic form, by Lemma 2.4, it is diffeomorphic to a reduced form. Symplectomorphic symplectic forms have homeomorphic symplectomorphism groups. Hence it suffices to list the choice of standard stable configuration C for any rational 4-manifold with Euler number $\chi(X) \leq 7$, equipped with a reduced symplectic form:

- $\mathbb{C}P^2\#2\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, $C = \{E_1, E_2, H - E_1 - E_2\}$.
- $\mathbb{C}P^2\#3\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, $C = \{E_1, E_2, E_3, H - E_1 - E_2, H - E_2 - E_3\}$.
- $\mathbb{C}P^2\#4\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, $C = \{H - E_1 - E_2, H - E_3 - E_4, E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4\}$.

For such configuration, we have the following lemma about the weak homotopy type of $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}_0$:

Lemma 4.3. *For $\mathbb{C}P^2\#k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k = 2, 3, 4$, $\mathcal{C}_0$ is weakly homotopic to $\mathcal{C}$. Denote $\mathcal{J}_C$ the set of almost complex structure that making the configuration C J-holomoprhic, then $\mathcal{C}$ is weakly homotopic to $\mathcal{J}_C$.*

Proof. The first statement is proved in [LP04] and [Eva11]. Then we show that $\mathcal{J}_{open}$ is weakly homotopic to $\mathcal{C}$. By Lemma 3.25, the set of almost complex structures making $C \in \mathcal{C}$ J-holomorphic is the set $\mathcal{J}_{open}$. In addition, $\mathcal{J}_{open} \to \mathcal{C}$ is a surjection and hence a submersion. And as shown in Proposition 4.8 in [LP04], this map is a fibration with contractible fiber; then we have the desired weak homotopy equivalence between $\mathcal{J}_{open}$ and $\mathcal{C}$. □

And we know from Lemma 3.25 that $\mathcal{J}_C = \mathcal{J}_{open}$, because each above configuration contains a minimal subset as in Lemma 3.25.

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.5.** We can work out the homotopy type of $\mathcal{G}(C)$ and $Symp(C)$, see [Eva11] section 2 or equation (3),(4) in [LLW15] for a spherical configuration. In particular we are more interested in these cases:

Proposition 4.4. *For $\mathbb{C}P^2\#k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k = 2, 3, 4$, $Stab(C)$ is independent of the given symplectic form. In particular, we know the weak homotopy type of $Stab(C)$:*

- *For $\mathbb{C}P^2\#3\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, $Stab(C) \simeq \mathbb{T}^2$.*
- *For $\mathbb{C}P^2\#2\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, $Stab(C) \simeq \mathbb{T}^2$.*
- *For $\mathbb{C}P^2\#4\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, $Stab(C) \simeq \star$.*

Proof. The monotone case for $\mathbb{C}P^2\#k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k = 3, 4$ is computed in [Eva11]. And for monotone $\mathbb{C}P^2\#2\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, $Stab(C) = \mathbb{T}^2$.

For the general case we consider the following portion of fibration:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Symp_c(U) & \longrightarrow & Stab^1(C) & \longrightarrow & Stab^0(C) & \longrightarrow & Stab(C) \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & \mathcal{G}(C) & & Symp(C). \end{array} \tag{31}$$

In [LLW15], we show that for the given configuration in the above cases, is weakly homotopic to a point:

$$\star \cong Symp_c(U) \cong Stab^1$$

. And as in Lemma 4.2, the homotopy type of $\mathcal{G}(C), Symp(C)$ are the same as the monotone case. With the computation of $\mathcal{G}(C), Symp(C)$ given in equations 29and 27, we have the three fibrations for $k = 1, 2, 3$ respectively:

$$\mathbb{Z} \to Stab(C) \to (S^1)^3,$$

$$\mathbb{Z}^3 \to Stab(C) \to (S^1)^5,$$

$$\mathbb{Z}^4 \to Stab(C) \to (S^1)^4,$$

And we need to consider the connecting homomorphism $\pi_1(Symp(C)) \to \pi_0(Stab^0(C))$.

To do this we consider the composition map

$$\bar{\psi} = \iota \circ \psi : \pi_1(Symp(C)) \to \pi_0(Stab^0(C)) \to \pi_0(\mathcal{G}(C)).$$

And by Lemma 2.9 in [LLW15], the composition map is surjective and hence the connecting homomorphism $\pi_1(Symp(C)) \to \pi_0(Stab^0(C))$ is surjective.

And hence we finished the computation of weak homotopy type of $Stab(C)$ in each case: $\mathbb{C}P^2\#k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, for $k = 2, 3, 4$ $Stab(C) \cong \mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{T}^2, \star$ respectively. □

Remark 4.5. *For a symplectic rational 4 manifold X with Euler number $\chi(X) < 4$, the same computation is given in Lemma 4.14, where the proof is the same as here and much easier.*

Remark 4.6. *For the $\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ case, we will show in Proposition 5.4 that diagram 1 is a homotopy fibration, and give the description of $Stab(C)$, generalizing the result of [Eva11] in the monotone case.*

The non-trivial fact leads to the final computation of $\pi_1(Symp_h(X, \omega)$:

Lemma 4.7. *And in the cases as the previous lemma, $\pi_1(Symp_h(X, \omega), \pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0)$ are both free Abelian groups. And the rank of $\pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0)$ equals N = the number of -2 symplectic sphere classes. In addition we have the exact sequence*

$$0 \to \pi_1(Stab(C)) \to \pi_1(Symp_h) \to \mathbb{Z}^N \to 0.$$

Proof. We analyze the right end of the diagram to prove the second statement: For 4-point blow up, by proposition 4.4, we have

$$Stab(C) \simeq \star \longrightarrow Symp_h \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_0. \tag{32}$$

Since in this fibration the fiber is weakly contractible, the base $\mathcal{C}_0$ is weakly equivalent to the total space $Symp_h$. And hence $\pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0) \cong \pi_1(Symp_h)$. Since $Symp_h$ is a Lie group, $\pi_1(Symp_h)$ is Abelian and hence $\pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0)$ is an Abelian group. Then $\pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0) = H^1(\mathcal{C}_0)$, which is free Abelian and whose number of generators equals the number of -2 symplectic spheres by Theorem 3.23 and Lemma 3.13. For $\mathbb{C}P^2\#k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k = 2, 3$, by proposition 4.4, the right end becomes

$$Stab(C) \simeq \mathbb{T}^2 \longrightarrow Symp_h \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_0. \tag{33}$$

And we write down the homotopy exact sequence

$$\mathbb{Z}^2 \to \pi_1(Symp_h) \to \pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0) \to 1.$$

As a fundamental group of a topological group, $\pi_1(Symp_h)$ is Abelian, And $\pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0)$ must also be Abelian because it is the surjective image of an Abelian group. Note that let X be $\mathbb{C}P^2\#k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k = 2, 3$, it admits a torus action for any given symplectic

form. And the homology classes of configuration C can be realized as the boundary of the moment polytope Now take a toric divisor C, then we have a torus action T on X fixing C, i.e. $T \subset Stab(C)$. There is a inclusion map $T \to Symp_h(X,\omega)$, and theorem 1.3 and theorem 1.25 in [MT10] shows that the induced map on fundamental group $\iota\pi_1(T) = \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \pi_1(Symp_h)$ is an injection. Now observe that this inclusion actually factor through $Stab(C)$. Namely, we have the composition

$$T \to Stab(C) \to Symp_h(X,\omega),$$

where the first map is the inclusion of T into $Stab(C)$ and the second map is the inclusion of isotropy $Stab(C)$ at C into $Symp_h(X,\omega)$. Consider the induced map on fundamental group of the composition:

$$\mathbb{Z}^2 \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{Z}^2 \xrightarrow{g} \pi_1(Symp_h)$$

We have shown that $\iota = g \circ f$ is injective, which means $g(Im(f))$ is a rank 2 free abelian group. Indeed, the image of a free abelian group is either itself or has less free rank. Namely, suppose for $a \neq b$, $g(a) = g(b)$, then we have $g(a-b) = 0$ and hence $Im(g)$ has free rank less than 2. This is contradictory against the fact that $g(Im(f))$ has rank 2. And it follows that the map $Stab(C) \to Symp_h(X,\omega)$ induces injective map of the left arrow $\mathbb{Z}^2 \to \pi_1(Symp_h)$ of the homotopy exact sequence.

In summary we have the following short exact sequence of groups:

$$0 \to \pi_1(Stab(C)) \to \pi_1(Symp_h) \to \mathbb{Z}^N \to 0.$$

□

Hence we understand the fundamental group of $Symp_h(X,\omega)$ in the following theorem:

Theorem 4.8. *If (X,ω) is a symplectic rational 4 manifold with Euler number $\chi(X) \leq 7$, and $N = rank(H^1(\mathcal{J}_{open}))$ equals the number of -2 $\omega-$symplectic spheres ω, then*

$$\pi_1(Symp(X,\omega)) = \mathbb{Z}^N \oplus \pi_1(Symp(X,\omega_{mon})).$$

Proof. We first deal with cases $X = \mathbb{C}P^2\#k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k = 2,3,4,$: By Lemma 4.7, $\pi_1(Symp(X,\omega) = \pi_1(Stab(C)) \oplus H^1(\mathcal{J}_{open})$. Corollary 3.24 shows that $H^1(\mathcal{J}_{open}) = \oplus_{A_i} H^0(\mathcal{J}_{A_i})$, where each A_i is a symplectic -2 class. Corollary 3.20 shows that for $X = \mathbb{C}P^2\#k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k = 2,3,4$, the space of -2 symplectic sphere in a fixed homology class is connected, hence $H^0(\mathcal{J}_{A_i}) = \mathbb{Z}$ for any A_i. And on the other hand, $\pi_0(Stab(C))$ is trivial, hence, the map $\pi_1(Symp_h) \to \pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0)$ is surjective. Hence we show

$$\pi_1(Symp(X,\omega) = \pi_1(Stab(C)) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^N,$$

where N equals the number of -2 symplectic spheres. And hence the rank of $\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega)$ equals the rank of $Stab(C)$ plus the number of -2 symplectic spheres.

And further, in the monotone case, the space $\mathcal{C}_0$ is contractible, and $(Symp(X,\omega_{mon}) \simeq Stab(C)$. Hence

$$\pi_1(Symp(X,\omega)) = \mathbb{Z}^N \oplus \pi_1(Symp(X,\omega_{mon})).$$

For the cases $\mathbb{C}P^2, S^2 \times S^2, \mathbb{C}P^2\#\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, the above results directly follows from the computation in [Gro85], [Abr98], and [AM00]: For monotone

$\mathbb{C}P^2, S^2 \times S^2, \mathbb{C}P^2\#\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, the $Symp_h(X,\omega)$ is weakly homotopic equivalent to $PU(3), SO(3) \times SO(3), U(2)$ respectively. In particular,

$$\pi_1(Symp_h(S^2 \times S^2), \omega_{mono}) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2; \quad \pi_1(Symp_h(\mathbb{C}P^2\#\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}), \omega_{mono}) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

And for non-monotone form of the latter 2 cases, Corollary 2.7 in [AM00] shows that

$$\pi_1(Symp_h(S^2 \times S^2), \omega) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2; \pi_1(Symp_h(\mathbb{C}P^2\#\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}), \omega) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

This verifies our assentation here. And we can also give a discussion about the cases with small Euler number in the section 4.3.2. □

Combine the results in [LW12] and [LLW15], let X be a symplectic rational 4 manifold with Euler number $\chi(X) \leq 7$, $Symp_h(X,\omega)$ is connected, then the homological action of $Symp(X,\omega)$ is generated by Dehn twist along Lagrangian -2 spheres. Hence:

Corollary 4.9. *The homological action, $\pi_0(Symp(X,\omega) = \Gamma(X,\omega)$, which is a finite Coxeter group generated by reflection along -2 Lagrangian spheres. $\Gamma(X,\omega)$ is the subgroup of the Coxeter group corresponding to the root system of Lagrangians in the manifold X as in section 2.1.4.*

By considering the chamber structure of the symplectic cone for each case, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 4.10. *Let X be a rational 4 manifold with Euler number $\chi(X) \leq 7$, with a given symplectic form ω. We have the following quantity*

$$Q = PR[\pi_0(Symp_h(X,\omega))] + Rank[\pi_1(Symp(X,\omega))] - rank[\pi_0(Symp_h(X,\omega))],$$

which is a constant only depends on the topological type of X. Here $PR[\pi_0(Symp(X,\omega)]$ is the number of positive roots of the reflection group $\pi_0(Symp(X,\omega)$ which usually equals the number of Lagrangian -2 spheres, and $Rank[\pi_1(Symp(X,\omega))]$ denote the number of generator of the abelian group $\pi_1(Symp(X,\omega))$.

Proof. One can verify the corollary directly from the above-mentioned computation in the case $\mathbb{C}P^2$, $S^2 \times S^2$.

And for the other rational manifolds $\mathbb{C}P^2\#k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k = 1,2,3,4$ the group $\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega)$ is free abelian. And this corollary follows from the fact that for a symplectic rational 4 manifold whose Euler number is small(less than 12), the square -2 sphere classes is a set of positive roots of certain simple laced root system. And for a given X , when deforming the symplectic form in the symplectic cone, the set of Lagrangian -2 spheres is a set of positive roots of a subsystem, which generates $\pi_0(Symp(X,\omega)$. And the set of symplectic -2 sphere classes, which generates $\pi_1(Symp(X,\omega)$, is a set a set of positive roots of the system that is complementary to the Lagrangian system.

□

And we list the number of this constant in table 2: for 1,2,3,4 points blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^2$, Q is constant of any form. And for 5 blow up, in most circumstances, Q is a constant 15 as in Corollary 5.42, and we further conjecture that this holds for any form, see Conjecture 5.44.

M	Q
$\mathbb{C}P^2\#\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$	1
$\mathbb{C}P^2\#2\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$	3
$\mathbb{C}P^2\#3\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$	6
$\mathbb{C}P^2\#4\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$	10
$\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$	15 ?

TABLE 2. The quantity Q on the persistence of $Symp(X,\omega)$

Remark 4.11. *Also as noticed in [LL06], the generator of homological action of diffeomorphism group of rational manifolds can be realized as Coxeter reflections. And as shown in [She] Theorem 4', the group is a Coxeter reflection group whose Dynkin diagram is a subgraph of the root system of the manifold.*

Remark 4.12. *One can compare the table 2 with the upper bound given by McDuff in [McD08] Corollary 6.6 when $X = \mathbb{C}P^2$. And we will see from the next section that the upper-bounds she gave there can be realized when one blow up $X = \mathbb{C}P^2$ for most occasions.*

4.3. **Discussion in each case.** We explicitly compute $\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega)$ for Theorem 1.5 in table 3,4,5. Through out this section, N denotes the number of Symplectic -2 sphere classes of a given form for a rational 4 manifold; Γ_L denotes the Lagrangian lattice of a wall, which is the same as $\Gamma(X,\omega)$ when ω is on the wall. And $\Gamma(X,\omega)$ is the homological action of the Symplectic mapping class group $\pi_0(Symp(X,\omega))$ on $H_2(X)$ as in Theorem 4.9.

4.3.1. $\mathbb{C}P^2\#k\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, k = 2,3,4$. **The case of $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{P^2}\#\mathbf{2}\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbf{P^2}}$**

For $\mathbb{C}P^2\#2\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, with any symplectic form, rank of $\pi_1(Symp(X,\omega))$ equals 2 plus the number of -2 Symplectic spheres, while $\pi_0(Symp(X,\omega)$ is a Coxeter group of a sublattice of $\mathbb{A}_1$.

We can summarize the above in table 3.

k-Face	Γ_L	N	$\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega)$	ω area
OB	$\mathbb{A}_1$	0	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	$c_1 = c_2$
ΔBOA	trivial	1	$\mathbb{Z}^3$	$c_1 \neq c_2$

TABLE 3. Γ_L and $\pi_1(Symp_h(\mathbb{C}P^2\#2\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}))$

The case of $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{P^2}\#\mathbf{3}\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbf{P^2}}$

For $\mathbb{C}P^2\#3\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, with any symplectic form, $Rank[\pi_1(Symp(X,\omega))]$ equals 2 plus the number of -2 Symplectic spheres, while $\pi_0(Symp(X,\omega)$ is a Coxeter group of a sublattice of $\mathbb{A}_1 \times \mathbb{A}_2$.

The Weyl arrangement of $\mathbb{E}_3 = \mathbb{A}_2 \times \mathbb{A}_1$ is illustrated using the picture 1. And we can fill the table 1 with Γ_L and $\pi_1(Symp(X,\omega))$ such that it becomes table 4.

The case of $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{P^2}\#\mathbf{4}\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbf{P^2}}$

k-Face	Γ_L	N	$\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega)$	$\omega-$area
Point M	$\mathbb{A}_1 \times \mathbb{A}_2$	0	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	$(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3})$: monotone
Edge MO:	$\mathbb{A}_2$	1	$\mathbb{Z}^3$	$\lambda < 1; c_1 = c_2 = c_3$
Edge MA:	$\mathbb{A}_1 \times \mathbb{A}_1$	2	$\mathbb{Z}^4$	$\lambda = 1; c_1 > c_2 = c_3$
Edge MB:	$\mathbb{A}_1 \times \mathbb{A}_1$	2	$\mathbb{Z}^4$	$\lambda = 1; c_1 = c_2 > c_3$
ΔMOA:	$\mathbb{A}_1$	3	$\mathbb{Z}^5$	$\lambda < 1; c_1 > c_2 = c_3$
ΔMOB:	$\mathbb{A}_1$	3	$\mathbb{Z}^5$	$\lambda < 1; c_1 = c_2 > c_3$
ΔMAB:	$\mathbb{A}_1$	3	$\mathbb{Z}^5$	$\lambda = 1; c_1 > c_2 > c_3$
T_{MOAB}:	trivial	4	$\mathbb{Z}^6$	$\lambda < 1; c_1 > c_2 > c_3$

TABLE 4. Γ_L and $\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega)$ for $\mathbb{C}P^2\#3\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$

For $\mathbb{C}P^2\#4\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, as described in section 2.1.4: Combinatorially, the normalized reduced cone is convexly generated by 4 rays $\{MO, MA, MB, MC\}$, with 4 vertices $M = (\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3})$, $O = (0,0,0,0)$, $A = (1,0,0,0)$, $B = (\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},0,0)$, $C = (\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},0)$; and these root edges corresponding to Lagrangian simple roos as follows, $MO = H - E_1 - E_2 - E_3$, $MA = E_1 - E_2$, $MB = E_2 - E_3$, $MC = E_3 - E_4$,

The open chamber in this case is a 4-dimensional polytope with the tetrahedron in 1 of the $\mathbb{C}P^2\#3\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ being a facet. $\pi_1(Symp_h(\mathbb{C}P^2\#4\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}))$ has 10 generators and the homology action is trivial. A wall of codimension k is the interior of a facet of the closure of open chamber, where k number of ">" made into "=". And the Lagrangian lattice of the wall W Γ_L is given by removing the generating rays of the wall W. Specifically, the walls are listed in the table 5.

Remark 4.13. *In [AP13], the computation of $\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega)$ for any given form on 3-fold blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ is given. There the strategy is counting torus (or circle) actions. And a generating set of $\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega)$ is given using circle action. Note that our approach gives another (minimal) set of $\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega)$. We give the correspondence of the two generating sets: By Remark 3.22, any -2 symplectic sphere in 3 fold blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^2$, there is a semi-free circle τ action having this -2 symplectic sphere as fixing locus, where τ is a generator of $\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega)$.*

And if a rational 4 manifold X with Euler number $\chi(X) < 7$, then it is toric. We discuss the relation between our approach and counting torus or circle action in the next section 4.3.2:

4.3.2. *$\mathbb{C}P^2$, $S^2 \times S^2$, $\mathbb{C}P^2\#\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ and counting torus or circle actions.* From previous results [Abr98],[AM00],[LP04], we know that

$$K = PR[\pi_0(Symp(X,\omega)] + Rank[\pi_1(Symp(X,\omega))]$$

is a constant for the cases mentioned in this section. We neither claim any originality nor provide any new results for these cases. Instead, we use our strategy can give a uniform description of this phenomenon. Specifically, we will show how one obtain a generating set of $\pi_1(Symp_h)$ using generators of $Stab(C)$ and $\pi_1(\mathcal{J}_{open})$.

In these cases, we need symplectic spheres with square 0 or 1. Note that in [LLW15] for cases when $\chi(X) < 7$ we can choose an appropriate configuration such that it has a complement U whose compactly supported symplectomorphism group

K-face	Γ_L	N	$\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega)$	ω area
Point M	$\mathbb{A}_4$	0	trivial	monotone, $\lambda=1; c_1=c_2=c_3=c_4$
MO	$\mathbb{A}_3$	4	$\mathbb{Z}^4$	$\lambda<1; c_1=c_2=c_3=c_4$
MA	$\mathbb{A}_3$	4	$\mathbb{Z}^4$	$\lambda=1; c_1>c_2=c_3=c_4$
MB	$\mathbb{A}_1\times\mathbb{A}_2$	6	$\mathbb{Z}^6$	$\lambda=1; c_1=c_2>c_3=c_4$
MC	$\mathbb{A}_1\times\mathbb{A}_2$	6	$\mathbb{Z}^6$	$\lambda=1; c_1=c_2=c_3>c_4$
MOA	$\mathbb{A}_2$	7	$\mathbb{Z}^7$	$\lambda<1; c_1>c_2=c_3=c_4$
MOB	$\mathbb{A}_1\times\mathbb{A}_1$	8	$\mathbb{Z}^8$	$\lambda<1; c_1=c_2>c_3=c_4$
MOC	$\mathbb{A}_2$	7	$\mathbb{Z}^7$	$\lambda<1; c_1=c_2=c_3>c_4$
MAB	$\mathbb{A}_2$	7	$\mathbb{Z}^7$	$\lambda=1; c_1>c_2>c_3=c_4$
MAC	$\mathbb{A}_1\times\mathbb{A}_1$	8	$\mathbb{Z}^7$	$\lambda=1; c_1>c_2=c_3>c_4$
MBC	$\mathbb{A}_1\times\mathbb{A}_1$	8	$\mathbb{Z}^7$	$\lambda=1; c_1=c_2>c_3>c_4$
MOAB	$\mathbb{A}_1$	9	$\mathbb{Z}^8$	$\lambda<1; c_1>c_2>c_3=c_4$
MOAC	$\mathbb{A}_1$	9	$\mathbb{Z}^9$	$\lambda<1; c_1>c_2=c_3>c_4$
MOBC	$\mathbb{A}_1$	9	$\mathbb{Z}^9$	$\lambda<1; c_1=c_2>c_3>c_4$
MABC	$\mathbb{A}_1$	9	$\mathbb{Z}^9$	$\lambda=1; c_1>c_2>c_3>c_4$
MOABC	trivial	10	$\mathbb{Z}^{10}$	$\lambda<1; c_1>c_2>c_3>c_4$

TABLE 5. Γ_L and $\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega)$ for $\mathbb{C}P^2\#4\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$

$Symp_c(U)$ is contractible. And the homotopy type of $Stab(C)$ in the monotone cases is computed in [Gro85; Abr98; AM00; LP04].

Proof similar as proposition 4.4, it is easy to check the following theorem for those cases:

Lemma 4.14. *When $\chi(X)<7$ with the configuration given below, the homotopy type of $Stab(C)$ for the non-monotone case is the same as the monotone case.*

Hence we can summarize the fibration $Stab(C)\to Symp_h\to\mathcal{C}$ for the cases below with any given form:

- *For $\mathbb{C}P^2$, $C=\{H\}$, the fibration is*
$$Stab(C)\simeq U(2)\to Symp_h\to\mathcal{C}\simeq\mathbb{C}P^2.$$
- *For $S^2\times S^2$, $C=\{B,F\}$, the fibration is*
$$\mathbb{T}^2\simeq Stab(C)\to Symp_h\to S^2\times S^2\times\mathcal{J}_{open}.$$
- *For $\mathbb{C}P^2\#\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, $C=\{H,H-E,p\in H-E\}$, where p is a marked point on $H-E$, the fibration is*
$$Stab(C)\simeq S^1\to Symp_h\to S^2\times\mathcal{J}_{open}.$$

Note that the base $\mathcal{C}\simeq\mathbb{C}P^2$ comes from the fact that there is a fibration $\mathbb{C}P^2\to\mathcal{M}(H,\mathcal{J})\to\mathcal{J}$, where $\mathcal{M}(H,\mathcal{J})$ is the universal moduli of stable curve in class H.

In the following cases, we will use our approach to give a description how one can combine the generator of $Stab(C)$ and $\pi_1(\mathcal{J}_{open})$ to obtain a generating set of $\pi_1(Symp_h)$. The argument in Lemma 4.7 can not directly apply since the torus action induced map on the fundamental group might be non-injective. Hence we deal with them separately:

- For $\mathbb{C}P^2$, the $Symp(X,\omega)$ is homotopic to $PU(3)$ and its fundamental group is $\mathbb{Z}_3$, which has one generator. We take the configuration to be $[z_0, 0, 0]$ and take the circle action to be $[z_0 : z_1 : z_2] \to [z_0 : t \cdot z_1 : t \cdot z_2]$. It is not hard to see this action is semi-free (note that if we change the weights of the action, it might be not semi-free, e.g. $[z_0 : z_1 : z_2] \to [z_0 : t \cdot z_1 : t^2 \cdot z_2]$ has isotropy $\mathbb{Z}_2$ at point $[1 : 0 : 1]$). And by Corollary 1.5 of [MS01], this action maps to a nontrivial element in $\pi_1(Symp_h)$. Further, this circle can be naturally included into $Stab(C)$ as a nontrivial loop. This means the image of the map $\pi_1(Stab(C)) = \mathbb{Z} \to \pi_1(Symp_h)$ contains the generator of $\pi_1(Symp_h)$.
- For $S^2 \times S^2$: Take a toric divisor $C = \{B, F\}$, take two circle actions C_B, C_F generated by the two factors of the torus, fixing spheres B and F respectively. Every effective circle action on $S^2 \times S^2$ is semi-free, and hence by Corollary 1.5 of [MS01], the inclusion of C_B, C_F each maps to non-trivial element in $\pi_1(Symp_h)$. In addition, by inclusion, we can map C_B, C_F to a non-trivial loop in the first and second factor in $\pi_1(Stab(C))$ respectively. (This is because the Seidel representation of the images of C_B, C_F are different in the quantum homology ring: $\mathcal{S}(C_B) = B \otimes qt^{\mu/2}, \mathcal{S}(C_F) = B \otimes qt^{1/2}$, see [MT06] Example 5.7. This means the image of the left arrow in the homotopy exact sequence

$$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \to \pi_1(Symp_h) \to \pi_1(S^2 \times S^2 \times \mathcal{J}_{open}) \to 1$$

  has two generators in $\pi_1(Symp_h)$. On the other hand, $\pi_0(Symp_h(X,\omega))$ in Corollary 1.6 and the above cases are trivial, which means the right arrow is surjective.
- For $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, take a toric divisor $C = \{E, H - E\}$, If we choose the corresponding S^1 action with fixing locus E or $H - E$, it is clear that this action is semi-free, i.e. the isotropy is either trivial or the whole S^1. We denote them by C_E, C_H respectively. And by a theorem of [MS01], in the left arrows in the homotopy exact sequence

$$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \to \pi_1(Symp_h) \to \pi_1(S^2 \times \mathcal{J}_{open}) \to 1,$$

  the inclusion of C_E, C_H each maps to non-trivial element in $\pi_1(Symp_h)$. In addition, by inclusion, we can map C_E, C_H to a non-trivial loop in the first and second factor in $\pi_1(Stab(C))$ respectively. (This is because the Seidel representation of the images of C_E, C_H are different in the quantum homology ring: $\mathcal{S}(C_E) = B \otimes qt^{c_1}, \mathcal{S}(C_H) = B \otimes qt^{2c_1-1}$, see [MT06] Example 5.6. This means the image of the left arrow in the

$$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \to \pi_1(Symp_h) \to \pi_1(S^2 \times \mathcal{J}_{open}) \to 1$$

  is nonempty by the same argument as above. Also, [AM00] Corollary 2.7 shows that this generator has infinite order and hence the left arrow is injective. And the triviality of $\pi_0(Symp_h(X,\omega))$ apply here showing that the right arrow is surjective. And hence Lemma 4.7 still holds for these cases.

5. Symplectic -2 spheres and $Symp(\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2},\omega)$

In this section, we study the low-rank homotopy groups of $Symp_h(X,\omega)$, where X is $\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ and ω is an arbitrary symplectic form. The π_0 is particularly interesting: In [Sei08] and [Eva11] for a monotone symplectic form, π_0 of $Symp_h(X,\omega_{mon})$ is shown to be $\pi_0\mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2,5)$, which is an infinite discrete group generated by square Lagrangian Dehn twists. In contrast, Dusa McDuff pointed out in [McD08] that for a certain symplectic form such that the blow-up size is small and there's no Lagrangian sphere, the group $Symp_h(\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2},\omega)$ is connected, see Remark 1.11 in [McD08] and Remark 5.30 for details. We could now give a complete description of the symplectic mapping class group and discover the "forgetting strands" phenomena in Torelli SMC: as in the braid group on spheres when deforming the symplectic form:

Recall that the normalized reduced symplectic cone of $X=\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ is a 5-dimension Polyhedron P^5 with the monotone form as a vertex. And it is convexly spanned by five root edges, where each of them corresponds to a simple root in the Lagrangian root system Γ_L.

- On the monotone point, [Sei08],[Eva11] shows that $Symp_h(X,\omega_{mon})$ is weakly homotopic to $\mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2,5)$ and hence the TSMC is $\pi_0(\mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2,5))$.
- On a root edge MA where the number of symplectic sphere classes is minimal (8 classes) other than the monotone point, we show that TSMC "forgets one strand" and becomes $\pi_0(\mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2,4))$ in Proposition 5.18.
- Further when the form admit more symplectic -2 sphere classes, namely, $\omega\in P^5\backslash\overline{MA}$ where $\overline{MA}$ is the closure of MA, TSMC behaves like forgetting one more strand and become trivial(because $\pi_0(\mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2,3))$ is trivial) in Proposition 5.27.

Further, for rank of fundamental group of $Symp_h(\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, we give a lower bound given by Lemma 5.32 and a upper-bound modified from [McD08] corollary 6.4 and 6.9. We achieve the following: for a reduced symplectic form ω, if $c_i<1/2$, and TSMC is connected, then rank of $\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega))=N-5$. This further imply the isotopy uniqueness up to symplectomorphism of homologous -2 symplectic spheres.

5.1. Basic set-up and pure braid groups on a sphere.

5.1.1. *The stragety.* For $\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, we choose the configuration to be the following:



$$2H-E_1-E_2-E_3-E_4-E_5$$

Identifying with $S^2\times S^2\#4\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ by the base change in equation 7, the configuration is $C=\{B+F-E_2-E_3-E_4,B-E_1,F-E_1,E_2,E_3,E_4\}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_0$ denote the space of orthogonal configurations and by Lemma 4.3, $\mathcal{C}_0$ is weakly homotopic to $\mathcal{C}$, which is homotopic to $\mathcal{J}_C$. We know from Lemma 3.25 that $\mathcal{J}_C=\mathcal{J}_{open}$.

And we will study $Symp(\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2},\omega)$ using the strategy described in 1 and the following symplectomorphism called **ball-swapping** as in [Wu14]:

Definition 5.1. *Suppose X is a symplectic manifold. And $\tilde{X}$ a blow up of X at a packing of n balls B_i of volume v_i. Consider the ball packing in X $\iota_0 : \coprod_{i=1}^n B(i) \to X$, with image K. Suppose there is a Hamiltonian family ι_t of X acting on this ball backing K such that $\iota_1(K) = K$ Then ι_1 defines a symplectomorphism on the complement of K in X. From the interpretation of blow-ups in the symplectic category [MP94], the blow-ups can be represented as*

$$\tilde{X} = (X\backslash\iota_j(\coprod_{i=1}^n B_i))/\sim, \text{ for } j = 0, 1.$$

Here the equivalence relation $\sim$ collapses the natural S^1-action on $\partial B_i = S^3$. Hence this symplectomorphism on the complement defines a symplectomorphism on the blow up $\tilde{X}$.

First recall a fact about relative ball packing in $\mathbb{C}P^2$:

Lemma 5.2. *For $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with symplectic form ω, where $PD[\omega] = H$, suppose there are positive number $c_1, \cdots, c_5$ such that $max\{c_i\} \leq 1/2, \sum c_i < 2$, then there is a ball packing relative to a given $\mathbb{R}P^2$, denoted by $\iota : \coprod_{i=1}^5 B(i) \to \mathbb{C}P^2$, such that the symplectic area of exceptional curve E_i corresponding to B_i is c_i.*

Proof. By [BLW14] Lemma 5.2, it suffices to pack 5 balls of given sizes c_i into $(S^2 \times S^2, \Omega_{1,\frac{1}{2}})$. Without lose of generality we assume that $c_1 \geq \cdots \geq c_5$. Since blowing up a ball of size c_1 (here by ball size we mean the area of the corresponding exceptional sphere) in $(S^2 \times S^2, \Omega_{1,\frac{1}{2}})$ leads to $(\mathbb{C}P^2\#2\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2, \omega')$ with ω' dual to the class $(\frac{3}{2} - c_1)H - (1 - c_1)E_1 - (\frac{1}{2} - c_1)E_2$, it suffices to prove that the vector

$$[(\frac{3}{2} - c_1)|(1 - c_1), c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, (\frac{1}{2} - c_1)]$$

denoting the class

$$(\frac{3}{2} - c_1)H - (1 - c_1)E_1 - (\frac{1}{2} - c_1)E_6 - \sum_{i=2}^5 c_i E_i$$

is Poincaré dual to a symplectic form for $\mathbb{C}P^2\#6\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$.

It is a symplectic form ω', because it pair E_i, $H - E_i - E_j$ and $2H - E_1 - \cdots - \check{E}_i - \cdots - E_6$ are positive:

- The minimal value of $\omega(H - E_i - E_j)$ is either

$$(\frac{3}{2} - c_1) - c_2 - c_3 > 0;$$
$$or \quad (\frac{3}{2} - c_1) - c_2 - (1 - c_1) > 0;$$

  this means each $H - E_i - E_j$ has positive area.
- The minimal value of $\omega(2H - E_1 - \cdots - \check{E}_i - \cdots - E_6)$ is either

$$2(\frac{3}{2} - c_1) - (1 - c_1) - c_2 - c_3 - c_4 - (\frac{1}{2} - c_1) = 2 - c_2 - c_3 - c_4 - (\frac{1}{2} - c_1) > 0;$$

$$or \quad 2(\frac{3}{2}-c_1)-(1-c_1)-c_2-c_3-c_4-c_5 = 2-c_2-c_3-c_4-c_5 > 0;$$

this means $2H - E_1 - \cdots - \check{E}_i - \cdots - E_6$ has positive area.

And hence there is a ball packing $\iota : \coprod_{i=1}^5 B(i) \to \mathbb{C}P^2$ relative to $\mathbb{R}P^2$, such that the symplectic area of exceptional curve E_i corresponding to B_i is c_i.

□

Then we review a fact about symplectomorphism of non compact surfaces:

Lemma 5.3. *Let $Symp(S^2, n)$ denote the group of symplectomrophism(indeed area preserving diffeomophism) of the n-punctured sphere. and $Symp(S^2, \coprod_{i=1}^n D_i)$ denote the group of symplectomrophism of S^2 with n disjoint closed disk (with smooth boundary). $Symp_0(S^2, n)$ and $Symp_0(S^2, \coprod_{i=1}^n D_i)$ are their identity component respectively. Then $Symp(S^2, n)$ is isomorphic to $Symp(S^2, \coprod_{i=1}^n D_i)$. Further,*

$$Symp(S^2, \coprod_{i=1}^n D_i)/Symp_0(S^2, \coprod_{i=1}^n D_i) = Symp(S^2, n)/Symp_0(S^2, n),$$

both can be identified with $\pi_0 Symp(S^2, n) = \pi_0 Diff^+(S^2, n)$.

Proof. The statements follow from the fact that n-punctured sphere is diffeomorphic to S^2 with n disjoint open disk removed. Indeed one can do this by local polar coordinate centered at the punctures to map the former to the latter. And because both domains (n-punctured sphere and S^2 with n open disk removed) have finite volume, the above diffeomorphism push the symplectic form on the former forward into a positive constant multiple of the form on the latter.

And the last statement is obvious because $Symp(S^2, n)$ is homotopic to $\mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2, n)$ by Moser's theorem, see [Ban97] Chapter 7. □

Now we review the strategy introduced in section 4.1 and verify the following:

Proposition 5.4. *For $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ with any symplectic form ω, the diagram 1 is a fibration. And if $PD[\omega] := H - c_1E_1 - c_2E_2 - c_3E_3 - c_4E_4 - c_5E_5$, also denoted by vector $(1|c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5)$, such that $c_i < 1/2, \forall i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, then $Stab(C) \simeq Diff^+(S^2, 5)$.*

Proof. Firstly, it suffices to verify that the following is a fibration:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Symp_c(U) & \longrightarrow & Stab^1(C) & \longrightarrow & Stab^0(C) & \longrightarrow & Stab(C) \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & \mathcal{G}(C) & & Symp(C). \end{array} \tag{34}$$

And indeed we only need to argue the restriction map $Stab(C) \to Symp(C)$ is surjective:

By Lemma 27, $Symp(C) = \Pi_i Symp(E_i) \times Symp(Q, 5)$, where $Symp(E_i, p_i)$ is the symplectomorphism group of the sphere in class E_i fixing the intersection point $p_i = E_i \cap Q$, and $Symp(Q, 5)$ is the symplectomorphism group of the sphere in class in $2H - E_1 - \cdots E_5$ fixing 5 intersection points. The surjection to the group $Symp(E_i, p_i)$ is clear.

Then we need to prove the restriction map $Stab(C) \to Symp(C)$ is surjective on the factor $Symp(Q, 5)$. Note this means for any given $h^{(2)} \in Symp(Q, 5)$ we need

to find a symplectomorphism $h^{(4)} \in Stab(C)$ which fixes the whole configuration C as a set, whose restriction on Q is $h^{(2)}$. To achieve this, we can blow down the exceptional spheres $E_1 \cdots E_5$, and obtain a $\mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus \coprod_{i=1}^5 B(i)$ with a conic S^2 in homology class $2H$ and five disjoint balls $\coprod_{i=1}^5 B(i)$ each centered on this conic and the intersections are 5 disjoint disks on this S^2. Note that by the above identification in Lemma 5.3, this blow down process sends $h^{(2)}$ in $Symp(Q,5)$ to a unique $\overline{h^{(2)}}$ in $Symp(S^2, \coprod_{i=1}^5 D_i)$. It suffice to find a symplectomorphism $\overline{h^{(4)}}$ whose restriction is $\overline{h^{(2)}}$, and fixing the image of balls $\coprod_{i=1}^5 B(i)$. Because blowing the balls $\coprod_{i=1}^5 B(i)$ up and by definition 5.1, we obtain a symplectomorphism $h^{(4)} \in Stab(C)$ whose restriction is the given $h^{(2)} \in Symp(Q,5)$.

Now for a given $h^{(2)} \in Symp(Q,5)$, we will first consider its counterpart $\overline{h^{(2)}}$ in $Symp(S^2, \coprod_{i=1}^5 D_i)$. One can always find $f^{(4)} \in Symp(\mathbb{C}P^2, \omega)$ whose restriction on S^2 is $\overline{h^{(2)}}$ in $Symp(S^2, \coprod_{i=1}^5 D_i)$. We can construct $f^{(4)}$ using the method as in Lemma 2.5 in [LLW15]: $\overline{h^{(2)}}$ in $Symp(S^2, \coprod_{i=1}^5 D_i)$. is a hamiltonian diffeomorphism on S^2 because S^2 is simply connected. Then we cut off in a symplectic neighborhood of S^2 to define the hamiltonian diffeomorphism $f^{(4)} \in Symp(\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, \omega)$, which fixing the 5 intersection disks $\coprod_{i=1}^5 D_i$. But the blow up of $f^{(4)}$ is not necessarily in $Stab(C)$ because there's no guarantee that $f^{(4)}$ will fix the image of 5 balls $\coprod_{i=1}^5 B(i)$. Then we need another symplectomorphism $g^{(4)} \in Symp(\mathbb{C}P^2, \omega)$ so that $g^{(4)}$ move the five symplectic balls back to their original position in $\mathbb{C}P^2$. This can be done by connectedness of ball packing relative a divisor (the conic in class $2H$ in our case). Namely, by Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4 in [Wu14], there exists a symplectomorphism $g^{(4)} \in Symp(\mathbb{C}P^2, \omega)$ such that the composition $\overline{h^{(4)}} = g^{(4)} \circ f^{(4)}$ is a symplectomorphism fixing the five balls. And blowing up the 5 balls we obtain an element $h^{(4)}$ in $Stab(C)$, which is a ball swapping symplectomorphism whose restriction on $Symp(C)$ creates the group $Symp(Q,5)$. Hence this restriction map $Stab(C) \to Symp(C)$ is surjective.

It is clearly that the action of $Stab(C)$ on $Symp(C)$ is transitive and by Theorem A in [Pal60] $Stab(C) \to Symp(C)$ is a fibration. The rest parts of the diagram being a fibration is the same as the arguments in [LLW15].

Then we verify that

Lemma 5.5. *If* $PD[\omega] := H - c_1E_1 - c_2E_2 - c_3E_3 - c_4E_4 - c_5E_5$, *also denoted by vector* $(1|c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5)$, *such that* $c_i < 1/2, \forall i \in \{1,2,3,4,5\}$, *then* $Stab(C) \simeq Diff^+(S^2, 5)$.

Proof. With the assumption $c_i < 1/2$, we can argue following [Eva11] and show that $\pi_1(Symp(C))$ surjects onto $\pi_0(Symp_c(U))$: $c_i < 1/2$ here is because Lemma 36 in requires [Eva11] the circle action to be away from the zero section.

Let μ be the moment map for the $SO(3)$-action on $T^*\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^2$. Then $||\mu||$ generates a Hamiltonian circle action on $T^*\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^2$ which commutes with the round co-geodesic flow. Symplectically cutting along a level set of $||\mu||$ gives $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and the reduced locus is a conic. Pick five points on the conic and $||\mu||$-equivariant balls of volume given by the symplectic form centered on them (this is always possible by Lemma 5.2 since the ball sizes $c_i < 1/2$ allow the packing to be away from the zero section). $(\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, \omega)$ is symplectomorphic to the blow up in these five balls and the circle action preserves the exceptional locus. Hence by Lemma 36 in [Eva11], the diagonal element $(1, \ldots, 1) \in \pi_1(Symp(C)) = \mathbb{Z}^5$ maps to the

generator of the Dehn twist of the zero section in $T^*\mathbb{RP}^2$, which is the generator in $\pi_0(Symp_c(U))$.

Therefore, assume $c_i < 1/2$, the homotopy type of each term and each connecting map is the same as the monotone case computed by [Eva11] section 6.5. And we have the weak homotopy equivalence $Stab(C) \simeq \mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2, 5)$.

□

□

Remark 5.6. *If some $c_i \geq 1/2$, we expect the diagonal element $(1, \ldots, 1)$ in $\pi_1(Symp(C)) = \mathbb{Z}^5$ still maps to the generator of $\pi_0(Symp_c(U))$, but we won't give an explicit proof. Instead, there is an argument in Lemma 5.26 showing that the generator in $\pi_0(Symp_c(U))$ is isotopic to identity in $Symp_h$ for a given form without size restriction on $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$.*

5.1.2. *Pure braid group on sphere.* By proposition 5.4, $Stab(C) \simeq \mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2, 5)$, which comes from the diffeomorphism of the base $2H - E_1 - E_2 - E_3 - E_4 - E_5$ sphere fixing the five intersection points of the exceptional spheres. Hence the right end of fibration (1) is:

$$Stab(C) \simeq \mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2, 5) \longrightarrow Symp_h \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_0 \tag{35}$$

By Lemma 4.3, homotopically, $\mathcal{C}_0 \cong \mathcal{J}_{open}$, which is connected. And we can write down the homotopy long exact sequence of the fibration:

$$1 \to \pi_1(Symp_h(X, \omega)) \to \pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0) \xrightarrow{\phi} \pi_0(\mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2, 5)) \to \pi_0(Symp_h) \to 1 \tag{36}$$

Lemma 5.7. *There are isomorphisms*

$$\pi_0(Diff^+(S^2, 5)) \cong PB_5(S^2)/\langle\tau\rangle \cong PB_2(S^2 - \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}),$$

where $PB_5(S^2)$ and $PB_2(S^2 - \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ are the pure braid groups of 5 strings on S^2 and 2 strings on $S^2 - \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ respectively, and $\langle\tau\rangle = \mathbb{Z}_2$ is the center of the braid group $Br_5(S^2)$ generated by the full twist τ of order 2.

It follows that $Ab(\pi_0(\mathrm{Diff}(5, \mathrm{S}^2))) = \mathbb{Z}_5$.

Proof. The first identification comes from the homotopy fibration

$$\mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2, 5) \to PSL(2, \mathbb{C}) \to Conf(S^2, 5),$$

and the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ is the image of

$$\pi_1[PSL(2, \mathbb{C})] \to \pi_1[Conf(S^2, 5)] = Br_5(S^2),$$

which is the center of $Br_5(S^2)$ generated by the full twist τ of order 2.

The second isomorphism follows from the direct sum decomposition (cf. the proof of Theorem 5 in [GG13]),

$$PB_n(S^2) \simeq PB_{n-3}(S^2 - \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}) \oplus \langle\tau\rangle.$$

Now we have $Ab(\pi_0(\mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2, 5))) = \mathbb{Z}^5$ since $Ab(PB_2(S^2 - \{x_1, x_2, x_3\})) = \mathbb{Z}^5$ ([GG13] Theorem 5). □

We also recall the generating set and presentation of braid $B_n(S^2)$ and pure braid $PB_n(S^2)$ on the sphere. For details see [KT08] section 1.2 and 1.3.



FIGURE 2. The Artin generator σ_i and the standard generator $A_{i,j}$

Lemma 5.8. *$B_n(S^2)$ admit the Artin presentation using Artin generators $\{\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_{n-1}\}$, where σ_i switches the ith point with (i+1)th point.*

$PB_n(S^2)$ admits a presentation using standard generators $A_{i,j}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n$. For $PB_{n-3}(S^2 - \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}) \simeq PB_n(S^2)/\mathbb{Z}_2$, the set $\{A_{i,j}, j \geq 4, 1 \leq i < j\}$ is a generating set. And further, by Theorem 5 in [GG13] there are relations $(\Pi_{i=1}^{j-1} A_{i,j})(\Pi_{k=n+1}^{j} A_{j,k}) = 1$, ensuring that we can further remove the generators $A_{1,j}$.

And the following equation gives the standard generator of Pure braid group:

$$A_{ij} = \sigma_{j-1} \cdots \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i^2 \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{j-1}^{-1} \tag{37}$$

Where one can think $A_{i,j}$ as the twist of the point i along the point j, which geometrically (see Figure 2) can be viewed as moving i around j through a loop separating j from all other points.

Lemma 5.9. *$\pi_0(Diff^+(S^2, 5)) = P_5(S^2)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ admit a minimal generating set*

$$\{A_{24}, A_{25}, A_{34}, A_{35}, A_{45}\}.$$

And any permutation of $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ gives another minimal generating set. In particular, perform $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \to \{5, 4, 3, 2, 1\}$, we get

$$\{A_{42}, A_{41}, A_{32}, A_{31}, A_{21}\}.$$

5.1.3. *Reduced symplectic cone and walls.* For $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, as described in section 2.1.4: Combinatorially, the normalized reduced cone is convexly generated by 5 rays $\{MO, MA, MB, MC, MD\}$, with 5 vertices $M = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$, $O = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, $A = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, $B = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0)$, $C = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0)$,$D = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0)$; and these root edges corresponding to Lagrangian simple roos as follows, $MO = H - E_1 - E_2 - E_3$, $MA = E_1 - E_2$, $MB = E_2 - E_3$, $MC = E_3 - E_4$,$MD = E_4 - E_5$,



The open chamber in this case is a 5-dimensional polytope with the closure of reduced cone in 1 of the $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 4\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ being a facet. A wall of codimension k is

k-face	Γ_L	N	$\omega := (1\|c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5)$
Point M	$\mathbb{D}_5$	0	monotone
MO	$\mathbb{A}_4$	10	$1 > \lambda; c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = c_4 = c_5$
MA	$\mathbb{D}_4$	8	$\lambda = 1; c_1 > c_2 = c_3 = c_4 = c_5$
MB	$\mathbb{A}_1 \times \mathbb{A}_3$	13	$\lambda = 1; c_1 = c_2 > c_3 = c_4 = c_5$
MC	$\mathbb{A}_2 \times \mathbb{A}_2$	15	$\lambda = 1; c_1 = c_2 = c_3 > c_4 = c_5$
MD	$\mathbb{A}_4$	10	$\lambda = 1; c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = c_4 > c_5$
MOA	$\mathbb{A}_3$	14	$1 > \lambda; c_1 > c_2 = c_3 = c_4 = c_5$
MOB	$\mathbb{A}_1 \times \mathbb{A}_2$	16	$1 > \lambda; c_1 = c_2 > c_3 = c_4 = c_5$
MOC	$\mathbb{A}_1 \times \mathbb{A}_2$	16	$\lambda < 1; c_1 = c_2 = c_3 > c_4 = c_5$
MOD	$\mathbb{A}_3$	14	$1 > \lambda; c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = c_4 > c_5$
MAB	$\mathbb{A}_3$	14	$\lambda = 1 c_1 > c_2 > c_3 = c_4 = c_5$
MAC	$\mathbb{A}_1 \times \mathbb{A}_1 \times \mathbb{A}_1$	17	$\lambda = 1; c_1 = c_2 > c_3 > c_4 = c_5$
MAD	$\mathbb{A}_3$	14	$\lambda = 1;\ c_1 > c_2 = c_3 = c_4 > c_5$
MBC	$\mathbb{A}_1 \times \mathbb{A}_1 \times \mathbb{A}_1$	17	$\lambda = 1; c_1 > c_2 = c_3 > c_4 = c_5$
MBD	$\mathbb{A}_1 \times \mathbb{A}_2$	16	$\lambda = 1;\ c_1 = c_2 > c_3 = c_4 > c_5$
MCD	$\mathbb{A}_1 \times \mathbb{A}_2$	16	$\lambda = 1;\ c_1 = c_2 = c_3 > c_4 > c_5$
MOAB	$\mathbb{A}_2$	17	$\lambda < 1;\ c_1 > c_2 > c_3 = c_4 = c_5$
MOAC	$\mathbb{A}_1 \times \mathbb{A}_1$	18	$\lambda < 1;\ c_1 > c_2 = c_3 > c_4 = c_5$
MOAD	$\mathbb{A}_2$	17	$\lambda < 1;\ c_1 > c_2 = c_3 = c_4 > c_5$
MOBC	$\mathbb{A}_1 \times \mathbb{A}_1$	18	$\lambda < 1;\ c_1 = c_2 > c_3 > c_4 = c_5$
MOBD	$\mathbb{A}_1 \times \mathbb{A}_1$	18	$\lambda < 1;\ c_1 = c_2 > c_3 = c_4 > c_5$
MOCD	$\mathbb{A}_2$	17	$\lambda < 1;\ c_1 = c_2 = c_3 > c_4 > c_5$
MABC	$\mathbb{A}_1 \times \mathbb{A}_1$	18	$\lambda = 1;\ c_1 > c_2 > c_3 > c_4 = c_5$
MABD	$\mathbb{A}_2$	17	$\lambda = 1;\ c_1 > c_2 > c_3 = c_4 > c_5$
MACD	$\mathbb{A}_1 \times \mathbb{A}_1$	18	$\lambda = 1;\ c_1 > c_2 = c_3 > c_4 > c_5$
MBCD	$\mathbb{A}_1 \times \mathbb{A}_1$	18	$\lambda = 1;\ c_1 = c_2 > c_3 > c_4 > c_5$
MOABC	$\mathbb{A}_1$	19	$\lambda < 1;\ c_1 > c_2 > c_3 > c_4 = c_5$
MOABD	$\mathbb{A}_1$	19	$\lambda < 1;\ c_1 > c_2 > c_3 = c_4 > c_5$
MOACD	$\mathbb{A}_1$	19	$\lambda < 1;\ c_1 > c_2 = c_3 > c_4 > c_5$
MOBCD	$\mathbb{A}_1$	19	$\lambda < 1;\ c_1 = c_2 > c_3 > c_4 > c_5$
MABCD	$\mathbb{A}_1$	19	$\lambda = 1;\ c_1 > c_2 > c_3 > c_4 > c_5$
MOABCD	trivial	20	$\lambda < 1;\ c_1 > c_2 > c_3 > c_4 > c_5$

TABLE 6. Reduced symplectic form on $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$

the interior of a facet of the closure of open chamber, where k many ">" made into "=". And the Lagrangian lattice of the wall W Γ_L is given by removing the generating rays of the wall W. Specifically, the k-faces are listed in the table 6.

Remark 5.10. *Recall that any symplectic form ω is diffeomorphic to a reduced form ω_r and $Symp_h(X, \omega)$ is homeomorphic to $Symp_h(X, \omega_r)$.*

And further note that we have the following Cremona transform showing that a reduced form satisfying some balanced condition is symplectormophic to a form that is obtained by blowing up ball packing relative to $\mathbb{R}P^2$ as in Lemma 5.2:

Lemma 5.11. *Given a reduced form ω on $\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, $PD[\omega] = H - c_1E_i - \cdots - c_5E_5$, with $c_1 \geq c_2 \geq c_3 \geq c_4 \geq c_5$, if $c_3 < c_4 + c_5$, then it is symplctomorphic to a form which admit a relative packing as in the previous Lemma 5.2.*

Proof. We do Cromena transform using $H - E_3 - E_4 - E_5$:

$$h = 2H - E_3 - E_4 - E_5, \quad e_1 = E_1, \quad e_2 = E_2,$$

$$e_3 = H - E_4 - E_5, \quad e_4 = H - E_3 - E_5, \quad e_5 = H - E_3 - E_4.$$

We also have $2h - e_1 - \cdots - e_5 = H - E_1 - E_2$.

In the push forward manifold we choose the configuration



which is indeed the following configuration in the original manifold



Note that by [LL06], the above Cremona transform can be realized as a diffeomorphism on $\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ denoted by Φ, with the push forward symplectic form $\Phi^*\omega$. Denote the basis of H_2 of the push forward manifold $(\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, \Phi^*\omega)$ by $\{h, e_1, \cdot, e_5\}$. And we can easily verify the assumption of Lemma 5.2 that$\Phi^*\omega(h) > 2\Phi^*\omega(e_i), \forall i$ by checking the following curves having positive area:

- $h - 2e_1 = 2H - 2E_1 - E_3 - E_4 - E_5 = (H - E_1 - E_3 - E_4) + H - E_1 - E_5$ has positive area since the old form is reduced;
- For $h - 2e_2$ we can apply the same argument as $h - 2e_1$;
- $h - 2e_3 = 2H - E_3 - E_4 - E_5 - 2(H - E_4 - E_5) = E_4 + E_5 - E_3$ has positive area from the assumption $c_3 < c_4 + c_5$;
- $h - 2e_4 = 2H - E_3 - E_4 - E_5 - 2(H - E_3 - E_5) = E_3 + E_5 - E_4$ has positive area because $c_3 \geq c_4$;
- For $h - 2e_5$ we can apply the same argument as $h - 2e_4$.

Hence the proof. □

Remark 5.12. *In the previous Lemma 5.11, if one replace the assumption $c_3 < c_4 + c_5$ by $c_1 < c_2 + c_3$ or $c_2 < c_3 + c_4$, one may apply the corresponding Cremona*

transform(using $H-E_1-E_2-E_3, H-E_2-E_3-E_4$ respectively), to make the push forward admit a ball packing relative to $\mathbb{R}P^2$.

Hence one may state Lemma 5.11 as follows:

Lemma 5.13. *We call a reduce form $\omega=(1|c_1,c_2,c_3,c_4,c_5)$ on $\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ with $c_1\geq c_2\geq c_3\geq c_4\geq c_5$* **balanced** *if $c_1\geq c_2+c_3$, $c_2\geq c_3+c_4$ and $c_3\geq c_4+c_5$ not hold simultaneously.*

Any balanced reduced form ω_b is diffeomorphic to a form admitting a ball packing relative to $\mathbb{R}P^2$.

5.2. **A semi-toric Ball-swapping model and the connecting homomorphism.** In this section, we prove that:

Proposition 5.14. *Given $\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ with symplectic form ω, such that $PD[\omega]=H-c_1E_i-\cdots-c_5E_5$ where there are at least 3 distinct values in $\{c_1,\cdots,c_5\}$ and $max\{c_i\}<1/2, \sum_i c_i<2$, then $Symp_h(\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2},\omega)$ is connected.*

And because any balanced reduced symplectic form defined in Lemma 5.13 is Cremona equivalent to a form satisfying the condition $max\{c_i\}<1/2, \sum_i c_i<2$. As a corollary of 5.14, for any for in cases 3b to 5a in table 6, $Symp_h(\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2},\omega)$ is connected. In addition, some form in cases 1 to 3a are also covered by Corollary 5.17, and the rest in cases 1 to 3a will be covered by Lemma 5.26 in the next section.

We first give a semi-toric model of ball-swapping relative to $\mathbb{R}P^2$: From the Biran decomposition we know $\mathbb{C}P^2=\mathbb{R}P^2\sqcup U$, where $U=H_4\setminus Z_\infty$, where H_4 is the 4th Hirzbruch surface with fiber area $1/2$ and base area 2, and Z_∞ is the infinity section.

And if we have 5 balls with pairwise distinct sizes $a_1,a_2,\cdots,a_5$ such that

$$a_i<1/2, \sum_i a_i<2 \tag{38}$$

there is a toric packing as in Figure 3, by [McD91]. Note that each $B^4(a_i)\cap\{0\}\times C$ is a large disk in $B^4(a_i)$. Moreover, there is an ellipsoid $E_{ij}\subset U$, such that $B_i\cup B_j\subset E_{ij}$, and E_{ij} is disjoint from the rest of the ball packings. We call this an (i,j)-*standard packing.*



FIGURE 3. Standard toric packing and ball swapping in $\mathcal{O}(4)$

Next, we notice that when at least 2 elements from $\{a_r,a_s,a_t\}:=\{a_1,a_2,\cdots,a_5\}\setminus\{a_i,a_j\}$ coincide, toric packing as in Figure 3 doesn't exist. Nonetheless, one could always slightly enlarge some of them to obtain distinct volumes satisfying equation

(38), then pack the original balls into the enlarged ones to obtain a standard packing.

And there is a natural circle action induced from the toric action, rotating the base curve C, fixing the center of $B^4(a_i)$. Denote the Hamiltonian of the circle action H. This circle action clearly swaps the ball $B^4(a_i)$ and $B^4(a_j)$ and then place them back to their original positions. When these two balls are blown-up, the corresponding ball-swapping symplectomorphisms hence induce a pure braid generator A_{ij}.

To make it compactly supported, one multiplies to $H(r_1, r_2) = |r_2|^2$ a cut-off function $\eta(z_1, z_2)$ such that We can cutoff H using the function η defined as following:

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in E_{ij} \setminus \{\dfrac{r_1^2}{2-\epsilon-a_r-a_s-a_t} + \dfrac{r_2^2}{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \leq 1/\pi\}, \\ 1, & x \in \{\dfrac{r_1^2}{a_i+a_j} + \dfrac{r_2^2}{\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon} \leq 1/\pi\}, \end{cases} \tag{39}$$

The resulting Hamiltonian $\eta \circ H$ has time-one flow equal identity outside the ellipsoid in Figure 3 hence descends to a ball-swapping as in Definition 5.1. We call such a symplectomorphism an (i, j)-*model ball-swapping* in $\mathcal{O}(4)\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}}P^2$ when B_i and B_j are swapped. Consider the family of the compactly supported symplectomorphism, given by the Hamiltonian $t \circ \eta \circ H$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, the following lemma is immediate.

Lemma 5.15. *The (i, j)-model ball-swapping is Hamiltonian isotopic to identity in the compactly supported symplectomorphism group of $\mathcal{O}(4)\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$. Moreover, it induces a diffeomorphism on the proper transform of C, which is the generator A_{ij} on $\pi_0(Diff^+(S^2, 5))$.*

Now we give the proof of

Proposition 5.16. *Given $\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ with symplectic form ω, such that $PD[\omega] = H - c_1E_i - \cdots - c_5E_5$ where there are at least 3 distinct values in $\{c_1, \cdots c_5\}$ and $max\{c_i\} < 1/2, \sum_i c_i < 2$, then $Symp_h(\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, \omega)$ is connected.*

Proof. Fix a configuration $C_{std} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ in $\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ with the given form ω. If we blow down the five exceptional spheres, we get a ball packing in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with 5 balls, each B_i centered at the corresponding point P_i on the sphere S^2 of homology class $2H$, with the size determined by the form ω.

First note that there is a Lagrangian $\mathbb{R}P^2$ away from the curve Q in C_{std} of class $2H - E_1 - \cdots - E_5$ with the given symplectic form.

Blowing down the exceptional curves, we have a ball packing $\iota : B_l = B(c_l)$ relative to $\mathbb{R}P^2$. Suppose $c_i > c_j$, we have the semi-toric (i, j)-standard packing ι_s as defined above. One may further isotope ι to ι_s, by the connectedness of ball packing in [BLW14] Theorem 1.1. Clearly, from Lemma 5.15, this is a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism, fixes all exceptional divisors from the 5 ball-packing, and induces the pure braid generator A_{ij} on C.

Take the isotopy φ_t from φ_{ij} to identity, then $\varphi_t(e_i)$ gives a loop in $\mathcal{C}_0$. This shows that the generator A_{ij} is in the image of ϕ for all i, j.

And finally, we verify that if there are no less than 3 distinct values in $\{c_1, \cdots c_5\}$, then a generating set as in Lemma 5.9 is contained in the image of ϕ and hence $Symp_h$ is connected: we can do a permutation on $\{1,2,3,4,5\}$ such that $c_1 > c_2 > c_3$. If $c_4 \notin \{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$, then clearly, $\{A_{12}, A_{13}, A_{14}, A_{23}, A_{24}\}$, which is a generating set in Lemma 5.9, is contained in the image of ϕ; and if $c_4 \in \{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$, suppose $c_4 = c_k$, then we do a permutation of set $\{1,2,3,4\}$ exchanging 3 with k, then we have the same generating set $\{A_{12}, A_{13}, A_{14}, A_{23}, A_{24}\}$ in the image of ϕ.

□

Indeed, one is already able to prove the following by performing a Cremona transform as in Lemma 5.11:

Proposition 5.17. *Given $(\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, \omega)$, let ω be a balanced reduced form. If there are more than 8 symplectic -2 sphere classes, then $Symp_h$ is connected.*

Proof. It suffices to consider only reduced forms, by Remark 5.10. We refer to table 6 for any reduced form $\omega = (1|c_1, \cdots, c_5)$, where $c_1 \geq c_2 \geq c_3 \geq c_4 \geq c_5$. And the assumptions on the form means we only need to deal with balanced form on any $k-$face for $k \geq 2$ and edges MO, MB, MC, MD.

- For any $k-$face, $k \geq 3$: Because the form is balanced, by Lemma 5.13, it admit a ball packing relative to $\mathbb{R}P^2$ by Lemma 5.11 and 5.2. Note that the form has at least 3 district values in $c_1, \cdots, c_5$, then after pushing forward there are at least 3 distinct values among area of exceptional spheres. Hence by Lemma 5.14, $Symp_h$ is connected.
- For a $2-$faces or edge where O is not a vertex of this $2-$faces, then$\lambda = 1$, and there is a composition of Cremona transform by $H - E_1 - E_2 - E_5$ and $H - E_1 - E_3 - E_4$ such that

$$h = 3H - 2E_1 - E_2 - E_3 - E_4 - E_5, \quad e_2 = H - E_1 - E_2,$$
$$e_3 = H - E_1 - E_3, \quad e_4 = H - E_1 - E_4,$$
$$e_5 = H - E_1 - E_5, \quad e_1 = 2H - E_1 - \cdots - E_5.$$

We also have $2h - e_1 - \cdots - e_5 = E_1$ and obtain the following configuration:



$e_1 = 2H - E_1 - \cdots - E_5$ $\quad$ $e_3 = H - E_1 - E_3$ $\quad$ $e_5 = H - E_1 - E_5$

And we can easily see that the push forward form satisfies the following: there are 3 distinct values for exceptional spheres, because

$$\omega(2H - E_1 - \cdots - E_5) > \omega(H - E_1 - E_5) > \omega(H - E_1 - E_2);$$

and each e_i has area less than $1/2$ of h, this is because $e_1 = 2H - E_1 - \cdots - E_5$ always has the largest area among $\{e_1, \cdots, e_5\}$. And the curve symplectic area of $h - 2e_1$ is :

$$\omega(3H - 2E_1 - E_2 - E_3 - E_4 - E_5) - 2\omega(2H - E_1 - \cdots - E_5) > 0.$$

- For 2−faces where O is a vertex, then there there are 4 cases, MOA,MOB, MOC, MOD:
  - For MOA,MOB, C and D are not vertices: the form has $c_3 = c_4 = c_5$, and we can use the Cremona transform by $H - E_1 - E_2 - E_3$ such that

$$h = 2H - E_1 - E_2 - E_3, \quad e_4 = E_4, \quad e_5 = E_5,$$

$$e_3 = H - E_1 - E_2, \quad e_2 = H - E_1 - E_3, \quad e_1 = H - E_2 - E_3.$$

    We also have $2h - e_1 - \cdots - e_5 = H - E_4 - E_5$ and obtain the following configuration:



$e_5 = E_5$ $e_4 = E_4$ $e_2 = H - E_1 - E_3$

    And we can easily see that the push forward form satisfies the following: there are 3 distinct values for exceptional spheres, because

$$\omega(H - E_2 - E_3) > \omega(H - E_1 - E_2) > \omega(E_5);$$

    and each e_i has area less than $1/2$ of h.

  - For MOC, MOD, B is not a vertex: the form has $c_2 = c_3$ and we can do Cremona transform by $H - E_2 - E_3 - E_4$ such that

$$h = 2H - E_2 - E_3 - E_4, \quad e_1 = E_1, \quad e_5 = E_5,$$

$$e_2 = H - E_3 - E_4, \quad e_3 = H - E_2 - E_4, \quad e_4 = H - E_2 - E_3.$$

    We also have $2h - e_1 - \cdots - e_5 = H - E_1 - E_5$ and obtain the following configuration:



$e_1 = E_1$ $e_3 = H - E_2 - E_4$ $e_5 = E_5$

    And we can easily see that the push forward form satisfies the following: there are 3 distinct values for exceptional spheres, because

$$\omega(H - E_3 - E_4) > \omega(H - E_2 - E_3) > \omega(E_5);$$

    and each E_i has area less than $1/2$ of h.
- For case MO, $\pi_0(Symp_h)$ is trivial:

  Firstly, we apply a Cremona transform for the two case to obtain the following:



Then, in either root edges, we have two exceptional spheres with area a_1 and the other three with area a_2 where $a_1 \neq a_2$.

Hence up to a permutation of index in $\{1, \cdots, 5\}$, we obtain a set of braid generators $\{A_{14}, A_{24}, A_{34}, A_{15}, A_{25}, A_{35}\}$.

By theorem 1.7 in [GG05], $\mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2, 5)$ and $P_2S^2 - 3$ points identified and there are surface relations

$$(\Pi_{i=1}^{j-1} A_{i,j})(\Pi_{k=j+1}^{m+n} A_{j,k}) = 1.$$

In our case $m = 2, n = 3$ hence let $j = 4$ we have $A_{14}A_{24}A_{34}A_{45} = 1$. This means the above set generators A_{45}. And hence we obtain a minimal generating set $\{A_{14}, A_{24}, A_{45}, A_{15}, A_{25}\}$.

It follows from Theorem 5.14 that $Symp_h$ is connected from cases MB to $MOABCD$ and case MO in table 6 with the balanced condition. □

5.3. **Forget one strand map when $\Gamma_L = \mathbb{D}_4$.** In this section we prove when $\omega \in MA$ which is labeled by $\mathbb{D}_4$, then $\pi_0(Symp_h)$ is $\pi_0(\mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2, 4)) = P_4(S^2)/\mathbb{Z}_2$:

Proposition 5.18. *Let $X = \mathbb{C}P^2 \# 5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ with a reduced symplectic form ω on MA where there are 8 symplectic -2 sphere classes, $\pi_0(Symp_h)$ is $\pi_0(Diff^+(S^2, 4)) = P_4(S^2)/\mathbb{Z}_2$.*

Proof. Note that on MA one have the $\omega-$area of $H, E_1, \cdots, E_5$ being $1, c_1, \cdots, c_5$ and $c_1 > c_2 = c_3 = c_4 = c_5$, and $c_1 + c_2 + c_3 = 1$.

We can apply the Cremona transform to a reduced form on MA as in table 6 to obtain the following:



Note that the new basis $h, e_1, \cdots, e_5$ has symplectic area

$$\omega(h) > 2\omega(e_i), \omega(e_1) < \omega(e_2) = \cdots = \omega(e_5), w(h) = \omega(e_1) + \omega(e_2) + \omega(e_3).$$

We regard the 5-blow up as first blow up $\mathbb{C}P^2$ at a point $p_0 = [1 : 0 : 0]$ of size $\omega(e_1)$, and then blow up another 4 points in general position(no two collide, no three co-linear) of size $\omega(e_2)$.

Consider the universal family of 5-point blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^2$, where Paul Seidel in [Sei08] identified the space of 5 ordered points on $\mathbb{C}P^2$ $\mathcal{B}_5^{ord}$:=

$Conf_5^{ord}(\mathbb{C}P^2)/PGL_3(\mathbb{C})$ with 5-point configuration $Conf_5^{ord}(\mathbb{C}P^1)/PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ in class $2H$. Then we have from the monodromy of the family of blowups over $\mathcal{B}_5^{ord}$ the map:

$$\alpha : \mathcal{B}_5^{ord} \quad \mapsto BSymp_h.$$

Then we consider the action of $Symp_h$ on space of J-holomorphic curve Q in class $2h - e_1 - \cdots - e_5 = E_5$, which is contractible since it's homotopic to $\mathcal{J}_\omega$. We have a well defined (proof given in Lemma 5.21) forgetful map if $\omega(E_1) \leq 2\omega(E_2)$:

$$\beta : BSymp_h = \mathcal{J}_\omega/Symp_h \mapsto Conf_4^{ord}(\mathbb{C}P^1)/PSL_2(\mathbb{C});$$

if $\omega(E_1) > 2\omega(E_2)$:

$$\beta : (\mathcal{J}_\omega - X_4)/Symp_h \mapsto Conf_4^{ord}(\mathbb{C}P^1)/PSL_2(\mathbb{C}),$$

where X_4 has codimension 4 in $\mathcal{J}_\omega$, see Lemma 3.18.

Consider the composition of $\beta \circ \alpha$: One can think this map obtained by forgetting $e_1 \cap Q$, where this is always a single point because e_1 and Q both have minimal area and neither would degenerate. And we claim the following and proved in Lemma 5.21: there is a surjective map $\beta^* : \pi_1(BSymp_h)$ to $\pi_1(Conf_4^{ord}(\mathbb{C}P^1)/PSL_2(\mathbb{C}))$, which is $P_4(S^2)/\mathbb{Z}_2$.

In addition, we proved $\pi_1(BSymp_h)$ is a quotient of $P_4(S^2)/\mathbb{Z}_2$: For case MA in table 6, Lemma 5.15 and 5.11 tells us that there is a set $\pi_1(S^2 - \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\})$ in the image of the connecting homomorphism ϕ in sequence

$$1 \to \pi_1(Symp_h(X, \omega)) \to \pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0) \xrightarrow{\phi} \pi_0(\mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2, 5)) \to \pi_0(Symp_h) \to 1. \tag{40}$$

In [Bir69], we have the short exact sequence of the forgetting one strand map:

$$0 \to \pi_1(S^2 - \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}) \to PB_5(S^2)/\mathbb{Z}_2 \to PB_4(S^2)/\mathbb{Z}_2 \to 0.$$

And hence we know that $\pi_0(Symp_h)$ is a quotient of $P_4(S^2)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ and there is a surjective homomorphism $\gamma : P_4(S^2)/\mathbb{Z}_2 \to \pi_0(Symp_h)$.

And (Pure or full)Braid groups (on disks or on spheres) are Hopfian(cf. [KT08]) or see Lemma 5.22:

Now let $G = P_4/\mathbb{Z}_2$, which is Hopfian, and $H = \pi_0(Symp_h)$ for the given symplectic form with 8 $\omega-$ symplectic -2 sphere classes. G and H are groups, and there is a surjective homomorphism $\beta^* : H \to G$, and a surjective homomorphism $\gamma : G \to H$. Then $\beta^* \circ \gamma : G \xrightarrow{\gamma} H \xrightarrow{\beta^*} G$ is a surjective homomorphism because it is the composition of two surjections. Then we have an epimorphism of G which has to be isomorphism because G is Hopfian. Then the map $\gamma : G \to H$ has to be injective. Hence it's both injective and surjective. And G and H are isomorphic, which means $\pi_0(Symp_h) = \pi_1(BSymp_h) = P_4(S^2)/\mathbb{Z}_2$.

□

The above is the main argument, and Lemma5.21 is given below: First we assume the reduced form (before Cremona transform) has $\omega(E_1) \leq 2\omega(E_2)$, i.e. the vector $(1|c_1, \cdots, c_5)$ has $c_1 \leq 2c_2$. And show that β is nicely defined. And then we show that without assumption $\omega(E_1) \leq 2\omega(E_2)$, β^* on π_1 level is the same. In order to do this we need Lemma 5.19 and Lemma 5.20.

When $\omega(E_1) \leq 2\omega(E_2)$ there is no symplectic sphere whose self-intersection is less than -2. The reason is as follows: by adjunction, any embedded sphere either has non-negative coefficient on H or is $(k+1)E_1 - kH - \sum_j E_j$; by the area restriction, any symplectic sphere class must have non-negative coefficient on H;

thus the negative spheres can be either $E_i - \sum_j E_j$, $H - \sum_i E_i$ or $2H - \sum_{i=1}^5 E_i$; again by the area restriction one can exclude self-intersection less than -2 curves. Then we consider the possible degeneration of curves in class e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5 into stable curves as Gromov limit.

And we also have

Lemma 5.19. *If there's no component having self-intersection less than -2, then the stable curve intersect the base curve Q once at a single point.*

Proof. By adjunction, any -2 curve have coefficient 0 or -1 on any class E_i. Write them back into the basis of $H, E_1, \cdots, E_5$, we have the identification $e_i = H - E_i - E_5, if \quad i = 2, 3, 4$ and $e_5 = 2H - \sum_{i=1}^5 E_i$.

- For $A = H - E_i - E_5$, assume $A = \sum_k A_k$ where each component A_k is embedded. Because each A_k has non-negative coefficient on H, there must be exactly one curve $A_1 = H - \sum_m E_m$ and other curves $E_i - \sum_j E_j$. On the one hand, if there are more than one curve have -1 on E_5, then either $A_p = E_{i_p} - \sum_{j_p} E_{j_p} - E_5, A_q = E_{i_q} - \sum_{j_q} E_{j_q} - E_5$ such that $A_p \cdot A_q \leq -1 < 0$, contradiction; or $A_1 = H - \sum_m E_m - E_5$, $A_r = E_{i_r} - \sum_{j_r} E_{j_r} - E_5$ and $A_1 \cdot A_r \geq 0$ means $A_1 \cdot E_{i_r} = 1$ where either $i_r \in 2, 3, 4$ such that $\omega(A_r) \leq 0$ or $i_r = 1$ such that $\omega(A_1) \leq 0$, contradiction. This means the stable curve has at most one component A_k such that $A_k \cdot E_5 = 1$. On the other hand, because $A = H - E_i - E_5$ and $A \cdot E_5 = 1$, there is at least one curve A_k such that $A_k \cdot E_5 = 1$. Hence the stable curve intersect Q exactly once at a single point.

- For $A = 2H - \sum_{i=1}^5 E_i$, assume $A = \sum_k A_k$ where each component A_k is embedded. There must be exactly two curves $A_1 = H - \sum_m E_m$, $A_2 = H - \sum_n E_n$ and other curves $E_i - \sum_j E_j$. From the above case we know if there are more than one curve have -1 on E_5, then must be $A_1 = H - \sum_m E_m - E_5$ $A_2 = H - \sum_n E_n - E_5$. $A = 2H - \sum_{i=1}^5 E_i$ so that $A \cdot E_1 = 1$, at least one of A_1, A_2 intersect E_1 positively. Without loss of generality, we can assume $A_1 \cdot E_1 = 1$, then we have $\omega(A_1) \leq 0$, contradiction. This means that the stable curve has at most one component A_k such that $A_k \cdot E_5 = 1$. On the other hand, because $A = 2H - \sum_{i=1}^5 E_i$ so that $A \cdot E_5 = 1$, there is at least one curve A_k such that $A_k \cdot E_5 = 1$. Hence the stable curve intersect Q exactly once at a single point.

□

We now show that

Lemma 5.20. *If $\omega(E_1) \leq 2\omega(E_2)$, then $Symp_h(X, \omega)$ acting freely on space $\mathcal{J}_\omega$, and hence $BSymp_h = \mathcal{J}_\omega / Symp_h$.*

Proof. Look at configuration



Both E_5 and $H - E_1 - E_5$ always have J-holomorphic simple representatives because they both have minimal area. And each of them intersects 5 exceptional spheres. And with assumption $\omega(E_1) \leq \omega(E_2)$ we have Lemma 5.19, any exceptional sphere intersects E_5 or $H - E_1 - E_5$ at one single point if not empty. In particular, the point p is geometric intersection of $E_5 \cap (H - E_1 - E_5)$. Suppose some element i in $Symp_h(X, \omega)$ fix some J, then it's an isometry. And this isometry i fixes 5 point intersection points on sphere E_5 or $H - E_1 - E_5$ because the exceptional sphere(or their stable curve) are fixed as a set. Hence this action i restricting on sphere E_5 or $H - E_1 - E_5$ is identity because isometry of sphere fixing more than 3 points is an identity. Hence on the tangent space of $X = \mathbb{C}P^2 \# 5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ at p, i is id. Then exponential map gives the action i itself is identity in $Symp_h(X, \omega)$. This means the action of $Symp_h(X, \omega)$ on $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ is free. Because $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ is contractible, we have the classifying space $BSymp_h = \mathcal{J}_\omega / Symp_h$.

□

Lemma 5.21. *The map β is well defined: if $\omega(E_1) \leq 2\omega(E_2)$:*

$$\beta : BSymp_h = \mathcal{J}_\omega / Symp_h \mapsto Conf_4^{ord}(\mathbb{C}P^1)/PSL_2(\mathbb{C});$$

if $\omega(E_1) > 2\omega(E_2)$:

$$\beta : (\mathcal{J}_\omega - X_4)/Symp_h \mapsto Conf_4^{ord}(\mathbb{C}P^1)/PSL_2(\mathbb{C}),$$

where X_4 has codimension 4 in $\mathcal{J}_\omega$, see Lemma 3.18. And the composition of $\beta\circ\alpha$ always induces a surjective map $\beta^*:\pi_1(BSymp_h)$ to $\pi_1(Conf_4^{ord}(\mathbb{C}P^1)/PSL_2(\mathbb{C}))$, which is $P_4(S^2)/\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Proof. If $\omega(E_1)\leq 2\omega(E_2)$: we proved that $BSymp_h=\mathcal{J}_\omega/Symp_h$ in Lemma 5.20. And we define β by sending $J\in\mathcal{J}_\omega$ to the points $\{e_2(J)\cap Q(J), e_3(J)\cap Q(J), e_4(J)\cap Q(J), e_5(J)\cap Q(J)\}$, where $e_i(J)$ denote the image of stable curve in homology class e_i for the given J; and $Q(J)$ denote the image of embedded J-holomorphic curve in class $2h-e_1-\cdots-e_5=E_5$ for the given J. This gives the map as stated:

$$\beta: BSymp_h=\mathcal{J}_\omega/Symp_h\mapsto Conf_4^{ord}(\mathbb{C}P^1)/PSL_2(\mathbb{C}).$$

To obtain a surjective map $\beta^*:\pi_1(BSymp_h)$ to $\pi_1(Conf_4^{ord}(\mathbb{C}P^1)/PSL_2(\mathbb{C}))$, we just need to consider the composition of $\beta\circ\alpha$: it is a surjection from $Conf_5^{ord}(\mathbb{C}P^1)$ to $Conf_4^{ord}(\mathbb{C}P^1)$ by forgetting one point. Hence the induced map on homotopy groups are surjective. This means the map $\beta^*:\pi_1(BSymp_h)\to\pi_1(Conf_4^{ord}(\mathbb{C}P^1)/PSL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is surjective.

Then we deal with the case when $\omega(E_1)>2\omega(E_2)$: this case the β cannot be defined as above because the stable curve may intersect Q at more than 1 points. However, we can consider space $\mathcal{J}_\omega-X_4$ as in Lemma 3.18, and there's no curve whose square is less than -2. Then we consider the same configuration as in the proof of Lemma 5.20, and Lemma 5.19 tells us the intersection points of homology classes can be realized as geometric intersections (of stable curves). This mean the argument in Lemma 5.20 works here and give us a free action of $Symp_h(X,\omega)$ on $\mathcal{J}_w-X_4$. So there is a fibration

$$Symp_h(X,\omega)\to(\mathcal{J}_w-X_4)\to(\mathcal{J}_w-X_4)/Symp_h(X,\omega),$$

and the associated LES

$$\pi_1(\mathcal{J}_\omega-X_4)\to\pi_1((\mathcal{J}_w-X_4)/Symp_h)\to\pi_0(Symp_h)\to\pi_0(\mathcal{J}_\omega-X_4).$$

Note that $\pi_0(\mathcal{J}_\omega-X_4)$ is trivial. This means the $\pi_1((\mathcal{J}_w-X_4)/Symp_h(X,\omega))$ is the same as $\pi_1(BSymp_h)$. We can think of $\beta\circ\iota\circ\alpha$: where β defined as

$$(\mathcal{J}_\omega-X_4)/Symp_h\mapsto Conf_4^{ord}(\mathbb{C}P^1)/PSL_2(\mathbb{C}),$$

and the map ι is a continuous map which induces the isomorphism

$$\pi_1(BSymp_h)\cong\pi_1((\mathcal{J}_w-X_4)/Symp_h(X,\omega))$$

. And $\beta\circ\iota\circ\alpha$ is indeed a surjection then β^* on π_1 still gives a surjective homomorphism from $\pi_1(BSymp_h)$ to $\pi_1(Conf_4^{ord}(\mathbb{C}P^1)/PSL_2(\mathbb{C}))$=$P_4(S^2)/\mathbb{Z}_2$. It is the same as we put the assumption $\omega(E_1)\leq 2\omega(E_2)$.

Another point-view of $\iota\circ\alpha$: Any element in $Conf_5^{ord}(\mathbb{C}P^2)/PGL_3(\mathbb{C})$ gives a complex structure J on the 5 blow-up X. [Different elements may give the same since the Moduli is a (may not be proper) subspace of $Conf_5^{ord}(\mathbb{C}P^2)/PGL_3(\mathbb{C})$.] The anti-canonical embedding gives a symplectic structure on X denote ω.

For any element J_s in $\mathcal{J}_\omega-X_4/Symp_h$ it must be identified with one of the J given by and element p_5 in $Conf_5^{ord}(\mathbb{C}P^2)/PGL_3(\mathbb{C})$ because Moduli of such surface is (a subspace of) $Conf_5^{ord}(\mathbb{C}P^2)/PGL_3(\mathbb{C})$. $\iota\circ\alpha$ just maps p_5 to J_s by this identification. Note that this map on the π_1 level is the same as α. □

And we also write a proof of the fact known to experts of geometric group theory:

Lemma 5.22. *Pure and full Braid groups (on disks or on spheres) are Hopfian.*

Proof.
- On disks: Bigelow and Krammer showed that (full) braid groups on disks are linear; and by a well-known result of Malćev, finitely generated linear groups are residually finite, and finitely generated residually finite groups are Hopfian. Residual finiteness is subgroup closed, hence pure braid group on disks as the subgroup of full braid is residually finite, and it is finitely generated hence Hopfian.
- On sphere: V. Bardakov shows sphere full braid groups and mapping class groups of the n-punctured sphere ($MCG(S^2, n)$) are linear. The rest argue goes as above. In particular, $P_4/\mathbb{Z}_2 = \pi_0(\mathrm{Diff}(S^2, 4))$ is $MCG(S^2, 4)$ and in the meanwhile $P_4/\mathbb{Z}_2$ is finitely generated, hence $P_4/\mathbb{Z}_2$ is Hopfian.

□

Then we complete the proof of Proposition 5.18.

Remark 5.23. *One can give an alternative proof of Lemma 5.19 using Lemma 6.1 in [McD08].*

Remark 5.24. *Indeed, the results in section 5.2 can be interpreted using proof of Proposition 5.18: one need to forget more than two strands and hence the resulting $\pi_0(Symp_h(X, \omega))$ is the trivial group.*

Remark 5.25. *If the reduced form is not balanced, then $c_1 \geq c_2 + c_3$, $c_2 \geq c_3 + c_4$ and $c_3 \geq c_4 + c_5$, then this case is covered by the next Lemma 5.26. Note that non balanced reduced form can only appear in the 5-face, 4-faces or $MABC$ of the reduced cone as in table 6. And any 2-face and 3-face other than $MABC$ are all sets of balanced symplectic forms. The idea of dealing with non-balanced form is to look at their projection to a 2-face or a 3-face which does not contain C or D as a vertex.*

5.4. **Torelli Symplectic mapping class group for a general form.** In this section, we deal with any symplectic form ω on $X = \mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, and show that if there are more than eight symplectic -2 spheres, then $Symp_h(M, \omega)$ is connected.

The proof of this for arbitrary reduced form is **almost done**(see Remark 5.25) in the previous section, one only need to consider when $c_1 \geq c_2 + c_3$, $c_2 \geq c_3 + c_4$ and $c_3 \geq c_4 + c_5$, which is covered by the following Lemma 5.26:

Lemma 5.26. *Given reduced form $\omega = PD[H - c_1E_1 - c_2E_2 - c_3E_3 - c_4E_4 - c_5E_5]$ with $c_1 \geq c_2 + c_3, c_2 > c_3 \geq c_4 \geq c_5$, then $Symp_h(\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, \omega)$ is connected.*

Proof. $X = \mathbb{C}P^2$ with $[\omega] = PD[ht]$. Throughout the proof we compare two symplectic forms on $\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$:

- $\omega_s = (1|c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5)$ where

$$PD[\omega_s] := H - c_1E_1 - c_2E_2 - c_3E_3 - c_4E_4 - c_5E_5,$$

- $\omega_l = (1|c_1, c_2, c_3, c_3, c_3)$ where

$$PD[\omega_l] = H - c_1E_1 - c_2E_2 - c_3E_3 - c_3E_4 - c_3E_5,$$

which can be obtained from ω_s by enlarging the area of E_4, E_5 to c_3.

Indeed ω_s is the projection of ω_l to a 2-face or a 3-face which does not contain C or D as a vertex. We verify that with the given assumption, the vector $(1|c_1, c_2, c_3, c_3, c_3)$ is reduced, and it is a symplectic form: because it pair E_i,

$H - E_i - E_j$ and $2H - E_1 \cdots E_5$ are positive, for the last case, $2 - \sum_i c_i = (1 - c_1 - c_2 - c_3) + (1 - c_3 - c_3) > 0$.

And from reduced condition $1 \geq c_1 + c_2 + c_3$, $\omega_l(H - E_1 - E_2) \geq \omega_l(E_3)$; together with given the assumption $c_1 \geq c_2 + c_3$, we know $c_3 < 1/3$. And hence we know that $\omega_l(2H - E_1 - \cdots - E_5) - \omega_l(E_3) = (1 - c_1 - c_2 - c_3) + (1 - c_3 - c_5 - c_3) > 0$. Hence

$$min\{\omega_l(E_i), \omega_l(H - E_i - E_j), \omega_l(2H - E_1 - \cdots - E_5)\} = c_3 \tag{41}$$

Hence given the form ω_l, c_3 is the smallest area of all 16 exceptional curves.

There is a ball packing $\iota_l : \coprod_{i=1}^5 B'(i) \to X$, with image K_l, such that $Vol(B'_4) = Vol(B'_5) = c_3, Vol(B'_i) = c_i$ when $i = 1, 2, 3$.
Note that there is a packing $\iota_s : \coprod_{i=1}^5 B(i) \to X$, with image $K_s \subset K_l$, such that $VolB_i = B'_i$ when $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $B_4 \subset B'_4, B_5 \subset B'_5$, with volume $Vol(B_4) = c_4, Vol(B_5) = c_5$.

Blowing up ι_s is the form ω_s which is Poincaré dual to $(1|c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5)$ with $c_1 > c_2 > c_3 \geq c_4 \geq c_5$ in our assumption, and blowing up ι_l one get the form ω_l Poincaré dual to $(1|c_1, c_2, c_3, c_3, c_3)$. This ω_l is a balanced reduced form. Hence by Lemma 5.17 and 5.11, $Symp_h(\omega_l)$ is connected.

Then we will derive the connectedness of $Symp_h(\omega_s)$ from $Symp_h(\omega_l)$: We first blow up the balls B'_1, B'_2, B'_3, and denote the symplectic manifold $(X, \omega_{123}$ (refered to as X below). By Lemma 2.3 in [LP04], the two groups are homotopy equivalent:

$$Symp_h(X_l, E'_4, E'_5) \simeq Symp_h(X, B'_4, B'_5).$$

Here X_l is the blow up of X by B'_4, B'_5, and $Symp_h(X_l, E'_4, E'_5)$ is the subgroup of $Symp_h(\omega_l)$ fixing E'_4, E'_5. Note that we have a fibration(because of the transitive action, same as 1)

$$Symp_h(X_l, E'_4, E'_5) \to Symp_h(X_l, \omega_l) \to \mathcal{E}_l,$$

Where $\mathcal{E}_l$ is the space of exceptional spheres E'_4, E'_5. Recall the area of E'_4 and E'_5 is c_3 and hence there is no exceptional curve with smaller area as computed in (41). There is no embedded pseudo-holomorphic curve with positive coefficient on E'_4, E'_5. Hence the space $\mathcal{E}_l$ is contractible and this means $Symp_h(X_l, E'_4, E'_5)$ is connected. And $Symp_h(X, B'_4, B'_5)$ is connected.

Now we consider the blow up of ι_s and the configuration C_s



$$2H - E_1 - E_2 - E_3 - E_4 - E_5$$

Because $B_4 \subset B'_4, B_5 \subset B'_5$, $Symp_h(X, B'_4, B'_5)$ is a proper subgroup of $Symp_h(X, B_4, B_5)$. Because of Lemma 2.3 in [LP04], the following map given by blowing up B_4, B_5 is a homeomorphism: $Symp_h(X, B_4, B_5) \xrightarrow{Bl} Symp_h(X_s, E_4, E_5)$, where X_s is the blow up of X by B_4, B_5, and $Symp_h(X_s, E_4, E_5)$ is the subgroup of $Symp_h(\omega_s)$ fixing E_4, E_5.

Hence we proved that there is a proper subset $Symp'_h$ in $Symp_h(\omega_s)$ which is the image of $Symp_h(X, B'_4, B'_5)$ under the map $Symp_h(X, B_4, B_5) \xrightarrow{Bl} Symp_h(X_s, E_4, E_5)$. One can think this subset fixes small neighborhoods of E_4 and E_5 and acting freely in the complement of the neighborhoods. It is connected because it is a continuous image of a connected domain, and it contains identity because the image of identity element of $Symp_h(X, B'_4, B'_5)$ is identity in $Symp_h(\omega_s)$. And it can move around the intersection points of $p_i := E_i \cap 2H - E_1 - \cdots - E_5$.

In particular, in $Symp_h(X, B'_4, B'_5) \subset Symp_h(X, B_4, B_5)$, by Proposition 5.4, we can move B_1, B_2, B_3 around each other and we can move each B_1, B_2, B_3 around B_4, B_5, and hence the projection from this subset $Symp'_h$ to $\pi_0(\mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2, 5))$ contains the following: $\{A_{12}, A_{13}, A_{14}, A_{15}, A_{23}, A_{24}, A_{25}, A_{34}, A_{35}\}$, where A_{ij} means move p_i around p_j, as defined before. And this contains a minimal generating set $\{A_{12}, A_{13}, A_{14}, A_{23}, A_{24}\}$ or $\{A_{23}, A_{24}, A_{25}, A_{34}, A_{35}\}$ (the same as in 5.9). Hence we proved that in $Symp_h(X_s, \omega_s)$, we can find a subset $Symp'_h$ in the identity component, whose projection to $\mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2, 5)$ contains a minimal generating set $\{A_{12}, A_{13}, A_{14}, A_{23}, A_{24}\}$. This means the generating set is contained in the image of the connecting homomorphism. And hence the whole group $\pi_0(\mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2, 5))$ is in the image of the connecting homomorphism.

Finally, we deal with $Symp_c(U_s)$, where $U_s = X_s \setminus C_s$. Because both U_s and $U'_l = X_l \setminus (C_l)$ are biholomorphic to the complement U of a conic in $\mathbb{C}P^2$. Also note that (U_s, ω_s) is symplectomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}P^2 - 2H) \setminus K_s$ and (U_l, ω_l) is symplectomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}P^2 - 2H) \setminus K_l$. By [Eva11] section 6.5, as a stein U domain, U has a symplectic completion which is $T^*\mathbb{R}P^2$ such that all critical points of the exhausting function are supported on U. And we can Consider U as a complex manifold, the form on U_s gives a finite type plurisubharmonic function whose critical points are in $(\mathbb{C}P^2 - 2H) \setminus K_s$. And the form on U_l gives a finite type Stein structure with critical points in $(\mathbb{C}P^2 - 2H) \setminus K_l$. The natural inclusion $(\mathbb{C}P^2 - 2H) \setminus K_l \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}P^2 - 2H) \setminus K_s$ induces a weak homotopy equivalence between $Symp_c(U_s)$ and $Symp_c(U_l)$, by [Eva11] Proposition 15. This means the induced map is an isomorphism between $\pi_0[Symp_c(U_s)]$ and $\pi_0[Symp_c(U_l)]$. Hence pick any connected component of $Symp_c(U_s)$, there is an element ϕ_l supported on $(\mathbb{C}P^2 - 2H) \setminus K_l$. By connectedness of $Symp'_h$, ϕ_l is isotopic to identity. This means the chosen connected component is in the identity component of $Symp_c(U_s)$.

□

And hence we have the concluding proposition 5.27 about the connectedness of $Symp_h$ for generic symplectic form:

Proposition 5.27. *Given $(\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, \omega)$, with any form ω, then if there are more than 8 symplectic -2 sphere classes, then $Symp_h$ is connected.*

Proof. If the form is reduced, then Proposition 5.17 and Lemma 5.26 cover it.

And for a non-reduced form which has more than eight symplectic -2 spheres, it is diffeomorphic to a reduced form having the same number of symplectic -2 spheres, by remark 5.10. And further, diffeomorphic forms have homeomorphic symplectomorphism group. Hence $Symp_h$ is connected for any form with more than eight symplectic -2 spheres.

□

Following from [LW12] that $Symp(X,\omega)$ can realize any homological action preserving the canonical class, we have

Corollary 5.28. *If there are more than 8 symplectic -2 sphere classes, then $\pi_0(Symp(X,\omega))$ is the homological action, which is a subgroup of $W(\mathbb{D}_5)$(the Weyl group of root system $\mathbb{D}_5$).*

Remark 5.29. *As implicated in [Sei08] and [Eva11], in the monotone case(case 8 in table 6), any i,j, E_i has the same area as E_j, then in contrast against theorem 5.14, the swapping of two ball of the same size is not isotopic to identity, and it is a set of generator of $\pi_0(Symp(X,\omega))$ that satisfying the braid relation. One can further see from the fact that $\pi_0(Symp(X,\omega)) = Diff^+(S^2,5)$ that square Lagrangian Dehn twists provide another set of generators of $\pi_0(Symp(X,\omega))$ that satisfying the braid relation. For the relation of the two sets of generators: the ball swapping generator A_{ij} is compactly supported on a domain contain E_i and E_j, while the square Lagrangian Dehn twists along $E_i - E_j$ is compactly supported on a neighborhood of $E_i - E_j$. Hence one may expect the two generators to be isotopic.*

Remark 5.30. *In [McD08] Remark 1.11, an approach to establish the connectedness for $Symp_h$ by deforming Lagrangian Dehn twists to symplectic Dehn twists was outlined by Dusa McDuff, when the form has 5 distinct blow-up sizes and each slightly smaller than 1/3.*

Corollary 5.31. *One can see that for any form ω except ω on edge MA and the monotone point M in table 6, any square Lagrangian Dehn twist is isotopic to identity because $Symp_h$ is connected.This fact can be applied to compute Quantum cohomology of the given form on $X = \mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$:*

Together with Corollary 2.8 in [Sei08], we have $QH_*(X)/I_l$ is Frobenius for any Lagrangian L for a given form in the cases above, where I_l is the ideal of $QH_*(X)$ generated by the Lagrangian L.

5.5. **Fundamental group and topological persistence of $Symp(X,\omega)$.** Now we consider the rank of $\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega)$ when For a given form ω in table 6, more than 8 symplectic -2 spheres implies the connectedness of $Symp_h$, and we have the following:

Lemma 5.32. *Let $X = \mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ with reduced symplectic form that can be normalized to be $\omega = (1|c_1,c_2,c_3,c_4,c_5)$ such that $c_i < 1/2$. If $\pi_0(Symp_h)$ is trivial, then there is a lower bound $N-5$ of the rank of $\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega)$, where N is the number of ω-symplectic spheres with self intersection -2.*

Proof. For a symplectic form that can be normalized to $(1|c_1,c_2,c_3,c_4,c_5)$ with $c_i < 1/2$, since $Symp_h$ is assumed to be connected, by Proposition 5.4, we have the exact sequence

$$1 \to \pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega)) \to \pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0) \xrightarrow{\phi} \pi_0(\mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2,5)) \to 1 \tag{42}$$

We consider the abelianization of this exact sequence. Since the abelianization functor is right exact and $\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega)$ is abelian, we have the induced exact sequence

$$\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega)) \to Ab(\pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0)) \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{Z}^5 \to 1 \tag{43}$$

Since $Symp_h$ is assumed to be connected, by Lemma 3.19, the number of generator of $H_1(J_{open}) = Ab(\pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0))$ is the same as the number N of -2 symplectic spheres listed as above. Statement a) follows immediately.

□

Remark 5.33.

- *When the reduced form is not balanced, then push forward this form using any diffeomorphism to obtain a push forward form $(\lambda|c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5)$, there are always some $c_i \geq 1/2\lambda$. This case $Stab(C)$ might be homotopic to $Diff^+(S^2, 5)$ or the extension of $Diff^+(S^2, 5)$ by $\mathbb{Z}$, see Remarks 5.6. This case sequence 43 becomes*

$$\pi_1(Symp_h(X, \omega)) \to Ab(\pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0)) \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{Z}^6 \to 1 \tag{44}$$

  where $\mathbb{Z}^6$ comes from abelianization of $0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \pi_0(Stab(C)) \to Diff^+(S^2, 5) \to 0$. And we obtain $N - 6$ as the lower-bound.
- *If Torelli SMC is non-trivial for a non-monotone symplectic form, then it has to be on MA. We first assume $c_i < 1/2$ and denote this form ω_a: And using the same argument as in Lemma 5.32, we have*

$$1 \to \pi_1(Symp_h(X, \omega_a)) \to \pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0) \to Im(\phi) = \pi_1(S^2 - \{4 \text{ points}\})) \to 1 \tag{45}$$

  We consider the abelianization of this exact sequence. Since the abelianization functor is right exact and $\pi_1(Symp_h(X, \omega_a)$ is abelian, we have the induced exact sequence

$$\pi_1(Symp_h(X, \omega_a)) \to Ab(\pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0)) \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{Z}^3 \to 1. \tag{46}$$

  And hence we obtain a lower bound on for a form ω_a on MA, rank of $\pi_1(Symp_h(X, \omega_a)) \geq 5$. While without assumption we obtain $\pi_1(Symp_h(X, \omega_a) \geq 4$.
- *And we believe that $Stab(C)$ can be made precise to be $Diff^+(S^2, 5)$ but could not do this currently due to a technicality. And the then lower bound could be strengthen as $N - 5$ with the same proof, and hence it is the lower-bound of rank $\pi_1(Symp_h(\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}))$ when $Symp_h$ is connected.*

On the other hand, [McD08] gives approach to obtain the upper-bound of $\pi_1(X, \omega)$, where M is a symplectic rational 4 manifold. We can follow the route of Proposition 6.4 in [McD08] to give a proof of the following result, generalizing Dusa's Corollary 6.9:

Proposition 5.34. *Let (X, ω) be $\mathbb{C}P^2$ or its blow up at several points with a given reduced form, $(\tilde{X}_k, \tilde{\omega}_\epsilon)$ be the blow up of X at k points with different small size(less than any blow up size of X), then the rank of $\pi_1(Symp_h(\tilde{X}_k))$ can exceed $\pi_1(Symp_h(X))$ at most $rk + k(k-1)/2$, where r is the rank of $\pi_2(X)$.*

Proof. This is based on the proof of Corollary 6.9 and Proposition 6.4,6.5 in [McD08]: One need to argue that the exceptional sphere E_k in $\tilde{X}_k$ with smallest blow-up size always has an embedded representative. And this fact follows easily from the observation 2.5 we made in section 2.

Then the rest follows from 6.9 in [McD08] and counting Hamiltonian bundles in 6.4.

□

Remark 5.35. *Note that for $S^2 \times S^2$ with size $(\mu, 1), \mu \geq 1$, a equal blow up of k points where the size $c < \frac{1}{2}$. One can easily check that the exceptional sphere E_k in $\tilde{X}_k$ with smallest blow up size always has an embedded representative. Hence by counting Hamiltonian bundle tech one obtain a upper-bound of rank of $\pi_1(Symp_h(S^2 \times S^2))$ plus $2k$. Note that rank of $\pi_1(Symp_h(S^2 \times S^2))$ means the free rank, where for monotone $S^2 \times S^2$ is 0 and non-monotone $S^2 \times S^2$ is 1.*

Remark 5.36. *Note that if we allow the blow up sizes to be all equal, then counting Hamiltonian bundle gives the following:*

$$Rank[\pi_1(Symp(\tilde{X}_k, \tilde{\omega}_\epsilon)] \leq Rank[\pi_1(Symp(X, \omega))] + rk,$$

where where r is the rank of $\pi_2(X)$, and k is the number of points of blow up of $\tilde{X}_k$ from X.

Further, using the above argument together with Proposition 6.5 in [McD08], one can prove the following:

Lemma 5.37. *For $(X, \omega) = (\mathbb{C}P^2, \omega_{FS})$, let $(\tilde{X}_k, \tilde{\omega}_\epsilon)$ be the blow up of (X, ω) k times with area of E_i being ϵ_i and $\tilde{\omega}_\epsilon$ being a reduced form, then*

$$Rank[\pi_1(Symp(\tilde{X}_k, \tilde{\omega}_\epsilon)] \leq k + N_E,$$

where N_E is the number of -2 spheres whose homology class is $E_i - E_j$.

Hence Lemma 5.32 and Theorem 5.34 together give the precise rank of $\pi_1(Symp_h(X, \omega)$ in many cases:

Proposition 5.38. *The upper-bound given by 5.34 can be realized for 1,2,3,4,5 fold blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ when the form is not on MA.*

Further, suppose the blow up sizes $c_1, \cdots, c_5$, if $c_i < 1/2$ and the TSMC is connected (characteristized by existing more than 8 Symplectic -2 spheres), then the upper-bound given in Theorem 5.34 equals the lower-bound given in Lemma 5.32. Namely, if $c_i < 1/2$, and TSMC is connected, then rank of $\pi_1(Symp_h(X, \omega)) = N - 5$.

Proof. For up to 4 fold blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with any form, rank of $\pi_1(Symp_h(X, \omega)$ is explicitly given in tables 3,4,5.

For $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, we give the computation of each cases:

We give the optimal upper-bound using the following different methods, and show 1) and 2) are equal to the lower bound in each case:

- 1) For any k-face with vertex D, we have $c_4 > c_5$; then we use $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 4\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ with sizes c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 and find rank $R_4 = \pi_1(Symp_h(X, \omega)$ for $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 4\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ in table 5. And by Theorem 5.34, the upper-bound for $\pi_1(Symp_h(\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}))$ is no larger than $R_4 + 5$. Because E_5 is the only smallest area exceptional sphere, there are 10 symplectic -2 spheres pairing E_5 nonzero. Hence $N = R_4 + 10$, where by table 5, R_4 is the number of symplectic -2 spheres pairing E_5 equal 0. Hence we have the lower-bound $N - 5$ equals the upper-bound $R_4 + 5$.

- 2) For any k-face without vertex D but with C, we have $c_3 > c_4 = c_5$, then we use $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 3\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ with sizes c_1, c_2, c_3, and find rank $R_3 = \pi_1(Symp_h(X, \omega)$ for $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 3\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ in table 4. And by Theorem 5.34, the upper-bound for

$\pi_1(Symp_h(\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}))$ is no larger than $R_3+4+4=R_3+8$. Because E_4, E_5 are the only two smallest area exceptional spheres, there are 15 (6 has only E_4, 6 has only E_5, 3 has both) symplectic -2 spheres pairing both E_4, E_5 nonzero. Hence $N = R_3 + 13$, where by table 4, R_3 is 2 plus the number of symplectic -2 spheres pairing both E_4, E_5 equal 0. Hence we have the lower-bound $N-5$ equals the upper-bound R_3+8.

- 3)For any k-face without vertex D or C but with B, we have 4 cases, $MOAB$, MOB, MAB, MB. For $MOAB$, MOB, we have $c_2 > c_3 = c_4 = c_5$, and we use $\mathbb{C}P^2\#2\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ with sizes c_1, c_2 in table 3. And we have the upper-bound equals $R_2+3+3+3=11$, where by 3, R_2 is 2 plus the number of symplectic -2 spheres pairing both E_4, E_5 equal 0. For MAB, perform base change 7, $B = 1-c_2 >= F = 1-c_1; E_1 = \cdots = E_4 = c_3$ then by Remark 5.35 $rk_1 \leq 1+2+2+2+2 = 9$, which coincide with the lower bound. For case MB, perform base change 7, $B = F = 1-c_1; E_1 = \cdots = E_4 = c_3$ then by Remark 5.35 $rk_1 \leq 0+2+2+2+2=8$, which coincide with the lower bound.

- 4)For any k-face without vertex B C D, but with A, actually only MOA and MA: we have $c_1 > c_2 = c_3 = c_4 = c_5$. For this case, we use $\mathbb{C}P^2\#\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$. And we have the upper-bound on equals $1+2+2+2+2=9$ and MA the same. (Note this method does not always give the precise rank, MA for instance, see Remark 5.39. But for MOA, π_0 is trivial and it does give the precise rank.)

- 5)MO: we use $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and the upper-bound equals 5.

More precisely, assuming $c_i < 1/2$, and let $G = \pi_1(Symp_h(\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}))$

- For 5-face $MOABCD$, rank of G is 15.
- For each 4-face: from $MOABC$ to $MABCD$, rank of G is 14.
- For each 3-face with $N = 18$, MOBC,MOBD, MABC,MBCD: rank of G is 13.
- For the rest 3-faces, $N = 17$, rank of $G$is 12.
- For each 2-face with $N = 16$, MOB,MOC,MAC,MBC,MCD, rank of G is 11.
- For edge MC, the rank of G is 10.
- For each 2-face with $N = 14$ and containing vertex O, MOA,MOD,MAD,MAB: rank of G is 9.
- For case MB, perform base change 7, $B = F = 1-c_1; E_1 = \cdots = E_4 = c_3$ then rank of $G \leq 0+2+2+2+2 = 8$, which coincide with the lower bound and hence rank is 8.
- For edge MO,MD, the rank of G is 5.

□

Remark 5.39. *Again assuming after normalization, $c_i < 1/2$, denote rk_1 as rank of $\pi_1(Symp_h(\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}))$: For case MA, using method 1), $rk_1 \leq 9$. And together with Remark 5.33, we obtain $5 \leq rk_1 \leq 9$ in this case.*

Corollary 5.40. *Homologous -2 symplectic spheres in 5 blowups are symplectically isotopic for any symplectic form.*

Proof. No less than 10 spheres, π_0 is trivial, then the conclusion follows naturally.

For the symplectic form with 8 $\omega-$ symplectic -2 sphere classes, homological action acts transitively in -2 classes because the ω area are the same. Hence the number of isotopy classes for each homology class is a constant $k, (k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{\infty\})$. By the upper-bound in 5.34 and the argument in Lemma 5.32, rank $\pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0)$ is less than 12. If $k > 1$, then $8k \geq 16 > 12$, contradiction. This means homologous -2 symplectic spheres has to be symplectically isotopic. □

Remark 5.41. *Note that in Theorem 5.34, using X to be blow up of several points of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ together with results in section 3.2, instead of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ itself, one get finer results on the upper-bound of rank $\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega)$, for example:*

In case $MABCD$ in form 6, using $\mathbb{C}P^2$ one have 15 as the upper-bound, while using $\mathbb{C}P^2\#3\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ of sizes c_1, c_2, c_3, one have $5+4+5=14$ as the upper-bound. And Lemma 5.32 gives 14 as lower bound of rank $\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega)$ in this case. Hence 14 is the precise value of rank $\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega)$.

Note that on any k-face with B as a vertex, one have $c_1+c_2+c_3=1$, then Theorem 5.34 is needed for the computation of the precise rank of $\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega)$.

Corollary 5.42. *$\pi_0(Symp(X,\omega)$ is a reflection group, often denoted as $\Gamma(X,\omega)$. Assuming $c_i < 1/2$ and there are no less than 15 Symplectic -2 spheres, the number*

$$PR[\pi_0(Symp(X,\omega)] + Rank[\pi_1(Symp(X,\omega))] - rank[\pi_0(Symp_h(X,\omega))]$$

is a constant and is equal to $20 - Rank(PB_5/\mathbb{Z}^2) = 15$, where $PR[\pi_0(Symp(X,\omega)]$ is the number of positive roots of $\pi_0(Symp(X,\omega)$, and rank of $\pi_0(Symp_h(X,\omega))$ means the cardinality of the minimal generating set of $\pi_0(Symp_h(X,\omega))$.

Remark 5.43. *Note that only when the closed manifold has a Lagrangian root lattice other than type $\mathbb{A}_n$ or its direct product, can one obtain a non-trivial Torelli SMC. Further, our results suggest that there is a coherent approach for the Symplectic mapping class group and $\pi_1(Symp_h(X,\omega)$ for a rational 4-manifold with Euler number up to 11.*

And we also make the conjecture on the persistence type result analogous to Corollary 1.6 will also apply here:

Conjecture 5.44.

$$PR[\Gamma(X,\omega)] + Rank[\pi_1(Symp(X,\omega))] - Rank[\pi_0(Symp_h(X,\omega))]$$

is a constant equaling 15 for any symplectic form on $\mathbb{C}P^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$.

References

[Abr98] Miguel Abreu. "Topology of symplectomorphism groups of $S^2 \times S^2$." In: *Inventiones Mathematicae* 131 (1998), pp. 1–23.

[AM00] Miguel Abreu and Dusa McDuff. "Topology of symplectomorphism groups of rational ruled surfaces". In: *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 13.4 (2000), 971–1009 (electronic).

[Anj02] Sílvia Anjos. "Homotopy type of symplectomorphism groups of $S^2 \times S^2$," in: *Geom. Topol* (2002), pp. 195–218.

[AP13] Sílvia Anjos and Martin Pinsonnault. "The homotopy Lie algebra of symplectomorphism groups of 3-fold blow-ups of the projective plane". In: *Math. Z.* 275.1-2 (2013), pp. 245–292.

[Ban97] Augustin Banyaga. *The Structure of Classical Diffeomorphism Groups*. 1st ed. Mathematics and Its Applications 400. Springer US, 1997. ISBN: 0792344758,9780792344759.

[Bir69] Joan S. Birman. "Mapping class groups and their relationship to braid groups". In: *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 22 (1969), pp. 213–238.

[BLW14] Matthew Strom Borman, Tian-Jun Li, and Weiwei Wu. "Spherical Lagrangians via ball packings and symplectic cutting." In: *Selecta Mathematica* 20.1 (2014), pp. 261–283.

[Eel61] James Eells. "Alexander-Pontrjagin duality in function spaces." In: *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Math* (1961), pp. 109–129.

[Eva11] Jonathan Evans. "Symplectic mapping class groups of some Stein and rational surfaces." In: *Journal of Symplectic Geometry* 9.1 (2011), pp. 45–82.

[Ful93] William Fulton. *Introduction to toric varieties*. Vol. 131. Annals of Mathematics Studies. The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993, pp. xii+157.

[GG13] Daciberg Lima Gonçalves and John Guaschi. "Minimal generating and normally generating sets for the braid and mapping class groups of $\mathbb{D}^2$, $\mathbb{S}^2$ and $\mathbb{R}P^2$". In: *Math. Z.* 274.1-2 (2013), pp. 667–683.

[GG05] Daciberg Lima Gonçalves and John Guaschi. "The braid group $B_{n,m}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ and a generalisation of the Fadell-Neuwirth short exact sequence". In: *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* 14.3 (2005), pp. 375–403.

[GM88] Mark Goresky and Robert MacPherson. *Stratified Morse theory*. Vol. 14. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988, pp. xiv+272.

[Gro85] Misha Gromov. "Pseudoholomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds." In: *Inventiones Mathematicae* 82 (1985), pp. 307–347.

[HPWxi] Richard Hind, Martin Pinsonnault, and Weiwei Wu. "Symplectormophism groups of non-compact manifolds and space of Lagrangians". In: *To Appear, J.Symplectic Geometry* (arXiv.1305.7291).

[KT08] Christian Kassel and Vladimir Turaev. *Braid groups*. Vol. 247. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. With the graphical assistance of Olivier Dodane. Springer, New York, 2008, pp. xii+340.

[LM96] François Lalonde and Dusa McDuff. "J-curves and the classification of rational and ruled symplectic 4-manifolds". In: *Contact and symplectic geometry (Cambridge, 1994)*. Vol. 8. Publ. Newton Inst. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996, pp. 3–42.

[LP04] Francois Lalonde and Martin Pinsonnault. "The topology of the space of symplectic balls in rational 4-manifolds." In: *Duke Mathematical Journal* 122.2 (2004), pp. 347–397.

[LL06] Bang-He Li and Tian-Jun Li. "On the diffeomorphism groups of rational and ruled 4-manifolds". In: *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 46.3 (2006), pp. 583–593.

[LL02] Bang-He Li and Tian-Jun Li. "Symplectic genus, minimal genus and diffeomorphisms". In: *Asian J. Math.* 6.1 (2002), pp. 123–144.

[LL] Jun Li and Tian-Jun Li. "Stratification of Compactible Almost complex sturctues of Symplectic Manifolds with small Kodaira simension". In: (). In preparation.

[LLW15] Jun Li, Tian-Jun Li, and Weiwei Wu. "The symplectic mapping class group of $\mathbb{C}P^2\#n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ with $n \leq 4$". In: *Michigan Math. J.* 64.2 (2015), pp. 319–333.

[LL01] Tian-Jun Li and Ai-Ko Liu. "Uniqueness of symplectic canonical class, surface cone and symplectic cone of 4-manifolds with $B^+ = 1$". In: *J. Differential Geom.* 58.2 (2001), pp. 331–370.

[LW12] Tian-Jun Li and Weiwei Wu. In: *Lagrangian spheres, symplectic surface and the symplectic mapping class group* 16.2 (2012), pp. 1121–1169.

[LZ15] Tian-Jun Li and Weiyi Zhang. "Almost Kähler forms on rational 4-manifolds". In: *Amer. J. Math.* 137.5 (2015), pp. 1209–1256.

[Man86] Yu. I. Manin. *Cubic forms*. Second. Vol. 4. North-Holland Mathematical Library. Algebra, geometry, arithmetic, Translated from the Russian by M. Hazewinkel. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1986, pp. x+326.

[McD91] Dusa McDuff. "Blow ups and symplectic embeddings in dimension 4". In: *Topology* 30.3 (1991), pp. 409–421.

[McD90] Dusa McDuff. "The structure of rational and ruled symplectic 4-manifolds". In: *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 3.3 (1990), pp. 679–712.

[McD08] Dusa McDuff. "The symplectomorphism group of a blow up". In: *Geom. Dedicata* 132 (2008), pp. 1–29.

[MO15] Dusa McDuff and Emmanuel Opshtein. "Nongeneric J-holomorphic curves and singular inflation". In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 15.1 (2015), pp. 231–286.

[MP94] Dusa McDuff and Leonid Polterovich. "Symplectic packings and algebraic geometry". In: *Invent. Math.* 115.3 (1994). With an appendix by Yael Karshon, pp. 405–434.

[MS04] Dusa McDuff and Dietmar Salamon. In: *J-holomorphic curves and symplectic topology* 52 (2004).

[MS01] Dusa McDuff and Jennifer Slimowitz. "Hofer-Zehnder capacity and length minimizing Hamiltonian paths". In: *Geom. Topol.* 5 (2001), 799–830 (electronic).

[MT10] Dusa McDuff and Susan Tolman. "Polytopes with mass linear functions. I". In: *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 8 (2010), pp. 1506–1574.

[MT06] Dusa McDuff and Susan Tolman. "Topological properties of Hamiltonian circle actions". In: *IMRP Int. Math. Res. Pap.* (2006), pp. 72826, 1–77.

[Pal60] Richard S. Palais. In: *On the local triviality of the restriction map for embeddings* 34 (1960), pp. 306–312.

[Rua93] Yongbin Ruan. "Symplectic topology and extremal rays". In: *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 3.4 (1993), pp. 395–430.

[Sei08] Paul Seidel. "Lectures on four-dimensional Dehn twists. In". In: *Symplectic 4-Manifolds and Algebraic Surfaces*. Springer: volume 1938 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2008, pp. 231–268.

[Sei99] Paul Seidel. "On the group of symplectic automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}P^m \times \mathbb{C}P^n$". In: *Northern California Symplectic Geometry Seminar*. Vol. 196. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 237–250.

[She] Vsevolod Shevchishin. "Secondary Stiefel-Whitney class and diffeomorphisms of rational and ruled symplectic 4-manifolds". In: (). ArXiv preprint.

[Wu14] Weiwei Wu. "Exact Lagrangians in A_n-surface singularities". In: *Math. Ann.* 359.1-2 (2014), pp. 153–168.

[Zha15] Weiyi Zhang. "The curve cone of almost complex 4-manifolds". In: *ArXiv preprint http://arxiv.org/abs/1501.06744* (2015).

[ZGQ06] Xu'an Zhao, Hongzhu Gao, and Huaidong Qiu. "The minimal genus problem in rational surfaces $\mathbf{C}\mathrm{P}^2 \# n\overline{\mathbf{C}\mathrm{P}^2}$". In: *Sci. China Ser. A* 49.9 (2006), pp. 1275–1283.

School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455
E-mail address: lixx1727@umn.edu

School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455
E-mail address: tjli@math.umn.edu

School of Mathematics, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602
E-mail address: weiwei@math.msu.edu